

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-703		6197	4	3	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>General Law</i> 384-386 • <i>General Law</i> 391 				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3627-3629 	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3403-3404(<i>Consent</i>)

H-115

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 8
3377-3877**

Monday, May 24, 1971

72.

passing a lot of drug legislation and if these nonprofit organizations are receiving this funding, our commissioner should have these regulations so that they will have something more to abide by. More and more questions have been coming to light, more and more problems have been coming to light as the drug problem has been expanding we have been giving more funding and more leaway to these organizations. I think this bill will tighten up some of the problems that have been called to the attention of the commissioner even though some of the communities are unaware of these problems. I'd like to support this bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Will anyone else remark further. If not, the question is on acceptance and passage of the bill. All in favor will say AYE. anyone Opposed. THE BILL IS PASSED.

THE CLERK:

Back to Page 6, Cal. 1044, Sub. for H.B. 6197. AN ACT CONCERNING TECHNICAL AND PROCEDURAL CHANGES IN CONNECTION WITH THE LICENSING OF ARCHITECTS.

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 3rd.

MR. MOTTO: (3rd)

I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage.

Monday, May 24, 1971

73.

MR. MOTTO: (3rd)

Mr. Speaker, the Clerk has an amendment.

THE CLERK:

House Amendment Schedule "A", offered by Mr. Yedziniak of the 5th. Line 164, after the period and before the word "All", insert the following: The Fee for an applicant who qualified for a certificate of registration other than by examination, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3 of this Act, shall be fifty dollars.

MR. MOTTO: (3rd)

Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of House Amendment Schedule "A".

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of Schedule "A". Will you remark.

MR. MOTTO: (3rd)

I would like to speak in favor of this amendment. This amendment takes care of an omission in the bill with regard to a fee at fifty dollars. I therefore move its adoption.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks. If not all those in favor of House Amendment Schedule "A", say AYE. Opposed. THE AMENDMENT IS ADOPTED. It is ruled technical.

MR. MOTTO: (3rd)

Mr. Speaker, I now move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill as amended.

roc

Monday, May 24, 1971

74.

roc

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on passage as amended. Will you remark.

MR. MOTTO: (3rd)

This bill, Mr. Speaker, would make certain technical changes in the law concerning registration and makes two substantive changes. The first is an increase in the statutory fees for annual architects' registration, corporate authorization and examination to meet current costs. The second changes the adoption of an requirement that working drawings and specifications be sealed by a principal member or officer of an architectural firm. The purpose of this change is insure that a professional having a proprietary interest in a firm and therefore a potential liability for its employee's work, will be required to review final plans and specifications. This is intended to provide one more safeguard to the public interest. This bill has the support of the Connecticut Architectural Registration Board and the Connecticut Society of Architects, AIA. I respectfully urge passage of this bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Any further remarks. If not, question is on passage of the bill as amended. All in favor will say AYE. Opposed.

THE BILL IS PASSED.

THE CLERK:

On Page 6, Cal. 1030, Sub. for H.B. 6484.

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 118th.

S-82
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS
1971

VOL. 14
PART 7
2874-3413

June 9, 1971

Page 74

File 1186; Cal. 643, House Bill 6904, File 1582; Cal. 1150, House Bill 7901
File 1342; Cal. 1192, House Bill 7148, File 1334; Cal. 1204, House Bill 7256
File 1393; Cal. 1214, House Bill 7014, File 1423; Cal. 1226, House Bill 8914
File 1073; Cal. 1257, House Bill 7048, File 1464; Cal. 1262, House Bill 8271
File 1474; Cal. 1267, House Bill 9020, File 1457; Cal. 1271, House Bill 5049
File 1628; Cal. 1272, House Bill 5415, File 1632; Cal. 1273, House Bill 5627
File 1616; Cal. 1274, House Bill 5709, File 1630; Cal. 1275, House Bill 5714
File 1575; Cal. 1276, House Bill 5834, File 1569; Cal. 1277, House Bill 5938
File 1585; Cal. 1278, House Bill 6210, File 1627; Cal. 1279, House Bill 6367
File 1565; Cal. 1280, House Bill 6561, File 1555; Cal. 1281, House Bill 6674
File 1586; Cal. 1285, House Bill 7077, File 1556; Cal. 1287, House Bill 8272
File 1566; Cal. 1289, House Bill 8578, File 1579; Cal. 1290, House Bill 8799
File 1640; Cal. 1293, House Bill 9246, File 1638; Cal. 1294, House Bill 9256
File 1637; Cal. 1295, House Bill 9001, File 737; Cal. 629, House Bill 7642
File 638; Cal. 721, House Bill 7802, File 1127; Cal. 755, House Bill 8761
File 773; Cal. 802, House Bill 8658, File 906; Cal. 964, House Bill 6197
File 1359; Cal. 975, House Bill 7609, File 876; Cal. 990, House Bill 8561
File 1172; Cal. 1041, House Bill 9196, File 1232.

