

SB 212

PA 815

1971

Transportation -

House 5812-5831

Senate 2831 - 2832

22

**H-120**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 13  
5555-6226**

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

258

of that act and make it possible for a recalculation of the total amount of money to be made available on the basis of the formula adopted on that act. There is no additional money in it, merely a recalculation, and I would urge its passage.

MR. KABLIK (22nd):

Mr. Speaker, hopefully thanks the Assembly and we hope that we can thank the Senate too.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the Wethersfield bill? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed? The bill is PASSED.

MR. PAPANDREA (78th):

Mr. Speaker, we are then going to proceed to page 15, the second item from the top of the page, Calendar No. 1517, substitute for S. B. No. 0212, File No. 1528.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, I'd like to yield to Rep. Bingham who has an amendment.

THE SPEAKER:

The Clerk will call Amendment Schedule "A".

THE CLERK:

House "A" offered by Mr. Bingham of the 157th.

MR. BINGHAM (157th):

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

259

Mr. Speaker.

ad

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman will outline the amendment.

MR. BINGHAM (157th):

Yes, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the amendment simply changes the constitution of the commission. There are now four members appointed by the legislature and five by the Governor, one of whom shall be the Commissioner of the Department of Consumer Protection and I would say, Mr. Speaker, that the addition of the Commissioner of the Department of Consumer Protection would be a beautiful addition to the Athletic Commission. This makes a good bill better. I move the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER:

Will you remark further on Amendment Schedule "A"? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed? Amendment "A" is ADOPTED.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report as in concurrence with the Senate, as amended by House Amendment Schedule "A".

THE SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, this bill establishes a State Athletic Commission which would have the supervision of all--

THE SPEAKER:

Rep. LaRosa.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

260

Of all events within the State of Connecticut. It gives the, it gives the opportunity for anyone who wants to participate in amateur or professional athletics and it will be assured that it will be strictly supervised by this commission which will be two that will be appointed by the Speaker, two by the Senator Pro Tem and five by the Governor of the State of Connecticut. I urge its passage.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill as amended?

MR. LENGE (13th):

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Boxing is back. We had the first round and it was in good shape. It came back for a second round on reconsideration. We thought he was down and out. He came back for the third round. Maybe we can just win a decision, I don't know. It's really going to be up to you this time. I think you've heard all the arguments pro and con. I think that at this point to restate them all, other than the fact that it is that, boxing, and that it is an activity of a type that ought not to be confused with the words, sports activity, I think you ought to remember from the previous discussions and I think that at this point to belabor all that was said before, if you find that it's the type of activity with all of its vicarious predatory instincts that are raised by it, that you want all of the children and everyone else to participate in, you make the decision this time.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill as amended?

MR. LOCKE (49th):

Mr. Speaker, I rise in favor of the bill. I've always been a boxing fan. I'll always be a boxing fan. I think it's a good sport, Mr. Speaker.

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

261

I won't belabor the point any more but I am in favor of this bill and I hope it passes. ad

THE SPEAKER:

Will you remark further?

MR. BINGHAM (157th):

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the bill. This bill is more than just a boxing bill. This is the establishment of a State Athletic Commission strictly controlled. It is a good bill, Mr. Speaker, and I urge its passage.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks?

MR. CASSIDENTO (106th):

I also rise in support of this bill. I think it's a good bill. Unfortunately, some of the blood and guts of a very flowery speech, I assume, the last time this bill came up, swayed very many people. However, I think in the long run, this is a good bill and I urge its passage.

MR. MORRIS (111th):

Mr. Speaker, briefly I rise to support the bill as amended. Mr. Speaker, you know we have a colliseum in New Haven and we would like to have this bill passed.

MR. COATSWORTH (76th):

Mr. Speaker, I opposed this bill, or one similar to it, when it came up before this house some several weeks ago. I don't know how it managed to come up again but here it is. I would oppose it again for much the same reasons I did then. I don't know how it is that we can get a bill on this floor three times during one session with the same particular special interest subject group. But I would like to oppose this bill on the basis that Connecticut

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

262

doesn't need it, on the basis of evidence and testimony that was given here a few weeks back concerning the damage done to those who participate in this sport. Connecticut did away with professional boxing in 1965. I would urge rejection of this bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill?

