

SB 438

PA 651

1971

Public Personnel & Military Affairs 110-115, 127

House 5675-5678

Senate 2654

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**PUBLIC
PERSONNEL
AND
MILITARY
AFFAIRS**

1-148

**1971
Index**

TIDAY

MARCH 12, 1971

Rep. Nicholas Motto, Presiding

Rep. Motto, We would like to start as close to 10 o'clock as possible this morning I would like to welcome you into the public personnel hearing on teacher retirement. My name is Rep. Motto, I am the clerk of this committee, Rep. Duda is the chairman, He will probably be detained and will not get here today, and until Senator Burke gets here, I will be the acting chairman. I would like to just mention to two things this morning, one is that we will have to vacate this room early today, because there is a labor hearing this afternoon. I would like to stop and to memorialize the death of one of our representatives, Representative Sabath Nigro, who died yesterday, who was a fellow teacher, so if you all would stand I would appreciate one minute of silence for Representative Nigro.

(All persons in the Hall of the House stood in silent meditation for Rep/ Nigro.

Rep. Motto, Thank you. As is our custom, we have the legislators testify first, so if we have any legislators present who would like to testify, if they would go to microphone 100 or microphone 99, we will be very happy to have them testify. Representative Clark?

Rep. Clark, I am Rep. Ruth H. Clark, from the 101th district. Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I would like to support SB 438, an act concerning the retirement qualifications for teachers. This bill would correct a very unjust state of affairs for teachers who retired prior to July 1st, 1967, and received pension based on salaries that were so low compared to teacher's salaries today, that they can barely subsist. When I was first selected to the Branford Board of Education back in 1951, teachers were getting \$2600. a year, and their pension was based on a percentage of the average of their last five years of service. Even with some adjustments and cost of living increases since then, the pensions are too low to maintain them and need to be re-calculated on a more just basis, to that of teachers who retired subsequent to that date. I would also like to speak in favor of HB 5578, an act concerning the cost of living adjustments for retired teachers. In these days of galluping inflation, I think it is only fair that an annual adjustment of the pensions be made for persons on fixed incomes, particularly since the legislature is now on annual sessions. Thank you.

Rep. Motto, Thank you Representative Clark. Are there any other legislators present? Representative Holdsworth.

Rep. Holdsworth, This is Representative Holdsworth from the 125th district. I would like to speak in support of SB 438, which I co sponsored. I spoke on this similar bill in the 1969 session. At that time I said that what had happened previously was that the teachers who retired prior to 1967 were made second class citizens of the state of Connecticut. I still maintain that this is true. Teachers who retired prior to 1967, certainly didn't receive the same consideration for their services, their longevity and what makes up the teachers in this particular group. I have a great deal esteem and admiration for these teachers because teachers who are in this group, basically appear to be in some cases a little different type than some of the teachers that we have at the present time, when we have strikes, etc. I don't recall ever, ever any consideration of anything of this type taking place. It is only fitting and proper that the teachers who retired prior to 1967, be treated exactly the same as

Rep. Holdsworth, as any other teacher who is retired from the state of Connecticut, it'd and I think that it is only fitting and proper that this legislature, take the remedial action that is necessary to correct the situation. I would also like to speak on HB 5578, the cost of living adjustment for retired teachers. We know the people who are on a fixed income who are retired, certainly need the additional small amount of money that is needed to cope with the additional cost of living, and this bill basically just takes care of several factors. Previously we were on a bienium, and the cot of living was adjusted every two years. This does it on an annual basis and it is a good house cleaning job as far as the retired teachers is concerned on the cost of living. Mr. Chairman, I would also like to speak on the death benefits SB 268, which once more provides a small increase in benefits. We are talking only \$100 to \$200, and I am certainly sure that for the number of retired teachers that we have in the state of Connecticut, this is not going to be a burden on anyone. I recognize that the number of teachers who retired prior to 1967, were only in number probably 3300. That is a relatively small group, compared to the total, and I think that these people are certainly due consideration. I have another bill, SB 1268. This bill basically corrects an unfortunately condition that took place several municipalities. In several municipalities the amount of monies that were deducted for the retirement fund, was in excess of the six percent. This bill re requires that htese monies be returned, so that the once more makes every one equitable. Mr. Chairman, I hope that the committee will certainly be cognizant of the tremendous outpouring of retired teachers that we have here, the consideration that is necessary for the passage of these bills, and I am certain that you will be doing a great favor, and a right to correct this situation. Tjank you.

ep.Motto, Are there any other legislators who like to testify? If not, perhaps during the part of the public testimony we may let legislators testify. Therefore we will now open the public section of our hearing. I would like to say, please limit your remarks to five minutes or less. We do want to - we do have a time limit on our hall today, and we would like to be able to be through beforw the Labor committee comes for their hearing this afternoon. The first speaker on our agenda this morning is Charles E Perry, followed by Elma LeBlond, and then Benjamin Hendler. Mr. Perry?

