

Legislative History for Connecticut Act

HB 5427	PA 309	1975
Judiciary	138, 176-177	(3)
Senate	2032-2036	(5)
House	2450-2462, 4179-4184	(18)

LAW/LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE
DO NOT REMOVE FROM LIBRARY

26 pages.

Transcripts from the Joint Standing Committee Public Hearing(s) and/or Senate
and House of Representatives Proceedings

Connecticut State Library

Compiled 2014

JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS

JUDICIARY
PART 1
1 - 461

1975
INDEX

bill which would clarify the effectiveness of a substitute attachment lien.

The Savings Banks' Association of Connecticut thanks you for your consideration of its positions on these bills.

EDWARD J. TOMKIEL: I am Edward J. Tomkiel, President of the Connecticut Town Clerks Association.

The following are comments of our Association concerning the proposed bills listed below:

Committee bill no. 1322 - AN ACT CONCERNING STATUTORY FORMS OF DEEDS AND MORTGAGES.

Committee bill no. 5427, AN ACT CONCERNING STATUTORY FORM OF DEEDS

We are in favor of this bill but do suggest that section 17 be changed to a \$5.00 recording fee for warranty deed, quick claim deed and assignment of mortgages, and that any additional page attached be \$3.50 per page as provided by section 7-34a, as amended, of the General Statutes.

Committee Bill no. 5430 - AN ACT CONCERNING MICROFILM RECORDATION OF RELEASES OF MORTGAGE.

We believe that a study should be made on this and try not to abolish the practice of marginal notations of release of mortgages. If it cannot be remedied on microfilm then the whole practice in all records should be uniform and abolished. We believe title searchers would strongly object to not providing this service.

Committee bill no. 7546, AN ACT CONCERNING MECHANIC'S LIENS

We object to creating a special book and index for recording the notice of intention as provided in section c. This notice of intent should be recorded in the general index as all other land record documents are and the fee therefor be in accordance with section 7-34a, as amended. It would be confusing to title searchers by creating another index to go through.

Committee bill no. 7760 - AN ACT CONCERNING THE SIZE OF LAND RECORD MAPS

Unless there is a crying need for creating another size of map, we believe the two sizes now required are workable for all concerned.

DAVID NEIDITZ: You don't have a written statement, it would help us because we don't get these transcripts until late.

PAUL GIONFRIDO: My name is Paul Gionfrido, Branch Manager for Pittsburgh Plate Glass (PPG INDUSTRIES), of Hartford, Connecticut. I am a member of the Board of Directors of the Subcontractors Association of Connecticut, a trade association representing

Bill No. 3666 would assure that in no event would a state appropriation exceed 25% of the program costs - in effect, that the state would only be providing an operating subsidy and not being asked to take over or fund the entire program or create a new state agency.

The Connecticut Drug Council as the single state agency for drug abuse has recommended in its Comprehensive Plan on Drug Abuse Prevention the State funding be provided to the Regional Crime Squads as a "significant and much needed element in the total law enforcement and drug abuse prevention effort in the State".

The Connecticut Chiefs of Police Association strongly supports this bill. A representative survey of Chiefs of Police ranked controlling drug traffic as their second most important local law enforcement priority, and the overwhelming majority of them feel the Regional Crime Squads should continue their effective work.

The dilemma facing this state is that with the reduction and eminent withdrawal of federal funding and the already overburdened and strained local police resources and property tax base, the Regional Crime Squads are in danger of collapse or demise. The State of Connecticut would be left without a drug enforcement capability for keeping the problem of drug abuse under control.

The approximately \$150,000. required from the State in the upcoming fiscal year, is asking the General Assembly to expend 5 cents per capita for an effective drug abuse enforcement program. While keenly aware of the fiscal problems faced by the current General Assembly, we are sure that the citizens of this state would be willing to spend a nickel of their tax dollars to fight drug abuse.

MISTER ROCKWELL: I am Attorney Rockwell, of Hartford, I am appearing on behalf of Real Properties Section of the Connecticut Bar Association, on Committee Bill #1322, and 1286.

