

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-5		5767	2	2	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Environment</i> 8-9</li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>384-385</li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>414-415</li> </ul>

**H-108**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 1  
1-448**

Thursday, February 18, 1971

12.

in favor will indicate by saying AYE. All those opposed. THE BILL IS  
PASSED.

roc

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 11, Substitute for House Bill No. 5767. AN ACT CONCERNING  
TESTS FOR BRUCELLOSIS. Favorable Report of the Committee on The  
Environment. File No. 4.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 84th.

MR. RYAN: (84th)

Mr. Speaker, I move the acceptance of the Committee's Favorable  
Report and passage of the bill.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report  
and passage of the bill. Will you remark.

MR. RYAN: (84th)

This bill, if passed, will simply facilitate the duties of the  
Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources with regard to testing  
for brucellosis. It is a technical bill requested by the Department and  
I urge its speedy passage.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Will you remark further. The gentleman from the 70th.

MR. TIFFANY: (70th)

Through you, Mr. Speaker. No, I'll comment on the bill directly.  
This bill is not really a technical bill. This bill and the previous

Thursday, February 18, 1971

13.

bill will allow veterinarians, who are not licensed and not accredited, to do the blood testing. It's a bad bill but it ought to pass.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO: (118th)

I don't quite know what to say at this point. One would hope that before we have no more farmers left in the Halls of the House, those of us who have been coming here these many terms and hearing about brucellosis every year would have an explanation of what it is, one of these terms.

DEPUTY SPEAKER.

Will you remark further. If not, the question is on passage for Substitute House Bill No. 5767. All those in favor will indicate by saying AYE. All those opposed. THE BILL IS PASSED.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 12. Substitute for House Bill No. 5271. AN ACT CONCERNING THE COMMON NAME FOR STANDARD QUALITY MILK. Favorable Report of the Committee on The Environment. File No. 5.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The lady from the 17th.

MRS. YACAVONE: (17th)

Mr. Speaker, I move the acceptance of the Committee's favorable report and passage of this bill.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage of the bill. Will you remark.

roc

S-76  
CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS  
1971

VOL.14  
PART 1  
1-473

March 3, 1971

48.

passage of the bill in concurrence with the House.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR PAC:

This bill will permit veterinarians employed by the Department of Agriculture to administer the tuberculin test to cattle. Currently these veterinarians are not licensed. They are graduate veterinarians and the simple fact is that the license veterinarians are not available. They have more lucrative private practices. Here again this is not a great bill. Its not a bad bill. But it is a necessary bill. I urge its passage.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it the bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 21, File No. 4. Joint Standing Committee on the Environment on Substitute House Bill 5767 An Act Concerning Tests for Brucellosis.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the Joint Committees Favorable Report and passage of the Bill with concurrence with the House.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR PAC:

My same remarks on the previous bill apply to this one. All it does

March 3, 1971

49.

is permit the veterernarian employed by the Department of Agriculture to conduct these tests.

THE CHAIR:

All those in favor of passage of the bill signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Would you please turn to page 2 of your Calendar. Top of the page. Calendar No. 22. Favorable report of Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary on House Joint Resolution No. 70. Resolution Confirming the Normination of Robert J. Callahan of Norwalk to be a Judge of the Circuit Court.

THE CHAIR:

Before doing so would you announce that we are going to vote on Judicial nominations? And ask all Senators to return to the Chamber.

THE CLERK:

Judicial Nominations are about to be voted on in the Senate. Judicial Nominations are about to be voted on in the Senate. Would all Senators please return to the chamber immediately.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Strada.

SENATOR STRADA:

Mr. President, I move for acceptance of the Joint Committees Favorable Report and passage of the Resolution.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the resolution confirming the nomination. Will you remark Senator?