MR. STEVENS (122nd):

Mr. Speaker, I would also rise in opposition to this bill. And I do think this House had a long debate one afternoon several weeks ago on the subject or whether or not we wanted professional prize fighting back in the State of Connecticut. And my recollection was that it was rather overwhelmingly defeated in this House. At that time, there was a great deal of talk on the subject and I think it was pointed out quite emphatically that nobody profits from professional boxing. The men who get involved in it usually end up owing Uncle Sam thousands of dollars, end up in many cases imbeciles and in other cases, less fortunate than that. All of this has been debated here before. I think on the night before adjournment for it to come back before this House is not proper. It's been down twice, as Rep. Lenge has said. I regret that Rep. Webber from New Haven is not here to give his usual eloquent speech against this particular subject. Those who wish to engage in non-professional boxing in Connecticut can do so today under our law. This gaming commission, not gaming commission, that was yesterday, this commission we're discussing now, it appears to me, is nothing but a way of bringing back before this House a subject which we said we do not want again in Connecticut. In 1965, the legislature outlawed professional boxing. Let's keep it that way and defeat this bill.

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

263

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill?

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, I'd like to bring to the attention of this House--

THE SPEAKER:

Mr. LaRosa for the second time.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Speaking for the second time, that under section 4, it says that the commission shall have the sole jurisdiction over all exhibition professional as well as amateur. Mr. Speaker, we passed yesterday the gaming bill. We are the only state in the union that has outlawed boxing. This does not only deal with boxing. It deals with an Athletic Commission which will supervise all these different activities. Mr. Speaker, I submit to you that under this bill, that only the people that will have the best physical condition under the medical advisory board within this bill, that will have to go through the rules and regulations that will be set up by this commission. I can assure you, Mr. Speaker, if there was a bout tonight at eleven o'clock on television, Mohammad Ali and Frazier were fighting, I would guarantee you that everyone in this room would be first in line to witness a television bout on the screen between these two contestants. Mr. Speaker, the only time you have problems is when you have people that are not in good physical condition and you pit them one against the other and then only at that time, that you're going to have a problem as far as boxing is concerned. The State of Connecticut should be proud. We have produced champions, not only in the field of boxing but in every endeavor that we have undertaken.

Mr. Speaker, I can say to you that we should not go backwards and be

ad

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

264

the only state in the union that outlaws this sport. This bill makes the sport ad where it would be strictly regulated. It will be a sport that will be under the complete supervision of nine members of this commission and I can assure you, Mr. Speaker, that if they do not do the job that can be expected of them, then I will be on the floor of this House in 1973 and ask for the repeal of that act. Thank you. I urge its passage.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks?

MR. SARASIN (95th):

Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I'm confused and as Rep. Brown likes to say as being a country teacher, well I'm just a country lawyer but I can't understand how this same item is before us for the third time to be argued and debated in exactly the same manner. It doesn't make any sense to me that the vehicle is a slightly different type of a vehicle. We're still debating the issue of professional boxing in the State of Connecticut, an issue which was put to rest a long time ago in this state and put to rest just a couple of weeks ago and then a few days after that, finally we thought. It's incredible to me that this item keeps coming back and taking up our time when here we are, in the last days and last hours of the session. I think it's time that we just simply killed the bill once and for all, unless we may get it back tomorrow morning or afternoon under a guise of some new bill. I urge its defeat.

THE SPEAKER:

Mr. Morris from the 111th speaking for the second time.

MR. MORRIS (111th):

Mr. Speaker, like any great champion, the boxing bill is back and it will not be defeated now, it will never be defeated because like any great

champion, it will always sustain itself. This is the desire of many people in ad this state. Now, if you really look about our society, you see those individuals who probably do not stand up to what we were when we were kids when we used to fight in the streets, we used to box, we used to go and involve ourselves in those athletic events. We have many kids today that I would, might say to you or attest that I do not feel are too manly. Now if we want to maintain a manly society, yes sir, Mr. Speaker, if we want to maintain a manly society, those individuals, those youths and our young adults should involve themselves in this kind of activity. Yes, if they want to box professionally, I think they should be allowed to do so. Now let's get away from those things I see in the streets, they can't fight their way out of a paperbag.

