

**PA12-058**

SB0294

Children	491-492, 567-568, 650-652, 701-702, 755-757	12
House	6446-6449	4
<u>Senate</u>	<u>1110-1115, 1178-1179</u>	<u>8</u>
		<b>24</b>

**H – 1141**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
2012**

**VOL.55  
PART 19  
6188 – 6484**

cah/gbr  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

34  
May 5, 2012

I have two ladies here who are moms and community activists in the Bridgeport area in my district, Black Rock. Their names are Joy Cline and Stephanie Barnes and they are my fellow smut busters so please welcome them.

Feel free to sing to them if you want -- smut busters.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Thank you.

REP. GROGINS (129th):

Anyway thank you so much.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker and it's wonderful they're here to talk to the Senate today about my bill, so thank you so much.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Thank you, Representative.

Thank you for joining us.

Clerk please call Calendar Number 423.

THE CLERK:

On page 22, Calendar 423, Substitute for Senate Bill Number 320, AN ACT CONCERNING FIRE PREVENTION CODE REGULATIONS, favorable report of the Committee on Public Safety.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

cah/gbr  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

35  
May 5, 2012

The Chair of Public Safety, Representative Dargan,  
you have the floor sir.

REP. DARGAN: (115th):

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, happy Derby day.

I move acceptance of the joint committee's  
favorable report and passage of the bill in concurrence  
with the Senate.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Question is acceptance of joint committee's  
favorable report and passage of the bill.

Will you remark?

REP. GROGINS (129th):

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

This bill will simply allow the agency which is a  
multi-stage approval process to allow adequate time for  
Department of Construction Services along with the  
state fire marshal and the volunteer advisory committee  
to revise the existing state fire prevention code and  
present it for legislative regulatory approval.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Would you care to remark further on the bill?

Representative Giegler.

REP. GIEGLER (138th):

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

cah/gbr  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

36  
May 5, 2012

Just to proceed a little bit further than Chairman Dargan. Failure to extend this sunset and the -- revise the current law, this would leave a period of time when there would be no codes existing which we all know would be problematic in light of the number of fires that have -- that occur within the state.

So this -- this bill will allow the sunset to extend so that we will have a fire code in -- in place and I urge my colleagues' support.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Thank you, Representative.

Would you care to remark further? Care to remark further on the bill?

If not staff and guests come to the well of the House. Members take their seats. The machine will be open.

THE CLERK:

The House of Representatives is voting by roll call. Members to the Chamber. The House is taking a roll call vote. Members to the Chamber, please.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Have all the members voted? Have all the members voted? Please check the roll call board -- please check the roll call board to make sure your vote has

been properly cast. If all the members have voted the machine will be locked. The Clerk will please take a tally.

Representative Aldarondo.

REP. ALDARONDO (75th):

Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, I missed my vote.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

How would you like to be recorded? How would you like to be recorded?

REP. ALDARONDO (75th):

Affirmative.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

In the affirmative. Representative Aldarondo in the affirmative.

REP. ALDARONDO (75th):

Thank you.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

You're welcome sir.

Clerk will please announce the tally.

THE CLERK:

Senate Bill 320 in concurrence with the Senate.

Total number voting	127 (sic)
Necessary for passage	65
Those voting Yea	129

cah/gbr  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

38  
May 5, 2012

Those voting Nay 0

Those absent and not voting 22

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Bill passes.

Any announcements or introductions? Any  
announcements or introductions?

Representative Sharkey.

REP. SHARKEY (88th):

Good afternoon, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Good afternoon.

REP. SHARKEY (88th):

Mr. Speaker at this time for those on this side of  
the aisle we plan to have a brief caucus immediately  
after the recess in Room 207A.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Representative Cafero for what reason do you stand  
sir?

REP. CAFERO: (142nd):

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For purposes of announcement.

SPEAKER DONOVAN:

Please (inaudible).