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**ENVIRONMENT**

**PART 1  
1-338**

**1971  
Index**

Number 1, H.B.5767 was drafted in error from the 1967 supplement, I've got a substitute bill because this law was changed in 1969, so I I'm presenting a substitute bill, correct that. Now the reason for these three bills is that in the past our TB testing, Brucellosis, Vaccination was done by private practitioners on a fee basis and many of them are not able to do this work any more and a lot of them are delinquent on this so we are now hiring full time state veterinarians. The present law reads that the testing must be done by licensed accredited veterinarians or veterinarians employed by the USDA. The addition to this bill will allow veterinarians employed by the Department of Agriculture to test cattle even tho they may not be licensed to practice veterinarians medicine in Connecticut, but they do have a veterinarian degree.

Sen. Pac Will you explain why you have to hire non licensed veterinarians, I'm sure you have a reason.

Arthur Mandirola Well, for instance we've been almost Two years trying to hire veterinarians, local veterinarians are not interested in the salary that we can offer them. The two we have hired now are from the Phillipines, they graduated from the University of Phillipines, and they have a full degree in veterinarian medicine, but they do not have any desire to practice veterinarian medicine in Connecticut, they don't have a Connecticut license. It's a similiar situation with the federal veterinarians, they also do no have a Connecticut veterinarians license, so we're just adding one line in there that veterinarians employed by the Department of Agriculture can test these cattle.

Sen. Pac Any questions?

Rep. Miller I just wondered why the veterinarians would not be inclined to become licensed?

Arthur Mandirola I think they have intentions of, but at the present time they are not licensed, and what's going to happen I'm afraid when they do get a Connecticut license they'll feel it's more lucrative to go into private practice and they'll be leaving us and we'll have to go out and hire more veterinarians who are not licensed. This is what the trend has been, the minute they get their license they go into practice themselves.

Inaudible Are you using the word veterinarian as a person who has a degree?

Arthur Mandirola Oh yes, they have a degree from a veterinarian college. They're full veterinarians; they just don't have a Connecticut license.

Inaudible Do you think it would be advantageous to insert that in your bill?

Arthur Mandirola To insert what?

Inaudible That the veterinarian should be a degreed veterinarian.

Arthur Mandirola Well, this is in the specifications before they are employed, in other words, the specifications of the personnel board has set up for this examination is one of the requirements that they have a degree in veterinarian medicine, so if they don't have a degree they do not qualify for the exam.

Sen. Pac Any questions? Thank you very much. Any one else wish to testify on H.B.5766 & 5767? Anyone opposed to either of the two bills? Testimony on those two bills is closed. We'll move on to H.B.5768, an act and disposition of roaming, injured or mistreated dogs. Anyone in favor of this bill?

Lewis Gillette Assistant Chief Canine Control Department of Agriculture Natural Resources, and I would like to go on record in favor of this bill, H.B.5768.

Sen. Pac Would you explain why your in favor of it?

Lewis Gillette There's a delation here of a three day notice submitted by a local ordinance to the State Canine Control Office regarding impounded, unclaimed dogs, we have found that this is very impractical from a standpoint of time element involved and advertising such dogs and we have in the last six years since the inception of this particular statute that we have had very few cases of calls from people of lost dogs and we feel the paper work involved, we cannot enforce it, it cannot be enforced.

Sen. Pac Any questions? Thank you very much. Anyone else in favor of H.B.5768? Or opposed to it? We'll move on to H.B.132, an act concerning the use of dog license fees. Anyone in favor of this bill: Anyone opposed to This?

Lewis Gillette Assistant Chief Canine Control Officer Department of Agriculture Natural Resources, members of the committee, the effect of this bill, state dog funds which as as its source 50% of the dog license fees annually collected in each Connecticut town, these funds are utilized to support the office of the State Canine Control Office and the eighteen Canine Offices who are working throughout the state to provide services to Connecticut municipalities in this area. These are services which are needed by our towns to handle the many problems associated with control of dogs. It would also eliminate research funds provided from this source which are carried on at the University of Connecticut each year.