Mr. Perry, Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the public personnel and military affairs ommitte. I am Charles E. Perry of Manchester, President of the Association of Retired Teachers of Connecticut, representing the 5300 retired public school teachers of the state. I am asking you to give favorable consideration to SB 438, HB 5578, and its counterpart SB 234. Because of steps we have already taken to acquaint members of the General Assembly and the administration of our legislative goals, our speakers from the asociation will be few in number, and brief in their remarks. Last October our association and its 9 affiliates conducted a series of grass roots meetings covering all parts of the state. Every candidate of the general assembly was invited to attend and hear the explanation of our legislative program. The wide spread interest and enthusiastic support engendered by these meetings, especially among the members of the legislature has been most heartening to us. At the annual meeting of our association on October 21st, Govenor Meskill, then congressman Meskill, assured the 700 retired teachers in attendance of his personal support for our proposals. He noted also that the republican platform of 1970, endorsed our recommmendations. We received similar assurances from the democratic gubernatorial candiditate who addressed the same meeting. During the present session of the legislature, the conversation with individual senators and re¹presentatives, we have

Mr. Perry, received strong bipartisan support for our proposals. This is dramatically
cont'd illustrated by the 67 co sponsors whose names appear on SB 438. Twenty-one senators and forty six representatives, and we could have extended this list easily if we had had time. Large numbers of persons who travelled from everywhere in the state to attend this meeting this morning, certainly shows the deep concern of all retired teachers in the legislation before your committee. In deference to the heavy demands on the energies of your committee, and because we shall provide you with printed resumes of our position, we are limiting the number of the association speakers to three, who will immediately follow me. We are well aware of the responsibilities of this committee in the days ahead and I want to thank you Mr. Chairman and members of the committee for this opportunity to present our views. The Association of Retired Teachers of Connecticut urges your committee to report favorably on SB 438, HB5578, and its accompanying bill SB 234. Thank you.

Rep. Motto, If you would, we would like to have all the seats in the House used. If you have coats or anything on them, we could give them to some of these people standing up, so they can see better. Senator Burke has just mentioned that there were empty seats, so if anyone would like to sit down, please remove your coats and papers from the chairs. Mrs. LaBlond?

Mrs. LeBlond, Mr. Chairman and members of this committee. My name is Elma LeBlond. I retired in 1959, after 37 years of school teaching - public school teaching - the last 35 in West Hartford. I am one of the 3200 retired teachers who will be affected by SB 438. It is for these 2800 women and 400 men that I speak. This bill provides that pensions of teachers who retired before July 1st 1967, be recalculated to provide 2% for each year of service to the state, with a ceiling of 75%, beginning with the next fiscal year July 1st, 1971. This change would place all retired teachers on an equal basis in regard to the pension allowance for each year of teaching, thus correcting an inequity which was overlooked in 1967, when the change from the previous formulae was made. The group for whom I speak retired before 1967, before salaries began to advance and their pensions therefore are small. The new basis of calculation provided in this bill will help every one of them. I respectfully call your attention to the point that this bill does not call for payments retroactive to 1967. It does not ask for a change in the salary basis from the best 5 year average to the present best 3 year average. and it does not ask for an unlimited ceiling as is accorded other state employees. The two state operated retirement systems are not uniform either in requirements for retirement or pensions paid - for example - consider a state technical school teacher and a public school teacher retiring in 1964, after 40 years of service having the same degrees and perhaps even teaching the same subject. The state employed teacher received 80% of the best 3 salary years, and has since received cost of living adjustments totalling 30.75%. The public school teacher received 60% of the best 5 years and subsequently a cost of living adjustment of 8.1%. SB 438 would to some extent correct the discriminatory provision existing in the two pension systems. Finally, ladies and gentlemen, I would remind you that I speak for a vanishing generation of dedicated public employees. Their services to the children and the state of Connecticut

Mrs. LeBlond, cover periods of 30 to 50 years of teaching, with few absences and with well known low levels of remuneration. This group is steadily and all too rapidly declining. They are in their seventies, eighties, and nineties, many in need of costly care and services. SB 438, will benefit them this year. As they fade away with the passing of time, the state's obligation under this bill will diminish, and finally cease completely.