REP. CAFERO: (142nd):

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**PUBLIC  
SAFETY AND  
SECURITY  
PART 1  
1 – 317**

**2012  
INDEX**



When we looked at the statute, as it was presently written, it gives that authority to family members and conservators, and they can contact the state police directly. However, when we put this into practice, the state police actually requested that our agency police officers or the police officers in the area where the individual is deemed missing would be the individuals that would notify the state police. So the issue for us is the issue of confidentiality, the change in language, because it allows either the conservator, the family member or myself in limited circumstances to notify the local police officers. In most instances it will be our agency police officers, and they will then take the information that is required and notify the police and issue the Silver Alert.

So, I'm actually accompanied by Marcia Aleksunes, our chief of police, and we'll be glad to answer any questions if you have them.

REP. DARGAN: Thank you very much, commissioner. Questions from any committee members referencing the bill that's before us? Hearing none, I don't know if the chief has any words. No. Okay, well, thank you very much for your testimony.

COMMISSIONER PATRICIA REHMER: Thank you.

REP. DARGAN: The next presenter is deputy commissioner of the Department of Construction Services, Commissioner Pasquale Salemi.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PASQUALE SALEMI: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Good morning to Senator Hartley, Representative Dargan, Senator Guglielmo and Senator Geigler and distinguished members of the committee. For

SB320  
SB323  
SB327

the record I am Pasquale Salemi, also known as Bud Salemi, deputy commissioner of construction services.

I want to thank you, the committee, for raising three DCS proposals, and out of respect for the committee's agenda, I will simply summarize the agency's submitted testimony which you should all have.

Senate Bill 320, AN ACT CONCERNING SUNSET STATUTE FOR FIRE PREVENTION CODE REGULATIONS. The extension of the effective date will allow the commissioner of the new agency together with the state fire marshal and in conjunction with the volunteer advisory committee for state fire prevention code to revise the state fire prevention code and present the revised code through the legislative regulatory approval process before the current statutory authority sunsets. This proposal provides an extension of time for our newly created agency to accomplish this task. From January first 2013 through January 1, 2015, or upon the adoption of the regulations, whichever is earlier.

Secondly, AN ACT CONCERNING CRANE OPERATIONS. Just to have a summary of that, due to the new OSHA crane standard 19-26, subpart CC, every operator of cranes involved in the construction, demolition or excavation must be certified or licensed and they must be retested for knowledge and skills at least every five years. Regardless of which option is chosen for the certification or license, every operator in the State of Connecticut will have to be tested or retested when certain OSHA standards take effect in November of 2014. DCS has assumed coordinating these efforts as a result of Public Act 11-51, the

SB323

that was raised with one of my constituents, so I just wanted to clarify and obviously put it in your mind as well. Thank you very much.

REP. DARGAN: Thank you.

Further questions from committee members?

Just a couple, Deputy Commissioner. In relationship to the merger with construction services, and there is some thought process when it was called the Department of Public Safety some of the individuals that worked in the fire inspectors office that came over to construction services that -- and I'm sure that may be some of the local fire marshals might speak afterwards on that and we've had -- you and I have had some discussions in referencing that along. I've had discussions with the Office of Policy Management too on where the better place to put those individuals that deal with fire loss or construction codes, not on the state level but on the local level. And I appreciate you reaching out to try to facilitate of how we might be able to best come to a resolution on that. So I appreciate the time that you gave me to listen about that. And I don't know if you would want to comment about that at all.

SB320

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PASQUALE SALEMI: Well, certainly to the extent that we've made an effort, and I hope all the committee members will understand that I sort of had responsibility for making this happen, not for the, you know, original merger or the intent behind it, but I think I understood what we were trying to do, and that's basically we looked at the duties that were formerly assigned to the state fire marshal that were more I would say enforcement type duties and

more of I would say a police function, let's say, arson investigations and so forth, and we have suggested I think from the beginning that many of those duties -- and they're very clearly defined in the merger document -- stay with the Department of Public Safety because that's where the service is being provided from before. If you've ever seen, you know, the news reports from big fires and everything you'll see sometimes see a truck that's parked and it says state fire marshal on it arson investigation or whatever. I mean, those are the kinds of functions that we believe should stay with DESPP now. What we've tried to focus on for our work in the merger is to keep the building inspections and life safety code inspections and so forth in the Department of Construction Services. We believe that that was the intent that anything that had to do with construction, whether it's renovation or new construction, belongs in our department. Certainly those other issues, fireworks explosives, and some of the other issues that we've talked about, are better handled by the Department of Public Safety because they have the staff that's dedicated to performing some of those tasks where ours is strictly more or less a regulatory function, not necessarily an enforcement, particularly not with criminal offenses.