1322, is the statutory forms bill. I would point out to the committee, that apparently Committee Bill 5427, is a precise duplicate that we proof read and agree that it is precise duplicate. But it is in substance a technical amendment set out statutory forms so that we may add to the present common law and statutes covering a very minor degree forms, specific form as indicated in warantee deeds, quit claims, mortgages, and assignments, of mortgage, I should say parenthetically.

For Mr. Weigand, I would point out that it was my recollection to borrow from one of the New England states in setting up this original pattern which has been here before, I have to concede, I cannot recall from which state, but it is a law in other New England states. As Mr. Gallivan indicated there are similar laws throughout the United States, but the bill would greatly simplify a conveyancing so obviously is indicated by summing

these very short and brief forms, with the accompanying paragraphs defining the legal effect of each. There are indeed I am sure some pros and some cons, I personally have some reluctance on the mortgage deed for example give up the statement of financial terms which have been recorded by the courts over the years as in the People's Savings Case vs. Kurado, which perhaps some of the practicing lawyers are familiar. But there are certain minimal elements in our age old case law in Connecticut, which could be stated. This bill was drafted by the committee, and I carefully dissociate my previously expressed personal view and is in neutral form without some of these quirks which may or may not be of interest to your committee.

The bill would certainly improve convenancing and move it up by several hundred years. Perforce, it would save recording monies for buyers, which has been advocated as I recall, by the FHA among others, it necessarily would reduce the amount of space required in town clerk's offices, it would reduce the amount of literal books to be used, in sum it just has to be, we would believe, a very good idea and a major of event in the conveyancing which impacts almost all of us in the course of our lives.

The statutory definitions I would advert to very briefly they I think will clarify certain questions previously existing and eliminates some of them. So that conveyancing not only will be briefer, and less expensive but hopefully will originate less questions in here-to-for. Ed Tomkiel talked about the differential between the fee for the recording of the quit claim, and the warantee deed, our committee just felt that there was a little lesser dignity, if you will, to a quit claim vs. a warantee, there was more analagist to a short form release which was the origin of the lesser fee we of course do not feel strongly in this area.

Mr. Gallivan spoke to four areas line 96, to line 104, line 184, and lines 216 to 8 I would submit that the first one number 96, the third 184, and the fourth one 216 to 8 are reasonably clear on their face. I have reexamined them and talked with another on the problem, I think they come out as they would like them. If there is any backlash on this we would be glad to consider them further. Line 104, I have some mixed emotion, I don't know the percise answer to Tom's question. If helpful I would be glad to debate that and help further with the committee and we can come back to you with a supplemental statement or meet with your people on as you might perfer.

Bill 1286, this lets say is an offshoot if you will, of the statutory short form, which is trying to broaden the use of a single form of assignment for mortgages which the short form picks up, and allow it to go on to recurring area of the assignments of leases, assignments of rents and that sort of thing where as best we can understand the law at this moment safest way to release or to convey one of those items is by use of quit claim deed at obvious time to draft or record

S-108

CONNECTICUT
GEN. ASSEMBLY
SENATE

PROCEEDINGS
1975

VOL. 18
PART 4
1559-2065

Thursday, May 15, 1975

34.

over a twenty-year period by the Sewer Authority. Presently, there are fluctuating rates allowed which makes an administrative nightmare for the sewer authority. This would allow a stabilized rate at no more than six and one-half percent. If there is no objection, I move it be placed on the Consent Calendar.

THE PRESIDENT:

Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 639, File 516 and 663. Favorable report joint standing committee on Judiciary. Substitute for House Bill 5427. AN ACT CONCERNING STATUTORY FORMS OF DEEDS AND MORTGAGES, as amended by House Amendment Schedule A.

THE PRESIDENT:

Senator Rome.

SENATOR ROME:

I move the acceptance of and passage of the bill as amended and the Clerk has an amendment and I move adoption of the amendment.

THE CLERK:

The Clerk has two amendments, senator.

THE CLERK:

This is Senate Amendment A, offered by Senator Rome.

LCO 9455.

SENATOR ROME:

Mr. President, I move adoption of the amendment, copies of the amendment are on the desks of all the senators. Might I

Thursday, May 15, 1975

35.

explain the amendment?

roc

THE PRESIDENT:

Proceed to explain it kindly, senator.

