Act Numbe r	Sessio n	Bill Numbe r	Total Number of Committe e Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-691		739	1	2	2
Committee Pages:				House Pages:	Senate Pages:
• Corre	ections, Welfar	re, Humane Ins	stitutions 278	50645572	• 1243- 1244

H-118

CONNECTICUT GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE

PROCEEDINGS 1971

VOL. 14 PART 11 4831-5162 Calendar 569, substitute for House Bill 5567, file 1450. Calendar 580, House Bill 8082, file 780.

Page 9, Calendar 633, Senate Bill 739, file 1064.

Calendar 642, House Bill 8683, file 591.

Calendar 727, substitute for House Bill 6904, file 1582.

Calendar 733.

MR. SPEAKER:

For the benefit of the Clerk, item item that was just called was passed retaining earlier this afternoon. I suggest that, was there opposition to withdrawing the motion to pass retaining.

MR. GILLIES:

No, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Hearing none, the earlier motion to pass retaining is withdrawn, this item will be included on the list.

MR. GILLIES:

Might I suggest that any items that are now called that had the marking, pass, retaining, that I would move that that be removed and we simply proceed.

MR. SPEAKER:

Unless there is objection, so ordered.

CLERK:

Page 9, Calendar 733, House Bill 9237.

Calendar 737, House Bill 5036, file 746.

Page 10, Calendar 738, House Bill 5216, file 744.

H-120

CONNECTICUT GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE

PROCEEDINGS 1971

VOL. 14 PART 13 5555-6226

MBS

Mr. Speaker, I move that this item, Calendar No. 427 be passed retaining its place on the calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

So ordered.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 633, Senate Bill No. 0739, An Act Concerning Burial by Towns.

JOSEPH COATSWORTH, 76th District:

Mr. Speaker, I move for acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

JOSEPH COATSWORTH, 76th District:

Mr. Speaker, this bill is an attempt, it's, I think, a valid one, to cut through some of the red tape that has caused problems between towns, in billing between towns for burial expenses. This act would provide that each town would merely bill the state for whatever funeral expenses were caused to them by deceased persons. I urge the passage of this bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed? The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 635, Substitute Senate Bill No. 386, An Act

S-78 CONNECTICUT GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS 1971

> VOL. 14 PART 3 957-1456

15.

April 27,1971

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further?

If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 321, File No. 437 A favorable report joint standing committee on Corrections, Welfare and Humane Institutions.

S.B. 739 An Act Concerning Burial by Towns.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Ciarlone.

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, i move adoption of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, this is another house cleaning bill. This merely takes out of the existing statutes the language other towns and. In the past I guess towns would reimburse each other for benefits on burials. But since this is no longer done. Its covered by the state under general assistance. Its merely clearing up the language. Its a good bill. I urge adoption.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further. If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE.

April 27, 1971

16.

Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The bill is passed. THE CLERK:

Page 5, bottom of the page, Cal. No. 335, File 473

Favorable report joint standing committee on Corrections, Welfare and Humane Institutions. on Substitute S.B. 386 An Act Concerning Rental Security Deposits Required of Welfare Recipients.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Ciarlone.

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, I move for adoption of the joint committee; s favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CLARLONE:

Mr. President, this bill merely addresses itself to answering the housing crises. This bill allows the Welfare Department to provide a one month security deposit for Welfare beneficiaries. With the intent that in many areas housing would not be available unless there was a security deposit. This bill aimes to address itself in that direction. Its a good bill. I urge adoption.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE HEARINGS

CORRECTIONS,
WELFARE
AND
HUMANE
INSTITUTIONS

1-342

1971 Index

APRIL 1, 1971

a Birth Certificate, that they will write a note stating the child's date of birth but that we are not entitled to this. When this child grows up, he's going to need this but you know, you can't have it. They're not being fair to you. As far as medical records go, when you get a child, you don't know if it had shots or not. Nothing comes with the child. When they bring the child to you, they bring it with bag and baggage which ninety percent of the time, it isn't even worth keeping. So you put it out and you have to start from scratch. You have to go get a doctor to take care of these children, which, we've testified before, is hard to even get this kind of care for these children. And you can't tell them if they've had records, you don't know what diseases they've had, so you don't know how to really treat these children. It would be important to have everything accompany a child.

Rep. Chagnon: Thank you. Mr. Dunn.

Mr. Dunn: My name is Daniel J. Dunn. I am the Director of Welfare of the City of New Haven and I appear here as a Member of the Legislative Committee of the Connecticut Association of Welfare Administrators, General Assistance, and I speak on behalf of that Association in favor of Senate Bill 726, 727, 728, 736 and 739. I have some prepared statement that I will give to your secretary on some of these Bills, but I would like to talk specifically to Bill 736, which has to do with the removal of the ceiling regarding the incentive earnings program as it affects general assistance. This Committee had Public Hearing on a similar Bill pertaining to the public assistance program administered by the State Welfare Department and at that time, I did submit a written statement favoring that Bill. I also favor this Bill that removes the ceiling. It is not a ceiling on incentive earnings. It's a ceiling on earnings that prevents an individual who may be in need of getting further assistance from a Welfare Department. I don't believe that this has been stated prior today. I know that there was a statement made here that a previous speaker was in favor of this Bill, but that he stated that it was academic and I gathered by that he meant that wasn't incentive earnings at the general assistance level. There is incentive earnings at the general assistance level. In New Haven we have it. They have it in several other municipalities of the State. But there is a question as to whether or not this may be a misnomer. At the present time, the incentive earnings as is being practiced in the general assistance area anyway, becomes a bonus to people who have been on assistance and have had earned income. It perhaps is due to the labor market of the day that jobs are not available for low income people and therefore, a great number of people are not benefitting from what would be an incentive earnings program, because they can't get into earned income. Nevertheless, I think that this Bill should have this ceiling removed, should remain on the statute books of the State of Connecticut and I do believe that there is perhaps further study necessary to make sure that