I do have the state building inspector and the state fire marshal here if you'd like to hear more of the specifics about the division of those basic tasks and why we believe some of them belong with DESPP and the rest along with us.

REP. DARGAN: That might be helpful because, you know, there's some confusion about that, so I know that Fire Marshal Ross is here so maybe

he could communicate to us a little bit of what we're trying to do and what actually works and what doesn't.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PASQUALE SALEMI: Certainly. Our state fire marshal, Robert Ross.

ROBERT ROSS: Good morning, everyone. With relation to how we're operating now, we have tried, although there's the separation between the sworn and civilian, we have tried to keep a seamless operation. I think some of the concern that has been raised and the commissioner spoke to is that there are functions within the office that some feel are clearly within construction services, and there are some within the fire marshal community that perhaps feel that the fire marshal position and some of the functions of some of the other staff are more appropriately positioned back within Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection. Specifically some of those functions -- and I'll give you an example -- the house explosion in New Haven this weekend was a joint investigation between the sworn and the civilian staff, including myself and Joe Kingston, our electrical expert and John Doucette, our gas expert. So there are times when in support of really the cause and origin of investigation that it's a joint operation between the sworn and civilian side. How we -- how the state chooses to function, I don't think we've let that skew our goal, and that's really supporting the local fire marshal and really in finding the cause and origin which is owed to the people that lost that house as to how that whole incident came out. So there are times when we need to come together specifically to look at the electrical, to look at the gas to find out why that house exploded. There are times where we

bring in the code experts to assist the sworn side of the shop if there's a contributing factor to a fire code violation for either the cause of the fire or the cause of the fatality. So I guess that's it in a nutshell. I don't know if you want me to expand any further.

REP. DARGAN: No, that's good. Senator.

SENATOR HARTLEY: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And thanks for being here. And we talked a little bit about this actually in our appropriations subcommittee working groups. So I'm still -- I'm agnostic about this change, and I think only over time are we going to understand that it is in fact the right place or that perhaps there may be other tweaks that need to be done. But I would just like either of you to comment now since we last talked about the newest change which is that no longer is this part of the Department of Construction Services but now it is merged into yet another agency, very large indeed, and that is the Department of Administrative Services. So that continues to I think raise the issue of how this is going to play out because, once again, Construction Services was a specialty agency, if you will, and I understand the reason for consolidating, we need to recognize these efficiencies, but on the other hand, as you all know so much better than myself, it's about public safety.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PASQUALE SALEMI: Well, I'd like to respond and say that I understood your concerns when you spoke about it before. With respect to the merger, I think it is as you say.

We're looking for efficiencies and, you know, and hopefully we can provide, you know, some of those, but I don't think -- I haven't heard anything or read anything that leads me to believe that the Department of Construction Services in the form that it is now and I think it's -- if there's anything left that's still transitional, there isn't much. It's just a few things left that if we weren't moving to a merger would be completed by July 1st of this year.

I haven't seen anything that changes the nature of that coming together with the former DPS staff because we're moving to a merger. And I suppose that's as much as I can tell you. I know you asked that question directly of the commissioner. And I suppose, you know, if there were anything, you know, that would be the right place to ask, but I haven't been given anything or instructed in any way to change what we've done when we started the consolidation. So I'm speaking to that, and I guess I'm saying today that nothing has changed because of the merger that would affect this relationship between the former DPS staff and the fire marshal staff. We're still moving ahead with what we believe was the intent of the consolidation from the beginning. So if there were anything, it would come later. It certainly hasn't come yet unless there's something specific that you want to ask about.