SENATOR ROME:

Mr. President, the amendment intends to make certain exactly what the bill itself does. The bill is intended to create a uniform statutory form of deed and yet the bill as it comes to us from the House indicates that the deed shall have the following form, except that it may be altered. Well there is no way that you can have a statutory form that can be printed and can be the form and then allow for its alteration by deletion. You may allow for alteration by adding to and my language provides that you may add to that deed but you may not delete from, for after all, you would then be making it less than a uniform form. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT:

Senator Neiditz.

SENATOR NEIDITZ:

It's a good amendment and hope it is adopted.

THE PRESIDENT:

Question is on the passage of Senate Amendment A. All those in favor, please signify by saying Aye. Those opposed.

THE AMENDMENT IS ADOPTED.

THE CLERK:

The Clerk has an additional amendment. Senate Amendment B as offered by Senator Rome. LCO 9445.

SENATOR ROME:

Mr. President, I move adoption of the amendment, I waive

Thursday, May 15, 1975

36.

the reading. It is on the desks of all the senators.

roc

SENATOR NEIDITZ:

Excuse me, I'd like it read. I want to hear the words, if I may. It's very short.

THE CLERK:

This is Senate Amendment B as offered by Senator Rome. In line 34, delete the bracket. In line 37, delete the bracket. In line 41, after the word deed insert the following language: and attested to by two witnesses with their own hands.

SENATOR ROME:

Excuse me, could you reread, reword, rework those last four words, those are the words he is concerned with.

THE CLERK:

And attested to by two witnesses with their own hands.

SENATOR ROME:

That's what he is worried about. Yes.

THE CLERK:

In lines 131, 141, 151 and 167, to the left of the line provided for signature insert witnessed by.

SENATOR ROME:

I had moved adoption of the amendment. May I remark?

THE PRESIDENT:

Certainly, senator.

SENATOR ROME:

Thank you. The reason that Senator Neiditz wants line 17 reread is he thinks I am getting archaic as I get older and he thinks that attested by two witnesses would be sufficient

Thursday, May 15, 1975

37.

and the other is redundant, but some witnesses could attest by having someone twist their arms or bend them, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And I think it is a good amendment and what it really does is to provide in the statutory form of deed a retention of the requirement of two witnesses. In effect, it really is one witness plus the person taking the acknowledgement but I think we've had situations recently where courts have concerned themselves with the validity of signatures on a deed, as a matter of fact, signatures by either the notary, justice of the peace or the attorney taking the acknowledgement and this really, I think, fortifies the anti-fraud arguments that we need in the statutory form of deed. I would urge adoption of the amendment with my ancient language.

THE PRESIDENT:

Senator Neiditz.

SENATOR NEIDITZ:

I think the amendment is O.K., if inelegant. It's more than archaic language. I would just like the members of the senate to know that the lawyers who were trained in the nineteenth century are still around helping to write our laws; and the word attest has a meaning in any dictionary, but if attest by one's own hand is considered necessary by lawyers to make it look a little more official, then that's fine. I think it's a great amendment.

THE PRESIDENT:

I suppose what Senator Rome has in mind, and if everybody understood what lawyers were doing, you wouldn't need lawyers.

Thursday, May 15, 1975

All those in favor of the adoption of Senate Amendment Schedule B, please signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. You have carried the day, Senator. THE AMENDMENT IS ADOPTED.

SENATOR ROME:

I move adoption as amended and transfer to the Consent Calendar, despite the fact that Senator Neiditz thinks that another one redundant move.

SENATOR NEIDITZ:

He did make a motion, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT:

He did make a motion. There is no objection. All those in favor please signify by saying Aye. Opposed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 654, File 563 and 684. Favorable report joint standing committee on Education. Substitute for House Bill 6747. AN ACT CONCERNING DUTIES OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS RELATIVE TO SCHOOL TRANSPORTATION, as amended by House Amendment Schedule A.

THE PRESIDENT:

Senator Martin.

SENATOR MARTIN: (18th)

Mr. President, I move adoption of Amendment A.

THE PRESIDENT:

The Committee's report has been moved, Senator?