MR. GAGLIARDI (103rd):

Mr. Speaker, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this is a mockery and I deplore what's happened here again tonight. I also live in the area of New Haven where the colliseum is going up. We have killed this bill in '65, in '67, in '69 and again this year and I fail to understand how it gets back on the floor. I see no point of debating it any further. We can talk it to death and as far as I'm concerned if that's what it would take, I would to enlist the help of everybody on this floor to talk it to death the rest of the night, because it does not belong back here and should never again come up. I simply urge everybody here to get your vocal chords oiled up again and beat this thing down where it belongs, on the floor of the ring.

THE SPEAKER:

Are you ready to vote?

MR. BINGHAM (157th):

Mr. Speaker, I urge the Assembly not to get their vocal chords up

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

266

again. We're back for the third round and, Mr. Speaker, the people of Connecticut, in spite of what everybody has said in this House against boxing or against the Athletic Commission, by a poll which was taken in the Hartford Times, this is a people's bill, the people want this bill three to one. Let's give it to them.

MR. TACINELLI (108th):

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this is a commission that would be set up to regulate all games, not just boxing. I hear boxing being the only issue here. It would regulate wrestling and I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that wrestling could use a little regulating. The only time you see a match is when they forget which one is supposed to win. Mr. Speaker, they are not wrestlers, they should pay dues to the actor's guild. They're strictly actors and perhaps, Mr. Speaker, we'll be getting a return match with the ping pong team for China. That would also be regulated under this bill. Boxing, sure, if the commission, in its wisdom, sees that we need boxing here, they could have boxing here. It's ironic that only twenty-five, or twenty-five years ago this week, Willie Pep, a member or a native Hartfordite, won the World's Featherweight Champion, a great asset to the State of Connecticut. It's not just boxing, Mr. Speaker. We need this commission for other sports, also. Thank you.

MR. ORCUTT (100th):

Mr. Speaker, here we go again. Talking about acting and in opposition to this bill, down one time, down two times now, if we accept the report here, it means that our illustrious committee entitled supposedly the Judiciary Committee will be bringing back in the last day of the session every bill that we voted down a couple times for another chance. I think this is absolutely

ad

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

267

ridiculous, not in the interest of the people of the State of Connecticut. I think that it's a very bad bill and I'm very disappointed with the Judiciary Committee in playing this kind of game.

ad

THE SPEAKER:

With all the people on their feet, maybe the quickest way to get a decision on this bill would be an immediate roll call.

MR. ... (unidentified).

So move.

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on a roll call. All those in favor indicate by saying aye. The House will stand at ease.

MR. OLIVER (104th):

Mr. Speaker, I like a good fight as much as anybody in the hall. I reviewed the bill as a member of the Appropriations Committee and I don't recall any appropriation whatsoever in the budget to pay for this commission. There is no appropriation in the bill. The bill didn't go to the Appropriations Committee. Mr. Speaker, I move that the bill be committed to the Committee on Appropriations.

THE SPEAKER:

Does the gentleman wish to push his motion?

MR. OLIVER (104th):

I really think it ought to be, Mr. Speaker, in keeping with our policy on other matters.

THE SPEAKER:

Is that a yes or a no answer?

MR. OLIVER (104th):

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

268

Yes. If I'm the only one, I don't mind at all. I like a good fight. I think it ought to go to Appropriations.

THE SPEAKER:

The motion is to refer this item to the Committee on Appropriations. Will you remark on the motion? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed? The Chair is in doubt. I suggest you take this motion by roll call.

MR. AJELLO (118th):

I so move, sir.

THE SPEAKER:

I thought you would, Rep. Ajello. Question is on a roll call. All those in favor will indicate by saying aye. A roll call will be ordered. I'd now remind the members that we have pending before us a very narrow issue. The question of the referral of a bill to a committee, the Committee on Appropriations. Will you remark on that motion?

MR. LEARY (43rd):

Mr. Speaker, at this point, I'm not really sure what the parliamentary procedure is but I'd like to stand and speak in favor of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

I would suggest that that is out of order at this point. We have pending before us a motion to refer to the Committee on Appropriations. I'd invite debate only on that item.

MR. MORANO (151st):

Mr. Speaker, I oppose sending this bill to Appropriations. We've gone this far. Let's vote on whether we want this Athletic Commission or not and get it over with.

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

269

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the motion to refer?

ad

MR. AVCOLLIE (94th):

Mr. Speaker, through you, Mr. Speaker, to Mr. Oliver, I'm just curious as to where in the bill the item of expense lies that suggests it should go to appropriations. I'm not as attuned to the Appropriations Committee as he is.

