

HB 8343

PA 599

1971

Liquor Control

124-134,144

House

4772-4773

Senate

3091

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**LIQUOR
CONTROL**

1-144

**1971
Index**

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

Representative Mahoney: Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, I appreciate the opportunity to appear and I register in favor of Bill 8343 which permits the sale of liquor in bowling establishments. I'm sure all of you are familiar with the New York situation which has a--does permit this type of an establishment to operate and apparently it operates very successfully as a family unit it seems that people attend these establishments and partake of dinner and drinks, then participate in the sport of bowling. I think it's a worthwhile bill and I support it wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.

Representative Rock; 35th District: I'm just speaking for Representative Martinson from Newington. He is unable to be present. He would just like me to register his protest for this bill, liquor in bowling alleys.

John Barry, owner of Ten Pin Bowl in South Windsor: I would like to go on record as being in favor of this bill. We in the bowling establishment try to run our business as a family center. We see no bad effects with this bill for the simple reason there will be control on the bill. We realize that there is going to be children there but most of the children are supervised by their adults. The same situation arises in restaurants, grills where people bring their children in. As I said before, it will be supervised. We are looking to further our business in the summertime. We have kept our price of bowling down. In the summertime bowling lanes practically die. Now we are looking for something to subsidize our business with our food business. Every bowling establishment has a restaurant area or some call them snack bar areas, which serve meals, sandwiches and so forth. So, again I would like to go on record as being in favor of this bill. Thank you very much.

Representative Esposito: Mr. Barry, one question. When you mentioned snack bar as opposed to the restaurant, how can you define when you get the youngsters, the twelve, fourteen, sixteen year old who is with his parents and who sits there at the stool to get a coke?

John Barry: Well, in most establishments the liquor will not be served over the snack bar or restaurant area. There will be a separate area where you will go in and sit and have your liquor or they can serve you with your liquor.

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

Representative Esposito: Then it's not your contention either to allow this or is it your feeling to allow this such as they now have a coke or coffee frame, a beer frame where the low man goes and buys a round of beers and he brings it back to the lane itself.

John Barry: Well, here again, it will be up to the people and up to you people. We feel we want the liquor in the establishment. We know you don't have to put controls on this, we realize this. But as far as bringing it back to the lanes, they do it in other areas in New York and there is no harm in it as far as I can see, but you have to get the control by not selling it to minors the same as they do in bars, grills, restaurants and anyplace else where liquor is served today.

Representative Esposito: That's just what it is, the control over the fact that though there might be three members of a team twenty-one or over but an eighteen, nineteen or twenty year old who is also on the team. Can you as the bar owner or permittee see who I buy the five glasses of beer or the five bottles of beer and bring them back to. This is what I'm driving at.

John Barry: I realize this. I don't know quite how to answer that but if you go into a restaurant where there is a bar and you walk up and buy five drinks except for the buyer, he doesn't know who he's selling the drinks for. There has to be a control on it, I realize this.

Representative Sherer; 159th District: Mr. Barry, one other question. The law stipulates that there is an establishment 1500 feet, that there cannot be another liquor establishment put next to it. Assuming then that we have bowling alleys in conjunction with a restaurant 1500 feet of a restaurant or an area that dispenses liquor, don't you think that this would effect them adversely if you were selling liquor and then there is a restaurant right next to you that is selling the same thing?

John Barry: No, I don't think so sir. For the simple reason that when a man is bowling he's not going to run next door and buy a drink.

Chairman Provenzano: Extending curtesy on 8343, is there

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

anyone else who would like to speak in favor of
8343?

Thomas Salamone, representing the Bowling Center Association: This thing is a little confusing here on how we got to Bill 8343 so soon but I want to thank you. A bill such as this was presented before this Committee about four or five years ago and it got as far as the Governor's office and the Governor vetoed it. However, this time I think we have a comprehensive bill, we have a good bill of guidelines in it. If you had the chance, the opportunity read the bill you'll find that for the bowling centers to sell alcoholic beverages they have to have a four foot wall and the liquor fee would be \$1,500.00. And to also answer one of the questions about the nineteen year old--the minor at the alley, the liquor cannot be brought to the alley except by a waitress, a waitress would have to bring the liquor to the alley. The bowling establishments really don't want to go into the restaurant business and they don't want to go into the cabaret business and it is not their intention to compete in any way with the restaurants or with the cabarets. They just want to be able to service the people that patronize their establishments. Adult recreation at the present time, I'm sure the Committee is aware of the fact that this could be done, however it is a very complicated system, it's to an uncommon door. If the bowling centers wanted to go into the restaurant or cabaret business, they would be very happy with their present situation. However, this is not their intention. This is a business that on the average runs better than a million dollars to start and, very frankly speaking, they need some relief because if they don't have some way to help their business many may go out of business. It's just that they have a very captive audience and the man that--or the lead that's going to bowl possibly walks into the establishment a half hour before time and sit down and have a drink and possibly when they are through bowling their league, sit down for about a half hour or so and have another drink and go. This would help them very greatly. This is the only thing we are trying to accomplish. We're not trying to knock anybody out of business, we're not trying to compete with anybody the only thing we are trying to do is to stay in business and in many cases, many of these bowling establishments will have to go out of business. They're pricing themselves out of business at this point