Rep. Motto, Thank you Mrs. LeBlond. I would just like to break in for some legislators. We have Senator Hammer, Senator Mondani, would you go over to seat 100? Mr. Hendler would you just wait for one moment? Then Rep. Povinelli, in that order. Go ahead Senator.

Sen. Hammer, Thank you Mr. Chairman. I am Lucy Hammer, senator from the 12th district. Mr. Chairman I am not going to say more than a few words about these two bills Mrs. LeBlond was speaking on, for these very very competent people from the retired teachers association will explain the bills fully and have really already. I would just like to say that I support them wholeheartedly SB 438 which has such heavy sponsorship. Throughout this legislature. I have worked for a year or more, and I am impressed with the absolute necessity of passing this bill and correcting an injustice. I am sure and I can assure that many of my colleagues will work to see that this goes through at this time.

Rep. Motto, Senator Mondani?

Sen. Mondani, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. Thomas Mondani 33rd district, speaking to many of the bills before you. I commend them to you. I think most of them will improve the retirement system. I would hope that you will read them all carefully. I think the benefits will accrue to the people who dedicated their lives to the children of Connecticut. Particularly I would like to speak in favor of SB 438. I am listed as one of the et als, I endorse this concept, and I think that it is important for those people who retired prior to the change in the formulae. I would like also to express the wishes of Representative Raymond Dzialo, who called me this morning, and he is unable to attend the hearing today to speak in favor, as he had to bring his daughter to a medical appointment, but he wishes also to be recorded in favor of SB 438. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Rep. Motto, Representative Povinelli?

Rep. Povinelli, Mr. Chairman, members of your committee - Representative Povinelli, Milford, 120th district. I would like to speak in favor of SB 438 on behalf of the retired teachers and only to bring them up to par with legislation that we enacted just a short while ago. I think that the teachers - the retired teachers sitting here today - have devoted a lot of their good years to the people of the state of Connecticut, and I think it is high time that we as legislators showed our appreciation for all they have done for us, and Mr. Chairman without belaboring the subject matter, as some of the previous speakers have mentioned it will be discussed in detail I would like to personally go on record as a legislator being in favor of doing something more for our teaching profession. Thank you.

Rep. Motto, Benjamin Hendler, then Glenn Moore and then Ron Fedoriwicz, in that order please

Benjamin Hendler,

Benjamin Hendler, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. I am Ben Hendler a member of the legislative committee of the association of retired teachers of Connecticut. I am speaking on behalf of SB 438, which applies to public school teachers who retired prior to July 1, 1967. I would like to point out to this committee a few additional facts for your consideration.

1. Seventy percent of this retired group are over 70 years old, eighty retirees are over 90 years old.
2. As of 12/30/70 and 12/18/70, a period of about 7 months the number of retirees of this group was decreased by 134. That should have been April 30, 1970. This group is less than 4% of the entire pool of retirees and potential retirees of the state of Connecticut.
4. As a group these retirees do not have either social security or medicare, as a result some are on welfare, some receive aid from other charitable sources.
5. Contributions made to the two state retirement systems are the same, but the benefits are different.
6. To produce an authentic survey, we engaged the actuarial firm of Hooker & Holcomb, inc of Hartford. This survey, in our opinion is very conservative.
7. The clarification of SB 438. It is our intention that SB 438 be consistent with the Hooker and Holcomb, inc. report on which the anticipated cost is based. Therefore at the end of section one, line 5, following the words 'supplement to the general statute' we would like to insert the following phraseology, 'with the exception of the salary basis shall be that on which each teacher retired' .A copy of the Hooker and Holcomb, Inc report copies of our statements will be given to the clerk of the committee.

Thank you.

Rep. Motto, Thank you Mr. Hendler. Mr. Moore, then Mr. Fedor iwcz, then Alan Cone.