SENATOR HARTLEY: No, I think to your point this is going to play out over time, but once again, you know, from where I'm sitting, it's now melded into yet a larger organization which is not, you know, the first mission is not public safety, it's many important things, but it is not public safety. But thank you.

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**PUBLIC  
SAFETY AND  
SECURITY  
PART 2  
318 – 658**

**2012**



one of four ways to comply, and we're looking to put all four options on the table in the statute and let the private marketplace choose.

REP. YACCARINO: And you want just out of the four just pick one of the four? I mean --

BILL ETHIER: That would be up to the individual operator or employer. So that you could set up a -- you would have the licensing as an option. If somebody wanted to go through and apply for licensing, they're certainly free to do that, but if there's a nonlicensing option that's okay with the Federal OSHA, why not allow that option as well.

REP. YACCARINO: Thank you. I'm just trying to understand -- I mean, if there's like hours or usage as far as getting the license, you'd have to do so many hours.

BILL ETHIER: There's testing requirements. You have to be tested, absolutely.

REP. YACCARINO: Thank you for your time.

SENATOR HARTLEY: Thank you. Further questions? If not, thanks very much for being here today.

BILL ETHIER: Thank you.

SENATOR HARTLEY: We'd like to move on. Kevin Kowalski from the Connecticut Fire Marshals Association.

KEVIN KOWALSKI: Good afternoon, Senator Hartley, Representative Dargan and members of the Public Safety Security Committee. I'm here with -- my name is Kevin Kowalski. I represent the Connecticut Fire Marshals

SB320  
SB327  
HB5394  
HB5380

Association. I've been certified as a fire marshal since 1981 and worked here in this state inspecting buildings. I'm also here with John Yacovino who's the vice president of the Connecticut Fire Marshals Association. Hopefully any questions that come up on this testimony today we'll be able to answer. And he's also the deputy marshal in Meriden. I'm here today to speak on Senate Bill 320, AN ACT CONCERNING FIRE PREVENTION CODE REGULATIONS; 327 fire safety enforcement; and 5394, the smoke detector bill.

Senate Bill 320, AN ACT CONCERNING FIRE PREVENTION CODE REGULATIONS, we are in favor of this change. It's a very important change to us. Actually as I sit on the fire prevention committee, we've been tasked with developing a new set of codes that will affect fuel, fuel codes, fuel oil, gas, any special hazards that affect the citizens of Connecticut. And right now we're in the middle of the regulation review process, and unfortunately we anticipated that the regulation review process would be completed by now and it's not. So we were asking for an extension and that's what this is. The extension is actually requested to 2015, however, we really would like to have it, as it's stated in the bill, upon passage of the new regulation. We anticipate, and we very happily anticipate, that we will have for once an extremely modern code of 2012 actual code, a National Consensus Code, and that will be a product we will be really proud of. So we are certainly in favor of this bill here to extend it.

The next one is Senate Bill 327, AN ACT CONCERNING FIRE SAFETY OFFICIALS. We are very much against this bill. Right now the State



## CONNECTICUT STATE FIREFIGHTERS ASSOCIATION, INC.

March 6, 2012

Senator Joan Hartley, Co-Chair Public Safety & Security Committee  
 Representative Stephen Dargan, Co-Chair Public Safety & Security Committee  
 Senator Eileen Daily, Vice Chair Public Safety & Security Committee  
 Representative Ed Jutila, Vice Chair Public Safety & Security Committee  
 Senator Tony Guglielmo, Ranking Member Public Safety & Security Committee  
 Representative Janice Giegler, Ranking Member Public Safety & Security Committee

My name is Ted Schroll and I am the Legislative Representative for the Connecticut State Firefighters Association. The Association represents approximately 26,000 career and volunteer firefighters in Connecticut.

Our Association wishes to comment on several bills on today's agenda. You will be hearing from many speakers who will elaborate more than I on these bills, but this Association wishes to submit our opinion of these bills.