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

by continually going up on the price of the strings. They're big tax payers in the community, their expenses are very, very high. Just for one example, their insurance premiums, it's like a telephone number. So these people, these businessmen need some relief and it's not a very complicated thing as I said it's a very simple thing. The only thing we are trying to do is to take it from the uncommon door and bring it into the establishment and the guidelines and the restrictions as any other establishment that handles beverages, alcoholic beverages, they are under the same restrictions. If they serve a minor they're subject to arrest and if anybody under twenty-one, same as if they walked into a restaurant, they bought booze and sold it to a minor, they're subject to abetting the delinquency of a minor and they also would be arrested. So this, I don't think, would be a great problem. I think that the controls are there, the guidelines are there, I think it's a good bill and I would like to say to the legislators it's a good bill and I hope it passes and I hope in your good wisdom you vote in favor of it. Thank you.

Representative Esposito: One question, Mr. Salamone. Have you discussed this at all with the Commission?

Thomas Salamone: No I haven't. We set this up as a separate liquor permit with different guidelines and they would be under the control of the Liquor Commission and under the health controls of the State, so they would be controlled.

Representative Esposito: I want to mention the bill says a \$1,500.00 fee against a restaurant permit of \$1,200.00.

Thomas Salamone: This was done on--we did this when we drafted the bill for the simple reason, to show that they got the restaurant business which is \$1,250.00, the cabaret license I believe is \$1,750.00. This would be a \$1,500.00 fee for their license. At the present time some of the establishments do have an uncommon door and are serving food and liquor. But this is not the intent of this bill, this bill's intent is to get away from this complicated system of a person wanting a drink and walking through four or five doors before he gets a drink and then walking back in. As I said, we're just looking for some relief and try and make the thing as simple as possible.

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

Representative Sherer, 159th District: I just want to say that it seems bowling alleys try to make pretty good bowlers. If a facility serves liquor, I was just trying to figure out if they're trying to make lousy bowlers out of good bowlers.

Thomas Salamone: Well, maybe it would make a lousy bowler out of a good bowler but he'd probably have more fun at it, he wouldn't take it so seriously.

Chairman Provenzano: Anyone else would like to speak in favor of this bill. 8343?

Dave Kozlovich, owner of Ten Pin Bowl in South Windsor: I want to go on record as being for the liquor bill. I've lived in other States, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan. In all of these states they do have liquor served at the lanes by waitresses. I've bowled in all of these states, we've never had any problems. I want to go on record as being for the bill. Thank you.

Chairman Provenzano: Any questions?

John Cheeseman, President of Bolero, Incorporated: We operate two bowling establishments in the greater New Haven area. I'm here to speak in favor of Bill 8343. My remarks I'll limit to the point of people under twenty-one, the possibilities of problems of control. We would agree that ten years ago this would be a very difficult problem but business has such oriented itself in the ensuing time so that now, beyond the time of six o'clock in the evening we're virtually doing business only with adults because of the league play involved. The youngsters under the age of at least eighteen all bowl before six or actually they're finished by four and they are out of the building certainly by six o'clock. Thank you.

Representative Sherer: I realize that you are talking about during the week. What about Friday and Saturday nights when the youngsters come in for an evening of entertainment, how would you take care of that? Would you keep your facilities closed?

John Cheeseman: I think, in fact you're only talking about Saturday evening or Sunday afternoon. On a Saturday evening it's basically an adult situation too, even though it's open to the public. Sunday afternoon, you're correct, there are a lot of youngsters in. However,

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

there's a price differential to realize here. We encourage the youngsters to come in during the weekdays and on Saturday daytime by offering much lower prices and I'm talking about 50¢ a game rather than 65¢ in the evening and Sundays. And this is done to help the youngsters but also to attempt to segregate the youngsters from the adults at certain times. The Sunday afternoons is basically your families, Saturday and Sunday afternoons are basically your family hours.