Glenn More, Mr. chairman and members of the committee. I am Glenn More of Stamford, Connecticut, chairman of the legislative committee of the association of retired teachers of Connecticut. I speak for our 5000 members in support of HB 5578 and SB 234. Representatives of the Connecticut education association will speak in support of these bills a little later. These two bills are companion bills, and they provide for annual automatic cost of living adjustment for retirement allowances. Under the present law, passed in 1969, there must be a waiting period of 36 months. This means that even those teachers who retired on or after July 1, 1967, have not yet received an adjustment. We propose a waiting period of 12 months. Under the present law, adjustments are computed every two years, providing a maximum adjustment averaging only about 3% per year, that is on the assumption that the cost of living increases by 6% per year. This is completely unrealistic we believe. We propose an annual adjustment up or down, based on the actual change in the consumer price index. It is now quite obvious that rapid inflation has become a way of our present life. In the calendar year 1969, the cost of living increase was over 6%. In 1970 it was 5½%. Now facing these facts, recent union contracts for example General Motors and the NY Times provide for automatic annual cost of living escalator clauses. In over 200 industries, including Pitney, Boles of Stamford and the Hartford Nation bank have substantially increased the pensions of those who have previously retired, and this they did without union prodding. Even more significant, in 1970 the United States of Representatives and the Senate passed legislation providing for annual automatic cost of living adjustments for the 26 million persons receiving social security. Now the Wall Street Journal yesterday reported that it looks as though they will get a 10% increase retroactive to 1/1/71, so there is every indication that the action of 1970 will be

Glenn Moore, will be confirmed in '71. We believe, members of the committee, cont'd Mr. Chairman, that what business and industry and the national government are doing for retired persons should be done in equal measure for Connecticut retired teachers. Our state has long applied the principle of adjusting retirement allowances to changing conditions. Now we suggest that HB 5578 and SB 234 will provide a realistic method of reasonable retirement income maintenance. We respectfully request from you a favorable report. Now a final comment, as chairman of the legislative committee of the association of retired teachers of Connecticut, I am authorized by our organization to say that in general we give our full support to the retirement legislative proposals presented here today, by the Connecticut Education association. Thank you for the opportunity to present this statement.

Rep.Motto, Let me just interject one point here, that I feel that Charlie Perry and Mrs. LeBlond and then Hendler and Glenn Moore have kept this committee well informed on the activities of the association of retired teachers, and I am sure as you realize with the number of people who have been very anxious to get their names on the bill, that a lot of people are feeling the same way, but I am sure that you have a lot of support from the legislators, and that they will hopefully be able to see this legislation go through.

Ron Fedorowicz then Alan Cone, and then sitting over there Allan Frazier

Rep.Frazier, Mr. Chairman, I am Representative Frazier. I am speaking on bill 438 an act providing for an adjustment in the allowance of retired teachers. Mr. speaker, without too much rhetoric I am for this bill, and I push for its approval by your committee. Thank you.

Rep.Motto, Thank you. Mr. Fedorowicz? followed by Mr. Cone and Mr. Sheehan after that.

Ronald Fedorowicz, Thank you Mr. Chairman. In purposes of identification, I am Ronald Fedorowicz, I am the assistant personnel director for the city of Hartford. I am here this morning speaking for Mr. Freedman, in his capacity as city manager and also as chairman of the legislative committee on personnel-labor relations for the town and city managers association, with their endorsement. With your leave Mr. Chairman, members of the committee I have a very short statement that I will read. We will present a formal statement to the committee, and then I will list off the bills to which we have opposition. Generally the feeling is that on the bills that I will enumerate that they form a bad precedent that will have heavy significance on pension plans for municipal employees. The cost for this type of benefit are very high, especially during the time for extreme need of local municipalities. The specific bills to which opposition is being voiced are SB 229 - 231 - 234 - 235 - 237 - 268 - 311 - 577 - 1178 - HB 5265 - 5301 - 5425 - 5573 - 5578 - 5580 - 5581 - 5586 - 5587 - 6469 - 7280 - 7688. Thank you

Rep.Motto, Thank you Mr. Fedorowicz. Mr. Cone? then Mr. Sheehan and then Mr. Brackett

Rep.Motto, Thank you, sir. John Sullivan? followed by Betty Grey

John Sullivan, My name is John Sullivan, vice president of the Waterbury teachers association. The Waterbury teachers association wish to go on record as supporting bill 229, 438 and 234. I am not going to go over a long dissertation about these bills. The people here have done a fine job, but being a member or what some people may call a new breed, I would have to ask, why these people would have to be here. I have heard no one doubting the dedication. I think we have come to a point where we have to start thinking as to whether legislatures are going to act only because of disruptions or if common sense is going to take hold. I think many people are going to be watching the results of the retirement bills and the benefits given to people that certainly have deserved them over the years. Thank you.