SENATOR MARTIN:

Mr. President, I move the acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

H-374

CONNECTICUT
GEN. ASSEMBLY
HOUSE

PROCEEDINGS
1984

VOL. 27

PART 7

2239-2514

kok

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Have all the members voted? Have all the members voted? Have all the members voted and is your vote properly recorded? If so, the machine will be locked. The machine is still open. If all the members have voted, the machine will be locked. The Clerk will take a tally.

The Clerk please announce the tally.

CLERK:

House Bill 5082.

Total number voting	137
Necessary for passage	69
Those voting yea	137
Those voting nay	0
Those absent and not voting	14

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The bill is passed.

CLERK:

Calendar 268, File 376, House Bill No. 5844, AN ACT CONCERNING THE ADMINISTRATION OF GRANTS TO DISTRESSED MUNICIPALITIES. Favorable Report of the Committee on Judiciary.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Paul Garavel.

REP. GARAVEL: (110th)

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's Favorable Report and passage of the bill.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark, sir?

kss

214

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

REP. GARAVEL: (110th)

Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This bill would create no state or municipal fiscal impact. The bill transfers from the Commissioner of Revenue Services to the Secretary of Policy and Management the authority to process claims for state grants to distressed municipalities.

In practice, the Office of Policy and Management has been processing such claims. Thus, there would be no resulting fiscal impact. The bill also makes technical changes concerning filing dates and an appeal procedure which creates no state or municipal impact. I would urge passage of the bill.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further on the bill. Rep. Belden.

REP. BELDEN: (113th)

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Clerk has an amendment LCO 3214, may he call and read.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The Clerk has amendment LCO 3214, House "A". Will the Clerk please call and read.

CLERK:

LCO No. 3214, designated House Amendment Schedule "A" offered by Rep. Belden and Krawiecki.

In line 38, after the word "WITHIN" strike "TWO"

WEEKS" and insert in lieu thereof "ONE MONTH"

REP. BELDEN: (113th)

I move adoption, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark.

REP. BELDEN: (113th)

Mr. Speaker, very briefly, the file allows two weeks from the time of receipt for the town to make its response or appeal. And knowing just the administrative activity that goes on in a community and their requirements to have notice for meetings etc., I really feel that two weeks is a very minimal amount of time.

I know we passed a bill earlier this year when the State Board of Education gave my town nine days to execute a contract and we were not able to make it. I think it's a very simple amendment, it doesn't do any damage to the file copy at all.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further on the amendment?

REP. GARAVEL: (110th)

Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Garavel.

kss

216 2453

House of Representatives

Thursday, April 19, 1984

REP. GARAVEL: (110th)

Mr. Speaker, I would support the amendment.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Is there any further discussion of the amendment.

All those in favor of the amendment, please indicate
by saying aye.

REPRESENTATIVES:

Aye.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

All those to the contrary, nay.

The amendment is adopted and ruled technical.

Will you remark further on the bill. If not, will members
please be seated, will staff and guests come to the well
of the house. The machine will be opened.

CLERK:

The House of Representatives is now voting by
roll. Will the members please return to the Chamber
immediately.

The House of Representatives is voting by
roll. Will the members return to the Chamber
immediately.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Have all the members voted and is your vote
properly recorded? Have all the members voted and is your

2454

217

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

vote properly recorded? Have all the members voted?
The machine is still opened. Have all the members now
voted, if so the machine will be locked and the Clerk will
take a tally.

Will the Clerk please announce the tally.

CLERK:

House Bill 5844 as amended by House Amendment
Schedule "A".

Total Number Voting	139
Necessary for Passage	70
Those Voting Yea	139
Those Voting Nay	0
Those Absent and Not Voting	12

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The Bill is passed.

CLERK:

Calendar page 13, Calendar No. 270, File 380,
Substitute for Houe Bill No 5542, AN ACT INCREASING THE
AMOUNT PAYABLE UNDER AN ANNUITY CONTRACT ISSUED BY AN
INSURANCE BANK. Favorable report of the Committee on
Insurance and Real Estate.

REP. NOONAN: (70th)

Mr. Speaker.

kss

218

House of Representatives

Thursday, April 19, 1984

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The gentleman from the valley, Gerald M. Noonan.