MR. OLIVER (104th):

Mr. Speaker, in section 2, sub-paragraph a, line 17 following, members of said commission shall not be compensated for their services as such but shall be reimbursed for all necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties. That's on page one and then it goes on. There are many other places so, you know, if we're consistent then I think we ought to do it.

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 94th still has the floor.

MR. AVCOLLIE (94th):

I oppose committal to the Appropriations Committee, Mr. Speaker. I think that there have been bills that have gone through here that have incidental expenses that we have been able to take care of without going to Appropriations. This motion to commit to Appropriations is just another short count on this entire boxing story. I know that I can't get into the entire matter but it seems to me that if it's going to be heard, it should be heard again and we should have a full count and this is not a full count. And, I oppose the motion.

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 95th, Mr. Sarasin. I'd again remind the members we have pending before us now a roll call on the motion to refer this

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

270

bill to the Committee on Appropriations.

MR. SARASIN (95th):

Mr. Speaker, speaking in favor of the committal to the Committee on Appropriations, I think that in the first section, it's been pointed out by Rep. Oliver where the money is. In the fifth section, it refers to the medical board and says the members of the board shall receive such compensation as may be fixed by the commission. In the sixth section, it says that every sporting event shall have a deputy commissioner in, present at the time and he shall file a report and so forth. These things are not going to be done without these people being paid for it. It's a proper motion and it should be referred to the Committee on Appropriations. Hopefully, it will never come out.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, this bill does not call for any compensation as far as members of the commission are concerned. If they read further in the bill, Mr. Speaker, this is one bill that will pay for itself and possibly give some additional revenue to the State of Connecticut.

In section 9, it says that each applicant for a license and before a license is issued by that commission shall pay a fee of \$25 for judges, trainers and what have you, anyone that participates within the confines of this Athletic Commission. Mr. Speaker, it also says, within the bill, that everyone who will participate, who will receive any form of a license to put any sporting event that would be sanctioned by this Athletic Commission, whether it be a wrestling match, whether it be professional boxing, a fee will be paid in regards to that particular license. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, this bill does not call for any appropriations of monies. It does not call for any, anything other than the supervision of the bill, of the Athletic Commission itself by these commissioners who will be, not be compensated for their

ad

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

271

services but only be reimbursed for their expenses and I assure you, Mr. Speaker, that the expenses will not exceed what the bill would take in in licensing fees because, as we know, there are an awful lot of people who are interested in this bill and it will give some opportunity to some people to get back within the confines of athletic activity. I move defeat of this here.

MR. MORRIS (111th):

Mr. Speaker, briefly. Yes, Mr. Speaker, realizing we have some 80 items to go on the calendar, speaking on the motion--

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, on page 10, it is brought to our attention--

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 11th has been recognized. Would the gentleman from the 4th stop speaking. Rep. Morris is recognized.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Stepping on my words. Mr. Speaker, briefly, realizing that we have some 80 items to go and I'd just like to say that this is just another parliamentary procedure to kill a very good bill and I hope that everyone realizes this.

THE SPEAKER:

Are we ready to vote? If the members would be seated, members please be seated and we'll proceed with the vote.

MR. CASSIDENTO (106th):

Mr. Speaker, with respect to the argument that this bill pays for itself, I also refer the House's attention to page 10 of this bill where the state gets 5% of the total gross receipts. So this bill more than pays for itself, Mr. Speaker, and it should not be sent to Appropriations.

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

272

THE SPEAKER:

The last shall be first, Rep. Pearson.

MRS. PEARSON (128th):

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to support recommitment. We do have boxing with our Police Athletic Association and with our boys clubs in Connecticut so we do have boxing for the young boys and so I think that recommitment would be in order because the young boys do have this. My question is, what do you big boys want to do with boxing?

THE SPEAKER:

Will the members please be seated?

MR. PROVENZANO (127th):

Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I think every member in this House is, was of either Irish, Polish, Italian or Black heritage should refuse to send this bill to Appropriations. It's an affront to those people who have provided the greatest fighters in this, that this country has ever known. Think of the Tunneys and the rest of them, the Dempseys and Willie Pep.

THE SPEAKER:

Will the members please be seated. The vote is to refer to the Committee on Appropriations. If you favor the motion, vote aye. If you favor referring to the Committee on Appropriations, vote yes. If you're opposed, vote no. The machine will be opened. Has every member voted? Is your vote recorded in the fashion you wish? Please check the board so that we don't have to go through this again. The machine will be locked and the Clerk will take a tally.