Mr. President, I move for the adoption of all those bills, I move for suspension of the rules, first of all, for consideration of those which were not single starred or were not double starred rather.

THE CHAIR:

All those in favor of suspension of the rules indicate by saying, "aye"
All those opposed? Suspension is granted.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

June 9, 1971

Page 75

I now move adoption of all those bills.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on the motion. All those in favor indicate by saying, "aye". All those opposed? The bills are passed.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

An additional item, Mr. President, On our Calendar, on page 18, Cal. 1350, Senate Bill 1841, I move for suspension of the rules for immediate consideration.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on suspension of the rules, all those in favor indicate by saying, "aye". Those opposed, "nay". The rules are suspended.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

I now move for the adoption of the bill. It is self-explanatory. It concerns the transfer of certain property to the Town of Manchester.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on the motion, all those in favor indicate by saying, "aye". All those opposed? The bill is passed.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

I now move for suspension of the rules for immediate transmittal.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on suspension of the rules. All those in favor indicate by saying, "aye". Opposed? Suspension is ordered.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Mr. President, on page 23, Cal. 1391, File 1450, House Bill 5567, I move for suspension of the rules for immediate consideration.

THE CHAIR

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**GENERAL
LAW**

**PART 2
297-629**

1971

REP. WEBBER: Except that it would be a very difficult bill to enforce. It doesn't apply to someone painting his own home, does it?

MR. TESCIA: No it doesn't. If you have the ambition to paint your own home, go right ahead and do it.

PETER KELLY: Appearing in behalf of the Conn. Society of Professional Engineers and Conn. Engineers in Private Practice, Inc. in reference to HB 6198.

The bill provides for two technical changes to the law relating to licensing; one to substitute the words "contract administration" for the words no longer in usage in the industry to the word "supervision" and the second to substitute the word "satisfactory" concerning courses required for registration ~~to~~ in lieu of the word "approve". The object of that change is merely to broaden the ability of people to become registered under the act by allowing other schools and courses now considered to be accredited to be used for that purpose.

As well, I appear before you for the Conn. Society of Architects, AIA, in connection with HB 6197. This bill similarly seeks to make certain technical changes, the first again changing the word "contract administration" for the word now out of date "supervision". To permit the removal of the now obsolete section from the bill dealing with registered architectural designers now that they have become architects at the request of Mr. Webber, and now to provide for registration of corporate architectural firms.

As well the bill would permit the architectural registration board to establish fees instead of having fixed fees as presently required by statute.

REP. WEBBER: Let's talk about that a little. Can you expand on that?

MR. KELLY: Certainly. Currently there are two fee set-ups set forth in the statute. One is a fee of \$7.50 for obtaining a certificate of registration and the other is an examination fee as set forth in the act. It is the feeling of the architectural registration board and of the profession that these fees should be flexible; they should be suited towards the actual cost of operation of the board in conducting both examinations and issuance of

31.