Representative Sherer: What do you think of putting a limit on your liquor license, let's assume from six o'clock on in the evening?

John Cheeseman: I would be agreeable to that. By no means are we in a position or do we want to be in a position where youngsters or people under age can get ahold of liquor.

Chairman Provenzano: Anyone else wishing to speak in favor of 8343? Anyone opposed to 8343?

Leo Cohen, owner of Rockville Bowling Plaza: I speak from actual experience because I have always been a working operator working in my own establishment, not through absentee management. Bowling is suppose to be a family sport. We have been promoting it as a family sport, the national suppliers have been promoting it as a family sport. We've finally succeeded in getting church organizations to form bowling leagues. We've contributed to the churches in return. You bring liquor in the children, when I say children, when you come into a bowling lane and you see a fifteen, sixteen year old young man it's hard to distinguish whether he's fifteen, sixteen or twenty-one and if you do distinguish you have trouble when you tell him that you're not going to serve him. So, therefore, we are running up against that. Their saying is, what mama and papa can do so can we and we have that in our establishment with smoking and so forth. As far as policing the drinking, at the present time it's against the law to consume liquor in bowling establishments now and I invite you to go to any place, anywhere and look in the lockers and you'll see that some of them contain, and they do, consume liquor as much as you police it. I have a special man policing it in my place because I run my place as a family place and still they get away with hiding a few bottles, half pints and so forth. My main problem in the bowling business, and I've been in it twenty-eight years with eight establishments,

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14. 1971

one after another because I'm a working operator and I believe in putting an effort into it and making it a success, the only trouble that I have really had is liquor. Any time, there may be eighty people and two or three or four or five may consume a little too much and think they can lick a bear with a flyswatter and they don't like the looks of this fellow or that fellow, and blood stains the approach and some of my places have a lot of stains even though I was there. Bowling is a sport, it has increased. There is no sense in denying it. When the first Ten Pin lane opened here everybody started to bid and then the saturation point was reached and then the level went down where we were all hurting. Then the ones that came in, what we call bleed and get out, got out. Then it reached a level where we are now, I'm not a pauper, I'm doing very well, I've sent my both kids to college, I spent a lot of money on it and it was the bowling business without liquor that enabled me to do all that and I still live comfortably. So that when anything is said that you can't derive a profit from bowling, I disagree and don't forget I've been in this business twenty-eight years and I have had more than one establishment. There is another thing that I recognize, is that I've been contacted by a few syndicates. They have heard that there is a possibility of liquor coming into establishments. Even though my brother operators say money is tight and all that, I know syndicates are operated with them, they can get the money and they've got the money, and their aim to start opening new establishments on the premise of bleeding, and what they mean by that is they have enough influence to open them up, bleed them, dump them back to the manufacturer, the manufacturer such as Brunswick has had to create a whole new company, they take the good establishments, close up the small one. These fellows walk out with the gravy. But the thing that worries me is when they open up they don't thing anything of bowling as a sport. It's not their main source of living or livelihood so as far as the restrictions and policing is concerned, I can guarantee that it would be at lower than a minimum.

Now I'll bring something out. We were all--all the others were looking in the paper for announcement of a hearing of the bill coming up but no one saw it. I happened to be riding from Manchester where I was trying to promote a church league and I heard a segment of the radio saying that this liquor bill was brought up and I contacted my Senator Robert Hooley

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

and he informed me when, where and how. I bring this up as an important item. I called an architect yesterday when I heard about it and I knew that my brother operators would say sour grapes and I had him lay out a plan that if I wanted to put a bar in how costly would it be. He told me it would be minimal. All I have to do is take out my soda machine, take out a couple of cake machines. I have my restaurant or luncheonette on one corner, I would just put that four foot wall, the bar and I'm in business and I know I could make money. But I also know one thing, I know in my small place which is sixteen lanes and quite compact, that I couldnot control the individual bringing the liquor out because you cannot antagonize a bowler or two or three because God forbid what if he is the influential bowler of your eighty man league or one hundred and sixty man league. He can talk that league into-- out. You're going to antagonize him so sometimes it's better to be stupid. There are enough liquor establishments now and the only reason I'm not contemplating putting in liquor, it hurts, I did not make a lot of money. But the way I feel is this, bowling has been very good to me and my family. One of my kids would have been a crippled, bowling provided the funds for me to pay the medical bills and she's walking so I feel that I owe the bowlers, the good bowlers who are the biggest percentage of people, a vote of thanks. There are enough liquor establishments now without adding more, creating accidents and creating all sorts of situations that would be detrimental. There are other operators that feel as I do. I called them, I wanted them to come down and support me. They don't want to be involved and the thing that my wife told me is this, go to it because if someone doesn't talk no one will know. Now I had Riverdale which was a fifty-four lane establishment. When I took that place over the only reason they had me take it over is because the Selectmen were going to close the place down because of the trouble that was created through the cocktail lounge. I got it for a song and I do trouble shoot lanes, straighten them out and so forth. When I got in there, I was a sorry man, because I got into something that I never dreamed could happen. That was a \$600,000.00 establishment running on a week-to-week license issued by these three Selectmen because of the trouble. The only reason they didn't foreclose them and I promised to straighten that out no matter what and I have clippings that I can show you any time you wish. Well, they gave me a chance. When