Rep.Motto, Thank you Mr. Sullivan. Betty Grey?

Betty Grey, Mr. Chairman, I am Betty Grey, president of the Norwalk teachers association. The Norwalk teachers association supports SB 229. a bill which would reduce the required number of years to be eligible for retirement to 25 years irrespective of age. We feel that this bill would be most beneficial to both the teachers and the school systems. It would allow those dedicated teachers who have given 25 years of service to their students and their community an opportunity to use their talents in a different way. It would allow for the continuing infusion of fresh approaches. We ask the legislature to allow teachers the same privileges that are allowed to military and other municipal employees, retirement with a maximum allowance of 80% after 25 years. We also support the other retirement bills in this CEA legislative program, as an essential program for retired teachers. These bills put in the hands of the legislature, the stability of income, maintenance of families homes and property. Human dignity of the retired teacher rests in your hands. The Norwalk teachers association asks that you act favorably on these retirement bills. Thank you.

Rep.Motto, Thank you. We have reached the end of our list of speakers. If there is anyone else that has not signed up to speak, that would like to speak would you come over to the microphone?

Gerald J. McElvoy, My name is Gerald J. McElvoy of Waterbury Connecticut, a teacher retired after 45 years of experience - one year in private school the other years in public schools. Having retired in '67, I realize quite emphatically and quite painfully that the intervening rise in the cost of living has quite emphatically and painfully affected also those of us who have retired in 1967 and since then. One typical commentator in the field of economics just lately has written an article summarized in the headline 'serious crisis looming for retirees', and a cartoonist whose cartoon typifies those of many other cartoonists depict the fixed income individual hanging tenaciously and precariously on a rod, while the waves of economic difficulty, price increases and wage increases beat the poor victim of a fixed income into the ocean. He is about to drown in inflation. As a result of that, I would suggest a minimum increase of the pension - minimum - of \$500, although a flat increase of \$1000 is not untimely, it is not unappropriate, or it is not inconsistent in terms of and against the background of the increases that have been gained by government officials - consider those in Washington - tradesmen,

H-120

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 13
5555-6226**

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

121.

MBS

Retired State Employees.

JOHN PAPANDREA, 78th District:

Mr. Speaker, may that item be passed temporarily?

MR. SPEAKER:

So ordered.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 1429, Substitute for Senate Bill No. 438, An Act Providing for an Adjustment in the Allowance of Retired Teachers.

NICHOLAS MOTTO, 3rd District:

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill in concurrence with the Senate.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

NICHOLAS MOTTO, 3rd District:

Yes, Mr. Speaker, back in 1967 in the wisdom of the General Assembly the retirement formula for teachers was changed. It became a liberal formula in which 2% for each year was given and at that date, as of July 1, 1967 all the teachers before that time were left out of this readjustment. Those are the teachers that I think most of you in this hall know, are the ones that need the cost of living adjustment. So be very brief, this bill then recomputes the pension for all teachers who retired prior to July 1, 1967 and brings this inequity in line and corrects it so that all teachers now come under the

Tuesday, June 8, 1971

122.

MBS

same formula for retirement, 2% for each year. I urge passage of this bill in concurrence with the Senate.

RONALD SARASIN, 95th District:

Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased to rise in support of this bill and would point out that the estimated cost for 1972 is something over \$2 million but that this amount is in the Governor's budget and we hope that the Governor's budget will be adopted so that, in fact, it will come to fruition. This bill should have been adopted in 1969 and we are very pleased it is being done in 1971.

GUIDO LA GROTTA, 170th District:

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this bill. I have contacted many teachers, many of whom retired years ago, and it is really a needed piece of legislation, in fact, many of them are welfare cases and it is just pathetic that people from this profession should be in this condition. I urge passage of this, in a hurry.

MR. SPEAKER:

Further remarks on this bill which I think every member in this House sponsored.