REP. NOONAN: (70th)

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move an acceptance of the Joint Favorable Report and passage of the bill.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark sir.

REP. NOONAN: (70th)

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe the Clerk has an amendment LCO No. 3517, would he please call and maybe I -- may I be allowed to summarize.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The Clerk has an amendment, LCO 3517, House "A", will the Clerk please call.

CLERK:

LCO No. 3517 designated House Amendment Schedule "A" offered by Representatives Onorato and Karsky.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Noonan asked leave to summarize is there objection? Seeing no objection, please proceed.

REP. NOONAN: (140th)

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This bill would increase from \$1,000 to \$5,000 the amount that can be paid out on a savings bank annuity. However, the amendment and if it's

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

adopted which we certainly hope would become the most important part of the bill which would place a \$20,000 per year pay out limitation on any pay out on a savings bank annuity.

So I would move that the amendment be adopted, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further on House "A". Will you remark further. If not all those in favor of the amendment please indicate by saying aye.

REPRESENTATIVES:

Aye.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

All those to the contrary, nay.

REPRESENTATIVES:

No.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The amendment is adopted and ruled technical.
Will you remark further on the bill.

House Amendment Schedule "A":

In line 66, insert an opening bracket before the word "exclusive"

In line 67, insert a closing bracket after the word "refunds", strike ", AND THE" and insert the following

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

after the closing bracket: ". NO INSURANCE BANK SHALL WRITE ANY ANNUITY CONTRACT FOR ANY APPLICANT IF SUCH CONTRACT, TOGETHER WITH ANNUITY CONTRACTS WRITTEN BY OTHER INSURANCE BANKS AND THE SAVINGS BANK CONTRACTS WRITTEN BY OTHER INSURANCE BANKS AND THE SAVINGS BANK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY FOR SUCH APPLICANT, WOULD BIND SUCH BANKS AND SUCH COMPANY TO PAY IN ANY ONE YEAR MORE THAN TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS."

Strike lines 68 to 70, inclusive, in their entirety.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Noonan.

REP. NOONAN: (140th)

Mr. Speaker, the amendment is really the bill now. I move that it be passed.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further. If not, will members please be seated, will staff and guests please come to the Well of the House.

REP. JACKEL: (122nd)

Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Jackel.

REP. JACKEL: (122nd)

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Clerk has an amendment it's LCO 3208. Would the Clerk please call and read the amendment.

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

The Clerk has an amendment, LCO 3208 which will be designated House "B". Would the Clerk please call and read.

CLERK:

LCO 3208 designated House Amendment Schedule "B" offered by Representatives Jackel and Belden.

In line 70, before the period insert the following:
"PROVIDED BEFORE ANY SUCH ANNUITY CONTRACT IS EXECUTED THE PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER OF THE CONTRACT SHALL BE GIVEN WRITTEN NOTICE BY THE INSURANCE BANK WHICH CLEARLY EXPLAINS THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ACT"

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Jackel:

REP. JACKEL: (122nd)

I move adoption of the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark.

REP. JACKEL: (122nd)

Yes, Mr. Speaker, this amendment was drafted prior to House "A" being adopted in part of the bill. The idea behind it which I still think is valid is to make sure that if an individual shops from bank to bank

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

purchasing annuity contracts that if he indeed exceeds the \$20,000 limit on what he purchased that when he tries to collect the purchaser will find that there was a \$20,000 limit on what he can be paid, but may well have purchased far in excess of that.

The idea about receiving notice when the purchasers is buying contact by contract, \$5,000 at a time, let's say, that he receive notice of the \$20,000 aggregate limit so that if something goes wrong and he doesn't get his money and get what he thought he bought, at least he had noticed that he was at risk by doing that. That's the purpose of the amendment. I move its adoption.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further on the amendment.

Rep. Noonan.

REP. NOONAN: (70th)

Mr. Speaker, I support the amendment.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further on the amendment. If not, all those in favor of the amendment, please indicate by saying aye.

REPRESENTATIVES:

Aye.

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

All those to the contrary, nay.

The amendment is adopted and ruled technical.

Will you remark further on the bill.