### SUPPORT

#### Raised Senate Bill #320

#### AA Concerning Fire Prevention Code Regulations

Our Association supports this bill. It is our understanding that this bill is necessary as part of the normal processes needed to update new regulations and delete regulations that become obsolete over time. Fire Prevention Code Regulations are continually under review which makes this bill necessary.

HB5380

HB5381

SB327

HB5383

HB5394

#### Raised House Bill #5378

#### AA Concerning the Maximum Surcharge for Enhanced 9-1-1

#### Service

We believe that this bill is absolutely essential. This bill is more than just increasing funding for/by the State. By statute, ALL funding received from this surcharge are used for the implementation of the E-911 system in Connecticut. The current maximum limit was set by the General Assembly in the mid 1990s. While I understand that this committee does not need any explanation as to the intent of this bill, an increase of the maximum surcharge (up to 99 cents) does not mean an automatic immediate increase in a subscriber's monthly fee to this level. This just gives PURA the flexibility of annual increases in the coming years as per statutes. As is known, it has taken from 1996 until now to push up to the current maximum of 50 cents. Upgrading of the current E-911 equipment is necessary now, but the State is not able to accomplish these upgrades because funding (E-911 surcharge) is not adequate. Additionally, it is expected that the increase will fund the Public Safety Data Network which will allow

(over)

## Connecticut Heating & Cooling Contractors Association

2842 Main Street #259, Glastonbury, CT 06033 • Phone (860) 533-1163 • Fax (860) 533-1165

[www.chcca.net](http://www.chcca.net)

MEMBERS OF: CBIA – NFPA – ICC

Established 1972



### TESTIMONY OF JENNIFER JENNINGS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BEFORE THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE MARCH 6, 2012

2012

**PRESIDENT**

Eric D'Eramo  
ENCON

1265 Woodend Road  
Stratford, CT 06010  
(203) 375-5228

**PRESIDENT ELECT**

Seamus Warakomski  
Tn City Heating & Cooling  
382 New Haven Avenue  
Miford, CT 06460  
(203) 874-5228

**VICE PRESIDENT**

Vacancy

**PAST PRESIDENT**

Joseph DeFusco  
Custom Mechanical Services  
92 Jeanette Street  
Bristol, CT 06010  
(860) 589-5471

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

Jennifer Jennings

**DIRECTORS**

Robert Besaw  
Edgerton, Inc  
(203) 268-6279

Jim Chard  
F J Chard & Son  
(860) 675-8076

Gary Cortiss  
Control Aire Supply  
(800) 443-2473

Hank Cullinane  
Clover Corp  
(860) 528-0081

Jeff Leone  
Air Temp Mechanical  
(860) 953-8888

Bryan Mackenzie  
R & B Refrigeration  
(203) 453-8831

Steve Szyplski  
The Star Supply Co  
(203) 772-2240

**LOBBYISTS**

Gara & Markowski, LLC  
[www.qmlobbying.com](http://www.qmlobbying.com)

Elizabeth Gara  
Andrew Markowski

*The Connecticut Heating & Cooling Contractors Association (CHCC), a trade association whose objectives are to strengthen and further trade relations, attract, educate and train necessary manpower, represent members at all levels of government and review and establish quality standards and procedures, and which represents over 125 Heating & Cooling Companies in Connecticut, submits comments regarding the following bills:*

**Concerning SB-320, AAC Fire Prevention Code Regulations** – CHCC is concerned that this legislation further confuses the timeline for adoption and implementation of fire codes. CHCC is concerned that the code adoption process is becoming cumbersome and often does not include industry input from the skilled tradespersons in the field who are actually responsible for constructing and renovating to the various codes and standards. Specific to the fire prevention code, CHCC feels that NFPA31 and NFPA54 need to be updated in a timelier manner.

**Opposing SB-323, AAC Crane Operators** – CHCC opposes this bill because our contractors are concerned that this legislation could have broad implications for our industry. CHCC is concerned that problems could arise surrounding certain truck mounted hoists that lift equipment on and off of flatbed trucks used by our contractors. While we understand this legislation may be an attempt to conform with new federal OSHA regulations, CHCC is concerned that there will be some significant additional regulatory burdens on HVAC contractors as the state attempts to implement the changes. Specifically, the effective date, the type of hoisting equipment covered, operators in training requirements, and compliance with certifications, all have the potential to burden contractors and cause confusion across the licensed trades.