GENERAL LAW

MARCH 9, 1971

- MR. KELLY: certificates.
(cont'd.)
- REP. WEBBER: What is your recommendation?
- MR. KELLY: The recommendation is that the fees be established by regulation of the architectural registration board which of course has the legislative protection of the interim committee.
- REP. WEBBER: You have a figure?
- MR. KELLY: No there's no figure. I'm sure the architectural registration board would have a current schedule which I can provide you with.
- REP. WEBBER: We would like to have that if you will.
- MR. KELLY: I certainly will. As well there is a change dealing with the requirement the drawing and specification be sealed by a principal member or officer of an architectural firm. For your information, the purpose of this is to insure that responsible members of an architectural firm who in fact bear the legal responsibility for the work, have the opportunity to supervise work of their employees.
- REP. WEBBER: It doesn't take away the responsibility, if I read this correctly, of that firm?
- MR. KELLY: No but in the case of corporations practicing architecture, persons liable are the persons doing the work, person supervising the work and the corporation. This would help of course, to identify who was the person in responsible charge of work within the corporation.
- REP. WEBBER: Well if he leaves the organization and he could and the original signer leaves, the responsibility remains with the corporation as such?
- MR. KELLY: The responsibility would remain both with the preparer of the document, the supervisor and the corporation wherever any one of them may be.
- REP. WEBBER: Well suppose any one or all of these people leave the firm and they do, could this be written so that the principal stockholder would assume the responsibility?

32.

GENERAL LAW

MARCH 9, 1971

MR. KELLY: Currently, the corporation would be liable, the principal of the firm who supervised the work would be liable even if he has left the firm.

REP. WEBBER: Suppose the corporation ceases to exist?

MR. KELLY: Then the stockholders would be considered the liability in dissolution. It carries on.

SGT. WILLIAM F.
ELLERT:

I am a sergeant with the Conn. State Police Dept. assigned to the Public Safety Division. I am here representing Commissioner Leo J. Mulcahy and Capt Richard R. Mulligan, commanding officer of the Public Safety Division. Captain Mulligan would be here before you this morning but he is presently hospitalized.

I am here to speak in favor of HB 6638. It is our statutory responsibility to license those engaged in the business of outdoor advertising for direct profit. The present fee attached to this statute is \$100.00 per year and has been such since 1927. During the past year we licensed 27 companies who are engaged in this business with each license expiring August 1st.

This also requires considerable amount of clerical work as the panels require keeping of files, licenses, sending renewal, etc. I am in favor of the fee being increased to \$150.00 for each license.

I am here to speak in favor of HB 6859. It is our statutory responsibility to license all outdoor advertising in the state. The fees attached to this section have not been changed since 1959. In 1970 we licensed a total of 4,966 spaces with each license required to be renewed each year on August 1st.

This also involves clerical nightmares with massive files to keep track of signs, assignments of numbers sending out renewal forms, clearance with State Highway Dept., etc. The raising of the 300 sq. ft. panels to \$10.00, the 600 sq. ft. panels to \$15.00 and the 900 sq. ft. panels to \$20.00 is far from being unreasonable.

I am here to speak in favor of HB 6860. It is our statutory responsibility to grant permits for supervised displays of fireworks to qualifying organiza-

- REP. HANZALEK: (cont'd.) it was held for non-profit organization or church or whether it was held for a commercial money making activity.
- REP. WEBBER: You know I think that's a good question but I think each and every circus that ever comes to our community was sponsored in part by a civic or charitable organization.
- REP. HANZALEK: I know it would be hard but we should be able to come up with something like this.
- REP. WEBBER: I hope the committee isn't embarrassing you, Sergeant.
- SGT. ELLERT: No, I am speaking for Captain Mulligan and Commissioner Mulcahy.
- REP. NEWMAN: I have a question to ask Sergeant. Do any of the increased fees you are requesting reflect in your budget?
- SGT. ELLERT: Not to my knowledge, sir.
- REP. WEBBER: What you're saying then is that the money goes to the general fund and not directly back to you?
- SGT. ELLERT: Yes sir. At the present time, under our fee structure for permits and licenses, that we issue, our office brings in approximately \$55,000.00 each fiscal year which is turned over to the general fund. This will show in our fiscal report that we furnish the commissioner what we're doing and what we have been doing; this will show there an increase in fees but it does not reflect the fees being given directly to our department.
- CHARLES COOGAN: I am secretary of the board of registration of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors and I would simply like to say briefly that we did not know about the bill hearing on the one bill until this morning, H.B. 6197, which we are in favor of but there are other bills relating to the profession of engineering and land surveying and we will submit to you a brief statement stating our position which will I think be the simplest and best way to handle it. Thank you.
- JULIE LO RICE: Of Lincoln Amusements in Bristol, Conn. After listening to William Jennings Bryant Tinty and