REP. NOONAN: (70th)

Mr. Speaker, I would move passage of the bill.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Will you remark further. If not, will members please be seated. Staff and guests to the Well of the House.

The machine will be opened. Staff and guests to the Well of the House please.

CLERK:

The House of Representatives is now voting by roll. Would the members return to the Chamber immediately.

The House of Representatives is voting by roll.

Will the members please return to the Chamber immediately.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Have all the members voted and is your vote properly recorded. Have all the members voted? If all the members have voted, the machine will be locked. The Clerk will take a tally.

Rep. Berman, the machine is locked.

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

REP. BERMAN: (92nd)

In the affirmative please.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Berman of the 92nd in the affirmative. Rep. DeZinno.

REP. DE ZINNO: (84th)

In the affirmative, sir.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. DeZinno of the 84th in the affirmative. Rep. Belaga of the 136th.

REP. BELAGA: (136th)

In the affirmative.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Van Norstrand.

REP. VAN NORSTRAND: (141st)

In the affirmative, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Van Norstrand, in the affirmative, 141st.

REP. KEZER: (22nd)

Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Kezer.

REP. KEZER: (22nd)

In the affirmative, please.

225²462

kss

House of Representatives Thursday, April 19, 1984

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Kezer of the 22nd in the affirmative.

REP. GILES:

Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Giles.

REP. GILES: (6th)

Mr. Speaker, apparently I matched the wrong button. I'd like to be registered in the affirmative, please.

SPEAKER STOLBERG:

Rep. Giles from the 9th, from the negative to the affirmative. It does appear to the Chair that the votes are coming up fairly rapidly and would probably be expedited if the members would remain close to the Chamber. When the Clerk is able, if he would announce the tally, please.

CLERK:

House Bill 5542 as amended by House Amendment Schedules "A" and "B".

Total Number Voting	143
Necessary for Passage	72
Those Voting Yea	138
Those Voting Nay	6
Those Absent and not Voting	8

H-166

CONNECTICUT
GEN. ASSEMBLY
HOUSE

PROCEEDINGS
1975

VOL. 18
PART 9
4041-4553

House of Representatives

Monday, May 19, 1975

139
mms

THE CLERK:

Calendar 685. Substitute for House Bill 8379. AN ACT
CONCERNING VEHICLES APPROACHING BLIND PERSONS WITH CANES. As amended by
Senate Amendment Schedule "A".

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Representative Sweeney from the 46th.

REP. SWEENEY (46th):

I move that this measure be re-committed to the Committee on
Transportation.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Is there objection? Is there objection? The item is
re-committed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar 747. Substitute for Senate Bill 1314. AN ACT
CONCERNING ALTERNATE MEMBERS FOR LOCAL CONSERVATION COMMISSIONS. As
amended by House Amendment Schedule "A" which the Senate rejected on
May 15th.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The Representative from the 33rd. Representative Dzialo.

REP. DZIALO (33rd):

Mr. Speaker, I move that this Bill be re-committed to the
Committee on Government Administration and Policy.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Is there objection? Is there objection? Hearing none, the
item is re-committed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar 753. Substitute for House Bill 5427. AN ACT
CONCERNING STATUTORY FORMS OF DEEDS AND MORTGAGES. As amended by House

House of Representatives

Monday, May 19, 1975

140
mmsAmendment Schedule "A" and Senate Amendment Schedules "A" and "B".

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Representative Lowden from the 146th.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's Favorable Report and passage of the Bill.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage. Will you remark?

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Mr. Speaker, the Clerk has Senate Amendment Schedule "A" LCO 9455 which I would ask that he read. Pardon, I would ask that the reading be waived and I be allowed to explain it.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Clerk please call Senate "A".

THE CLERK:

Senate Amendment Schedule "A" LCO Number 9455.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Mr. Speaker.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Does anyone object to the gentleman summarizing? Please proceed.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Mr. Speaker, Senator Amendment Schedule "A" merely substitutes one, two or three words for another one, two or three words, as the case may be in the various instances. It is designed to clarify the language which...it...does not...excuse me, does not change the substance of the Bill and I would move adoption of Senate Amendment Schedule "A".