**Opposing HB-5383, AA Requiring Carbon Monoxide and Oxygen Sensors on Portable Electric Generators** – CHCC is concerned that this legislation may appear to possibly condone the practice of indoor usage of such generators if in fact they contain the required oxygen and carbon monoxide detectors. This would be contrary to public safety, as the use of such sensors could give a false impression that is acceptable to run a generator in a basement or living room. Furthermore, CHCC is unsure about the availability of this type of equipment in the marketplace. As such, public policy considerations must examine any potential price premium such a requirement would carry on the equipment, and what that means for the workplace where many contractors routinely and safely utilize existing portable generating equipment on a regular basis.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.



STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSTRUCTION SERVICES



4  
P2  
L4

Testimony of Pasquale Salemi  
Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Construction Services  
Public Safety & Security Committee  
March 6, 2012

Good morning Senator Hartley, Representative Dargan, Senator Guglielmo, Representative Geigler and distinguished members of the Committee. For the record my name is Pasquale Salemi, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Construction Services. On behalf of Commissioner Defronzo and the DCS staff we would like to thank the leadership of the Committee for the drafting of (3) proposals on behalf of the Department of Construction Services.

By way of background, in 2011 Governor Malloy proposed, and the General Assembly enacted, a comprehensive reorganization of State government in order to restructure administrative operations, achieve higher levels of efficiency, eliminate duplication of effort and consolidate operations.

As part of this overall effort, Public Act 11-51 provided for the creation of a new agency, the Department of Constructive Services (DCS) comprised of the following components:

- The Design and Construction Unit of the former Department of Public Works (DPW)
- The Bureau of School Facilities and Grants of the state Department of Education (SDE)
- The building and life safety code and code enforcement components of the former Department of Public Safety (DPS)

The purpose of consolidating the major construction and construction-related services from these three agencies into one agency was to optimize the use of staff, more efficiently manage the state's school construction program and more uniformly apply and better coordinate code enforcement.

The proposals before the Committee today are offered on behalf of the building and life safety code and code enforcement divisions of the department. The Department of Construction Services (DCS) offers the following testimony regarding the three bills on the Committee's agenda.

SB320  
SB327  
SB323

Senate Bill 320 "An Act Concerning Fire Prevention Code Regulations"

This proposal offered on behalf of the Office of the State Fire Marshal intends to revise the recent statutory sunset provisions for certain statutes that involve various hazardous chemicals and materials. The statutes enabled regulations for fire prevention and safety.

Public Act 10-54 extended the adoption deadline to January 1, 2013. The new regulations will not be developed and incorporated by the January 1, 2013 deadline due, in part, to the recent reorganization of various state agencies. The existing independent statutory authority for the regulations needs to remain in place until the regulations are developed, the multi-stage approval process required for regulation adoption occurs, and the new regulations legally incorporated into the State Fire Prevention Code. The extension of the effective date will allow the Commissioner of the new agency together with the State Fire Marshal, and in conjunction with the volunteer Advisory Committee established pursuant Connecticut General Statute 29-291a (b) for the State Fire Prevention Code, to revise the State Fire Prevention code and present the revised code through the legislative regulatory approval process before the current statutory authority sunsets.

These statutes promulgate codes which regulate the installation and operation of such things as natural gas piping and equipment (C.G.S. 29-329), and flammable and combustible liquid storage (C.G.S. 29-320). Failure to extend the current sunset dates in these statutes will create a period of time in which these codes will not exist until they are revised and adopted into the Fire Prevention Code. This loss will impact public safety as both state and local code officials will be left without an enforceable code for these items. The loss of these codes will also impact the State Building Code and the State Fire Safety Code, both of which reference these codes.

---

This proposal would allow the agency to remain current with recognized national codes and standards pertaining to hazardous materials without dealing with approximately 10 separate regulations that require coordination between them. This proposal provides an extension of time for our newly created agency to accomplish this task. All stakeholders have been instructed that this process must be completed as soon as possible, that is why the language before you today states "January 1, 2015 or adoption of regulations, whichever is sooner "

Senate Bill 327 "An Act Concerning Fire Safety Enforcement Official Certification"

**S - 639**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
2012**

**VOL. 55  
PART 4  
942 - 1311**

cah/med/gbr  
SENATE

4  
April 18, 2012

Senate Bill Number 320, Madam President, would move to place that item on our Consent Calendar

THE CHAIR:

Seeing no objection, sir, so ordered.

SENATOR LOONEY:

Thank you, Madam President.

Madam President, on Calendar Page 7, Calendar 187 is marked go.

Madam President, on Calendar Page 8, Calendar 203 is marked go.

THE CHAIR:

Seeing no objection, so ordered.

SENATOR LOONEY:

Thank you, Madam President.

Calendar Page 9, Calendar 224 is marked go. Calendar Page 9, Calendar 226 is go.

Calendar Page 10, Calendar 232 is marked go.

Calendar Page 11, Calendar 238 is marked go.

Moving to Calendar Page 12, Madam President, Calendar 258, Senate Bill Number 340, Madam President, would move to place that item on our Consent Calendar.

THE CHAIR:

Seeing no objection, so ordered, sir.

SENATOR LOONEY:

Thank you, Madam President.

Continuing on Calendar Page 12, Calendar 259, Senate Bill Number 157, Madam President, would move to place that item on our Consent Calendar.



cah/med/gbr  
SENATE

237  
April 18, 2012

Madam President, if we might call now to have the Clerk read the items on the Consent Calendar and then to move to a vote on the Consent Calendar.

THE CHAIR:

Mr. Clerk, will you please read the items on the Consent Calendar.

THE CLERK:

On page 1, Calendar 300, House Joint Resolution Number 78; page 1, Calendar 301, House Joint Resolution Number 79.

Page 2 Calendar 302, House Joint Resolution Number 80; page 2, Senate Bill -- Calendar Number 64, Senate Bill 37.

Page 3, Calendar 89, Senate Bill 56.

Page 4, Calendar 110, Senate Bill 184; page 4, Calendar 91, Senate Bill Number 276.

Page 5, Calendar 127, Senate Bill 320.

Page 8, Calendar 203, Senate Bill 408.

Page 9, Calendar 226, Senate Bill 411; also, on page 9, Calendar 224, Senate Bill Number 339.

Page 10, Calendar 232, Senate Bill Number 186.

On page 11, Calendar 238, House Bill 5250.

On page 12, Calendar 258, Senate Bill 340; also on page 12, Calendar 259, Senate Bill 157; page 12, Calendar 265, Senate Bill 176.

Page 13, Calendar 271, Senate Bill 350; page 13, Calendar 273, Senate Bill 293; page 13, Calendar 274, Senate Bill 294.

Page 14, Calendar 285, Senate Bill 404.

Page 15, Calendar 296, Senate Bill Number 307.

cah/med/gbr  
SENATE

238  
April 18, 2012

And page 24, Calendar 132, Senate Bill 337.

THE CHAIR:

The Senate will stand at ease for a second.

(Chamber at ease.)

THE CHAIR:

Okay. Those are the items listed. The machine will be open.

Mr. Clerk, will you please call for a roll call vote on the Consent Calendar. Thank you.

THE CLERK:

Immediate roll call has been ordered in the Senate.  
Senators please return to the chamber. Immediate roll call has been ordered in the Senate.

THE CHAIR:

Have all members voted? If all members voted, the machine will be locked.

And Mr. Clerk, will you please call the tally.

THE CLERK:

On today's Consent Calendar.

Total Number Voting	35
Necessary for passage	19
Those voting Yea	35
Those voting Nay	0
Those absent and not voting	1

THE CHAIR:

Consent Calendar has passed.

Senator Looney.

SENATOR LOONEY.