ROBERT BRUNO, 122nd District:

Mr. Speaker, I rise in favor of this report in behalf of the Bridgeport Republican side delegation.

PETER LOCKE, 49th District:

Mr. Speaker, I also rise in favor of this bill. I also

Tuesday, June 8, 1971 123.

MBS

met with many teachers, some of them that have retired many years ago, who are getting very, very small amounts of retirement. I think it is a good bill, Mr. Speaker, and it should pass.

HILDA CLARKE, 158th District:

Mr. Speaker, I, too, want to do my duty by these retired teachers. I had many a letter from them, from Maine, from Florida, from any place where they thought it was a little cheaper to live because they had so little to live on. I'm glad this is finally going to pass.

JOSEPH COATSWORTH, 76th District:

Mr. Speaker, I, too, signed my name as co-sponsor of this bill because of the crying necessity to do something about inadequate teacher retirement standards for those who have retired in past years. I would support this bill, this time, I think we are in dire need of this bill. I urge its passage.

HENRY POVINELLI, 120th District:

Mr. Speaker, rising very briefly to speak in behalf of this bill. I hope that we really do it this time. In 1967 the bill was passed and an error was made in 1967, and an error was made in 1969 to correct the condition and I hope that in 1971 we have really done the job. These retired teachers, who have been the backbone of our educational system for many, many years certainly are deserving of the same treatment and consideration as the teachers who have retired after 1967.

Tuesday, June 8, 1971 124.

MBS

It was just very, very unfortunate that an oversight was made in the original bill and these persons had to suffer the consequences. I certainly support Senate Bill No. 438.

JOSEPH GORMLEY, 142nd District:

Mr. Speaker, I'm very happy to join with all the other representatives in favoring passage of this bill and I hope it is passed unanimously by everyone in the Assembly. The teachers sure need this support.

MR. SPEAKER:

I think it is going to be spoken on unanimously.

MARILYN PEARSON, 128th District:

Mr. Speaker, one more, I also would like to rise in support of this bill and support many of the teachers in my town who have also asked me to endorse it. Thank you.

RUTH TRUEX, 23rd District:

Mr. Speaker, not one but two, I represent Rep. Lenge and myself and I think Rep. Camp and everybody on this side of the aisle. Such power. We are all delighted that this bill has come up and we are happy to join in the unanimous passage of it. It is long needed.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you all join in an act of happiness? Move acceptance of the bill, all those in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed? Dare you. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

**S-81
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 6
2436-2873**

June 1, 1971

16.

Cal. 934, File 1277, H.B. 8506. An Act Including the State Treasurer As a Member Ex-Oficio of the Health and Educational Facilities Authority.

Cal. 958, File 1308, S.B. 810 An Act To Exclude Certain Supervisory and Administrative Personnel From Training Requirements.

Cal. 961, File 1327 Substitute for S.B. 967 An Act Concerning Unemployment Compensation Benefits Payable to Veterans.

Cal. 973, File 1148 Substitute for H.B. 8030 An Act Concerning the Employment of Minors in Dining Rooms.

Cal. 984, File 1171 Substitute for H.B. 5848 An Act Authorizing Towns to Compensate Their Clerks on a Salary Basis.

Cal. 992, File 1402 Substitute for S.B. 438 An Act Providing for an Adjustment in the Allowance of Retired Teachers.

Cal. 993, File 1399, Substitute for S.B. 1055 An Act Concerning Federal Electors.

Cal. 994, File 1392 S.B. 349, An Act Concerning the Appalachian National Scenic Trail.

Cal. 995, File 1405 Substitute S.B. 701, An Act Concerning Credit Allowances to Veterans in Examinations.

Cal. 996, File 1400, Substitute for S.B. 916 An Act Concerning Municipalities Borrowing in Anticipation of State and Federal Grants.

Cal. 998, File 1395 S.B. 1835 An Act Validating Acts of the Board of Finance of the Town of Plymouth.

Cal. 999, File 1394, Substitute S.B. 251 An Act Concerning State Aid for Construction of Additions to East 12 Ridge Junior and Senior High Schools in Ridgefield.

Cal. 1009, File 1200 Substitute for H.B. 8245 An Act Providing for the Sale of Surplus State Equipment to the Towns.

Cal. 1010, File 1206. An Act Concerning State Aid for Waste Volume Reduction Plants, Landfill Operations and Solid and Liquid Waste Disposal Facilities.