House of Representatives

Monday, May 19, 1975

141

mms

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of Senate "A". Will you...will you remark? Remark? If not, all those in favor of Senate "A" signify by saying Aye. Those who oppose? Senate "A" is adopted. The Chair rules it technical.

The gentleman from the 146th.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

The Clerk has Senate Amendment Schedule "B", which I should ask the privilege of explaining it.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Clerk please call Senate "B". Does any one object to the gentleman summarizing? If not,....

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment Schedule "B" will warm the cockles of the heart of Representative Stevens. It's an Amendment which he proposed when we discussed this Bill on the floor of the House some days ago. I opposed it at that time. I didn't think it was necessary, but I think the Bill is important enough to be passed and I will happily accept that Amendment.

The Amendment, in effect, provides that the deeds prescribed in the Bill be signed in the presence of two witnesses who must subscribe their names beneath the words to be inserted in the deed forms, "witnessed by". I move acceptance of Senate Amendment Schedule "B".

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of Senate "B". Will you remark?

The gentleman from the 119th.

REP. STEVENS (119th):

House of Representatives

Monday, May 19, 1975

142
mms

I'm pleased to rise and support Senate Amendment "B" which is my original House Amendment, that after losing down here I took up stairs and gave to some Senators and indicated that contrary to my usual belief, the House did not have its perception, on the day that I offered the Amendment, and asked the Senate to consider the wisdom that I had imparted to the House.

The Senate, having agreed and that action changing the mind of the gentleman from Stamford, I'm happy to be able to rise here again and support this Amendment. And that know that in the future that perhaps what I should do is take my changes on Election laws or Real Estate laws, to the Senate first and then bring it down to the House.

Having said all this, I am sure this Amendment will be defeated. It will be a disagreeing action Mr. Speaker.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Remark further on Senate "B"? The gentleman from the 34th.
REP. O'NEILL (34th):

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Amendment, although I'm doubly suspect of Mr. Stevens' original intentions as the Senate put the Amendment on. But I do support it.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Remark further on Senate "B"? If not, all those in favor of Senate "B" signify by saying Aye. Those who oppose Senate "B"? The Chair will try your minds again.

The gentleman from the 146th.
REP. LOWDEN(146th):

I move that the vote be taken by roll call.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

All those in favor of a roll call please signify by saying Aye.

House of Representatives

Monday, May 19, 1975

143
mms

The Chair feels that a significant number has indicated a roll call. A roll call will be ordered.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Mr. Speaker, one last word.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 146th.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Although our good friend from across the aisle prescribes to himself great wisdom, with which I will not quarrel, I want to make it clear that I still support the Amendment.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The Chair....all Members please take their seats. Would the staff please come to the well? The machine will be open. The machine will be closed. Clerk please take a tally.

Representative Bertinuson.....

REP. BERTINUSON (57th):

In the affirmative, please.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

from the 57th.

REP. BERTINUSON (57th):

In the affirmative please.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Representative Bertinuson. Clerk please announce the tally.

THE ASSISTANT CLERK:

Total Number Voting.....	136
Necessary for Adoption.....	69
Those Voting Yea.....	136
Those Voting Nay.....	0
Those absent and not Voting....	15

House of Representatives

Monday, May 19, 1975

144
mms

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Senate "A" is adopted.

The Chair rules Senate "B" technical.

Gentleman from the 146th.

REP. LOWDEN (146th):

Mr. Speaker, I move passage of the Bill as amended in concurrence with the Senate.

The Bill was discussed at some length last week and I don't feel it's necessary for me to remark at this time.

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance in concurrence with the Senate as amended by Senate "A" and Senate "B".

All the Members please take their seats...and House "A". Staff please come to the well. The machine will be open. Every Member voted? The machine will be closed. Clerk please take a tally. Clerk please announce the tally.

THE ASSISTANT CLERK:

Total Number voting.....	138
Necessary for Passage.....	70
Those Voting Yea.....	138
Those Voting Nay.....	0
Those absent and not Voting.....	13

THE DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The Bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar 784. Substitute for House Bill 5222. AN ACT CONCERNING SERVICES PERFORMED FOR THE MILITARY DEPARTMENT. Amended by Senate Amendment Schedule "A".

THE SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR

THE SPEAKER: