

Legislative History for Connecticut Act

SB1199 PA 591 1975

Senate: P. 3232-3233 (2)

House: Consent 6/03 (1)

Regulated Activities 527-528 (2)

Finance 408

LAW/LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE
DO NOT REMOVE FROM LIBRARY

58.

Transcripts from the Joint Standing Committee Public Hearing(s) and/or Senate
and House of Representatives Proceedings

Connecticut State Library

Compiled 2015

S-111

CONNECTICUT
GEN. ASSEMBLY

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS

1975

VOL. 18

PART 7

3189-3690

Monday, June 2, 1975

39.

by the Committee on Public Health and Safety.

roc

THE PRESIDENT:

Will you remark on it, Senator?

SENATOR CIARLONE:

I will, Mr. President. This bill gives the commissioner of mental health the authority to redesignate the mental health service regions. It further provides for catchment (?) areas and councils within each area. It's a good bill and I move it to the Consent Calendar.

THE PRESIDENT:

Without objection, the matter is moved to the CONSENT

CALENDAR.

THE PRESIDENT:

Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 1124, File 1133. Favorable report joint standing committee on Finance. Substitute Senate Bill 1199, AN ACT CONCERNING ASSIGNMENT OF OR LIEN UPON HOSPITALIZATION OR MEDICAL INSURANCE PROCEEDS.

THE PRESIDENT:

Senator Beck.

SENATOR BECK: (29th)

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the committee's favorable report.

THE PRESIDENT:

Will you remark on the bill, Senator?

Monday, June 2, 1975

40.

SENATOR BECK:

roc

Mr. President, this provides for assignment of lien upon hospitalization of the proceeds of medical insurance but the Committee on Finance did check to be sure that this lien can be removed after the cost of hospitalization is provided for and we now, therefore, move adoption of the legislation on the Consent Calendar.

THE PRESIDENT:

Without objection, the matter is ordered to the CONSENT CALENDAR.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 1125, File 1136. This is favorable report joint standing committee on Transportation. Substitute Senate Bill 1671, AN ACT CONCERNING REEVALUATION OF HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION.

THE PRESIDENT:

Senator Amenta.

SENATOR AMENTA:

Mr. President, could that bill be Passed Retaining.

THE PRESIDENT:

Do you want to pass it temporarily?

SENATOR AMENTA:

Pass Retaining, please.

THE PRESIDENT:

Passed Retained.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 1126, File 1138. Favorable report joint standing

H-170

CONNECTICUT
GEN. ASSEMBLY
HOUSE

PROCEEDINGS
1975

VOL. 18
PART 13
6010-6572

Tuesday, June 3, 1975 3.

No. 1199, File No. 1133.

efr

MR. SPEAKER:

Is there objection on the part of any individual member on the matters within the purview of the motion being ordered to Consent? Hearing none, they are ordered to Consent.

CORNELIUS O'LEARY:

Mr. Speaker, I would move for suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of the no-starred items on today's Consent Calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

Is there objection to suspension for immediate consideration of Calendars 1425, 1425 at this time as Consent items? Hearing none, the rules are suspended.

CORNELIUS O'LEARY:

Mr. Speaker, I move for acceptance and passage of those items on today's Consent Calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

The question's on acceptance and passage of the matters on today's Consent Calendar, and all those in favor will indicate by saying "aye". Opposed. The matters are passed as Consent items.

THE CLERK:

Please turn to Page 1, Executive Nominations. On Page 1, Calendar 1421, H.J.R. 248, confirming the nomination of William Jones, of New Haven, to be a member of the Commission for Higher Education.

BRUCE L. MORRIS:

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**REGULATED
ACTIVITIES
PART 2
429 - 851**

1975

99
EMK
Mr. Weiner:

Yes, I respectfully disagree with Mr. Hausman and even I think with some of the previous speakers that despite the - and this is not meant in any derogatory sense toward the Public Utility Commission, I think it is just a question of priorities, problems, staff. I for one, do not think it should be - nor does the Connecticut Conference of -nor does the Connecticut Cable Coalition feel that you can have it as a person - - the major issues of the Public Utilities Commission and that you really need a separate commission to concern itself with the regulation and development of cable television in Connecticut.

Now if the feeling is - we are not yet there, we have to study it, then the only issue that I would state in reference to 1077, if you cannot get a separate commission at this point and we must study it first, then so be it. I think as you have indicated Mr. Chairman, you are aware that there is another bill continuing 6708 which calls for the continuation of the existing commission. I personally would like the representation of your commission better than that represented by 1077 because the representation of that commission neglected in my opinion, community groups and municipalities. This of course, does not specific specifically who are represented on the commission as the other one did.

Representative Ritter:

I know it is in education....

Mr. Weiner:

It is up for a hearing on April - I can tell you specifically if you want - as I understand it, it is to be held at 10 a.m. on Tuesday, April 1st. That is in front of the Education Commission but what I am saying in effect is that ----if you do not see fit at this point of time, to create a separate commission to concern itself with cable television, then we would support 1077,

In reference to 1087, the major comment that I would like to say is that if its intent was to provide municipalities with a greater capabilityI don't like disagreeing with Mr. Hausman. It is tough running a city these days, Awfully tough and one of the toughest things in this state is finding the where withall to run the cities and one of the biggest problems - is the legislature is recognizing their problems, having problems giving assistance to the municipalities in helping them.

We have gone on record for a number of times and once again I refer to the Connecticut Cable Coalition and to the Connecticut Town and City Managers Association that if this bill in effect, is a way in which municipalities can get greater resources to help them develop cable television in this state, then it is a good bill. As a matter of fact, we would strongly support Bill 1199 which calls for - 1199 which goes in front of the Finance Committee which calls for remitting to the municipalities the 8% tax which is now - public service tax which is now levied against the cable television operators.