MR. LA ROSA (4th):

Mr. Speaker, I move passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Would the gentleman like the Clerk to announce the tally?

THE CLERK:

Total Number Voting . . . . .	150
Necessary to Refer to Appropriations . . . . .	76
Those voting Yea . . . . .	59
Those voting Nay . . . . .	91
Absent and Not Voting . . . . .	27

THE SPEAKER:

The motion is LOST. We have pending before us an immediate roll call order on the bill itself. Will you remark further?

MR. FRAZIER (10th):

Mr. Speaker, I rise because I'm going to vote against this bill. Mr. Speaker, this boxing has brutalized more young children, especially black children, than, I get so tensed up, sorry sir. One out of every 750 black athletes in the boxing world has gained any type of recognition. It's archaic. Mr. Speaker, as Rep. Marilyn Pearson said, that this is a child's game. So is baseball but I like baseball better because people aren't brutalized. I'm vehemently against boxing.

MR. SPIEGEL (126th):

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this bill. I thought we had given this a pretty sound knockout when we voted on it last time. I'm a little regretful that our Rep. Al Webber isn't here who spoke so eloquently on the bill at that time. It's not a better bill today than it was then. I know of nothing that has occurred in the last couple of weeks that would change the opinion of this body. It was, it was notorious for years that there was never a public demand for this. There has still been no public demand. I ask you to search your own files, your own letters, your own correspondence, who has

ad

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

274

asked you to vote for this bill? It's a bad bill and I hope it's defeated.

ad

MR. LEARY (43rd):

Mr. Speaker, it seems somewhat incongruous to me that today that this House gave a standing ovation to a gentleman from our state from Glastonbury, Connecticut, who as I understand was voted the rookie of the year at the Indianapolis Speedway, and Mr. Speaker, certainly there's no sport in America where more people are killed. I think it's a good bill and I'm kind of glad it's round three and we're standing up and taking the count once more.

THE SPEAKER:

Rep. Cassidento speaking for the second time.

MR. CASSIDENTO (106th):

Mr. Speaker, tonight's paper, the New Haven Register, has a short article out of a study which has just been made. The biggest argument that the opponents of this bill has is that this sport of boxing causes serious injury and death. The fact of the matter, Mr. Speaker, according to today's paper, Tuesday, June 8th, New Haven Register, the sports page, Mr. Oliver's paper, the following sports cause more death than boxing. As a matter of fact, boxing is down so low it is not even mentioned. In the first place, we have motorcycle racing, auto racing, power boat racing, horse racing, football, Now, Mr. Speaker, the fact of the matter is football is a more dangerous sport than boxing. I've done both. As a matter of fact, boxing is one way I got through college. After the blood and guts speech by Mr. Webber, I sort of got all shook up and I was afraid to get up and speak that evening. I went home and my wife talked me out of getting an electroencephlogram test. I didn't know whether or not my children were affected by the injuries I received in the ring. My seven year old boy wanted to be a fireman so I guess he's normal.

One more thing, Mr. Speaker, many kids today don't know the pleasure of getting a punch in the nose. Now, I'm not speaking in any masochistic way. What I'm speaking about, Mr. Speaker, is when you get a punch in the nose, you hit the deck and you get up again. It really does your heart good. You know, you know, Mr. Speaker, you could get up and fight again. There are too many instances where people are afraid to get involved. There are too many instances where kids don't even know how to use their hands today. They're afraid to step up and assert themselves. They're afraid of stepping up and objecting to being stepped on. Mr. Speaker, I submit-

MR. CAMP (163rd):

Mr. Speaker, a point of order. This is irrelevant to the debate. We've sat here for three times on the same damn bill and I'm tired of listening to it.

MR. CASSIDENTO (106th):

Mr. Speaker, we could have trial by combat.

THE SPEAKER:

I suggest that the gaming commission hasn't been signed into law but I don't want to get involved in the odds on that one. I think we're ready to vote. I really do.

MR. CASSIDENTO (106th):

I urge passage of the bill, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER:

It would do my heart good if we would just vote on the bill.

MRS. CLARK (101st):

The only reason that I can think that this can possibly be back is that it's a prelude to some kind of gaming in the colisseum in New Haven and

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

276

eventually you'll be back to have all sorts of goodies going along with all ad  
of these sporting events. I just think it's so sad that the only, I just think  
it's so sad that after all Mr. Webber's nice statistics about the jibbering  
idiots that are wandering around who've had their brains beaten in, you still  
have the wonderful instinct to do everyone in and yourselves in too and it's all  
just great but I suppose it's inevitable and it's just human instinct that is  
rising above civilization but to me it's a great step backwards.

THE SPEAKER:

Will the members please be seated and the aisles cleared?

MR. LENGE (13th):

I had intended to abstain from the discussion on this because I had  
participated in the previous times. But I think that it ought not to go un-  
noted, that the gentleman from New Haven who quoted statistics with respect to  
death, was not really addressing the question at all. How about the half  
deaths? That's the sport, if it is a sport, and I call it a sub-human activity,  
that's the one where people walk around half dead and no study has been made  
of that. Had this point not been introduced as a new factor, I would have left  
it to you to make the decision but I can't let that go unchallenged.

THE SPEAKER:

The machine will be open. Has every member voted? The machine is  
open and the vote is in process. Has every member voted. Is your vote recorded  
in the fashion you wish? The machine will be locked and the Clerk will take a  
tally.

THE CLERK:

Total Number Voting . . . . .	151
Necessary for Passage . . . . .	76

THE SPEAKER:

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

277

Rep. Camp from the 103rd.

ad

MR. CAMP (163rd):

I was recorded in error. I'd like to vote in favor of the bill.

MR. SPIEGEL (126th):

Mr. Speaker, I voted in error. I'd like to be recorded in favor of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

May the votes be corrected accordingly.

MR. DOOLEY (47th):

Mr. Speaker, my vote was also recorded incorrectly. I'd like to vote against the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Rep. Spiegel, Rep. Camp indicates they were in their chair and wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Rep. Dooley indicates that he was in his chair and wishes to be recorded in the negative. The Clerk will announce the corrected tally.

THE CLERK:

Total Number Voting . . . . .	151
Necessary for Passage . . . . .	76
Those voting Yea . . . . .	88
Those voting Nay . . . . .	64
Absent and Not Voting . . . . .	26

THE SPEAKER:

The bill as amended is PASSED.

MR. AJELLO (118th):

Mr. Speaker, I move reconsideration of our action on this item. I was a member of the prevailing vote.

THE SPEAKER:

**S-81  
CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 6  
2436-2873**

June 3, 1971

Page 104

municipality. Section 3, Commissioner may acquire by purchase or gift of any land interest in land or housing needed for such replacement housing.

Section 4. the Commissioner shall at state expense, complete the site preparation that is, the land scaping, trees, shrubs etc., streets or curbing and sidewalks and under Section 5, the Commissioner shall install or arrange to have installed public utilities. Section 6, Mr. President, the Commissioner shall offer to have the owner relocate the dwelling who resided therein, the opportunity to purchase the dwelling and land in which it was relocated at the same place the state paid said owner for the original dwelling and land. If such a resident does not purchase such replacement housing within three months of the offer, the Commissioner may then offer such replacement housing to other persons displaced by public construction at the cost to the state of providing such replacement housing. Otherwise, he may dispose the replacement as provided by the law. It's a good bill and I urge passage.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill is passed.

Senator Caldwell, we've had a request that the bill at the top of the page 15 be considered next?

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Yes. I would appreciate it, if it were taken up.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 1063. File No. 1528. Favorable report of the joint Committee on Government Administration and Policy. Substitute Senate Bill 212. An Act Concerning the Establishment of a State Athletic Commission.

June 3, 1971

Page 105

SENATOR ROME:

Mr. President, with Senator Caldwell's approval, I don't believe there's any opposition to that. To the best of my knowledge, could go on the Consent Calendar.

THE CHAIR:

Is there any opposition to the passage of this bill? If not, if it will be moved. We'll pass it right now.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Is there any opposition, if not, the bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 1046. File No. 1541. Favorable report of the joint committee on the Environment. Substitute Senate Bill 1485. An Act Concerning Solid Waste Management.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. The Clerk has an amendment. Will the Clerk, please read the amendment?

THE CLERK:

SENATE AMENDMENT SCHEDULE, A, offered by Senator Pac:

In line 8, after the word system, insert a comma and the following words: but shall not include scrap metals held for re-use or resale by a scrap metal dealer.

SENATOR PAC.