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

I went back it took me three days to know where all the trouble originated. So what happened was I started to curtail the cocktail lounge and then I contacted the Shriner's and started on the phone and going to churches and so forth and so on and the thing that amazed me was the reason that bowling went so far down were people were afraid to come to the Riverdale lanes because of the trouble they may encounter. So if bowling tournaments were so desperate and we were so desperate as far as financing where we might have to close, which I as an operator of twenty-eight years know, is not so, they couldn't spend the money to push this bill through. I was in the association the last time that they tried to get it through and I know how much I had to pay because I belong to the association, I didn't approve of it, I fought it then but I had to pay the assessment and I know that if they can afford to pay that there's no danger of any establishment right now closing because of lack of business unless they're sitting on their butts and doing nothing.

Senator Dupont, 29th District: Are there fewer people bowling now then there were say ten years ago?

Leo Cohen: I am very thankful to say that there are more people bowling in a healthier way now, I see you understand bowling a little bit by the questions you were asking, you're absolutely right. The backbone of bowling is not open bowling, the backbone of bowling is league bowling because they are committed for thirty-five weeks. In those thirty-five weeks we can ride out the summer months with just a smattering of small leagues that we get from the summer. So therefore if you book your lanes with leagues there is not too much room for open bowling. Now, open bowling come April you're finished because they want to be out fixing their yards, playing golf and so forth. Our leagues have increased in strength.

Senator Dupont: How many alleys are there in your area?

Leo Cohen: In my area--I have in my area, the ten pin bowlers considered in my area and for any lanes considered in my area, and may I add one thing, you can check this with the police department, in my area the police department is amazed. I emphasize one thing, I police the drinking of liquor, the behavior of my junior leagues and minors that come in. If a minor comes in and looks like he is too young he cannot smoke. He'll bring in a letter from

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

his mother or father that they permit him to smoke and I'll call the mother or father up and say you have jurisdiction in your home but when it comes to my home we do not allow juniors to smoke. We haven't had to call the police in eleven years. We have never had any major trouble. We may have had a little verbal unpleasantries and nine out of ten times it's out of two sources. One is, as I say, a couple of men out of eight, all you need is two or three, come in with a little too much that they may have gotten before--

Senator Dupont: Excuse me Mr. Cohen, what is your competition? Is there three lanes in your town, two lanes?

Leo Cohen: Well, there's my lanes in Rockville center and there's a Vernon Lanes in Vernon on Route 83 and then there is one in South Windsor.

Chairman Provenzano: Thank you very much. Are there any other questions?

Jack Schmidt, President of the Associated Restaurants of Connecticut: I wish to oppose Bill 8343 mostly on the grounds that it's been brought up here that the bowling lanes are in trouble so therefore they should have a liquor license. I object to people whenever they get in trouble they figure the whole way out is they get a liquor license. We have now the clubs trying to extend their base because they can't make a go of it as a club, they want to extend their base. Now we have bowling establishments coming in and they feel that they are way off the hook instead of tending to their business and being better businessmen is to get a liquor license and get into the liquor business. The next thing we know we will have the pool halls, the next thing we know afterthat, who knows. Every time they get a little problem, you're in trouble financially, get a liquor license. At the present time the State of Connecticut is in the top five as far as saturation of permits per capita. We have more per capita permits now than most other states in the Union. We're in the select class of four or five at the top. So I don't see where granting more licenses to more businesses is going to improve the situation in the State of Connecticut. Thank you.

Representative Esposito: Any questions? Anyone else in opposition to 8343? If not, I'll declare this hearing closed.

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

Fred Callahan, representing the Bowlorama, Berlin Turnpike, Newington, Connecticut: We are a family owned and operated bowling center. Anybody that's going to tell me that anybody under eighteen years of age is not going to come into a bowling center after six o'clock at night, instead of sitting in Circuit Court 15 in New Britain. This week alone they had nine kids fifteen years of age pinched up there for fighting and so forth. As far as controlling liquor, it's almost impossible in bowling centers. Our principal business is bowling right now which is prospering. We're interested in getting people in the lanes not watching who the people are giving the liquor to or who the waitress is giving the liquor to. I want to go on record as being opposed to this bill.

Representative Esposito: Any questions? Anyone else in opposition to 8343? I'll declare the hearing on 8343 closed and we will go back to Senate Bill 393 which we discussed at the outset of the hearing. If anyone would like to speak on it please come forward. If not, we will continue--

William Hickey, representing the Brewers and Wholesalers Board of Trade: We oppose this bill and House Bill 6121, both of which were heard at a previous hearing for the reasons that we set forth at that time.

Fred Biebel, representing the Wine and Spirits Wholesalers of Connecticut: I too have spoken many times in the past in opposition to these bills, this one being 393. Senate Bill and House Bill 6121 and rather than take the time now, I would just like to go on record as being opposed to both of them. Thank you.

Representative Esposito: Anyone else? If not, I will declare that hearing closed and we will go on to Bill 1131, an act concerning discretionary refusal by Liquor Control Commission. Anyone like to speak in favor of this bill? Anyone like to speak opposed to this bill? If not, we will declare the hearing on 1131 closed and go on to Bill 1134, an act concerning employment of unsuitable persons on premises in this State where alcoholic beverages are sold for consumption therein. Anyone like to speak in favor of this bill? Anyone opposed? The hearing is declared closed on 1134 and we will go on to Senate Bill 1251, An act concerning the repeal of the cabaret tax. Anyone like to speak

LIQUOR CONTROL

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 14, 1971

permit. I take this as a new type of permit, completely new because we are not under the present statute allowed to go from restaurant to club or club to restaurant so this would be an entirely new permit.

Representative Esposito: Thank you Mr. Kenny. Anyone else in opposition to 8027? If not, we will declare the hearing closed on 8027 and we will hear 8343. If anyone wishes to speak on 8343, either in favor of opposition they can come forward. If not, we will declare that hearing closed on 8343 and go on to 8385, an act concerning the labeling of alcoholic products.

Fred Biebel, representing the Wine and Spirit Wholesalers of Connecticut: I read the bill and I was alarmed by the bill until I checked Representative Stevens and if you read the bill it says that any internal use of alcohol with a content of 5% or more shall be labeled warning, such a per cent of alcohol. I thought they were referring to alcohol and liquor bottles. I understand that he is not. He is referring to medicine or bottles such as Listerine which contains, let's say, twenty-one per cent alcohol and his initial reason for submitting this bill was because he had gotten requests from former alcoholics who felt that they might take certain products not knowing the alcoholic content. So this bill ~~was~~ designed to mark bottles giving the alcoholic content but he tells me it excludes and he told me that he had substituted another bill for it. I don't see another bill and I would respectfully request that your Commission or Committee check into this because it does not, as I understand it, include alcoholic bottles, namely beer or wine or whiskey. Maine recently had a bill before them that would do just that, mark it the same way cigarette packs are marked and that was defeated in Maine and I would be very much against that in our legislature passing such a bill. I have no objection to a bill being passed if they saw so fit on other items giving alcoholic content but I do not want and I don't think you can do it because of the Federal regulation anyway on alcoholic bottles, but I would respectfully request that your Committee do either kill this bill in its entirety or get an explanation of exactly what it refers to.

Representative Esposito: Anyone else wishing to speak on 8385, in favor or opposed? If not I will declare the hearing closed on 8385 and I thank you all for being so courteous and declare this hearing closed.

H-117

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 10
4344-4830**

ought to pass.

MR. SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed? The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 1269, Substitute for House Bill No. 8343, An Act Concerning Mandatory Refusal of Liquor Permits, file 1422.

SILVIO MASTRIANNI, 119th District:

Mr. Speaker, I move the acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

SILVIO MASTRIANNI, 118th District:

Mr. Speaker, yes, sir, this bill is a bill which makes an inconvenience a convenience. By doing so, it mean an opening door in bowling alleys or a cocktail lounge. Mr. Speaker, I move the acceptance and passage of this bill, it is a good bill, it is well needed and I believe it could really serve a good purpose.

ALBERT CRETELLA, 99th District:

Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Liquor Committee, I rise in support of this bill. We gave careful consideration to various possibilities concerning this type of legislation, we think this is a good bill to satisfy the needs of the public

Thursday, June 3, 1971

206.

MBS

and the industry. I urge its support.

MR. SPEAKER:

Are there further remarks on the bill? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed? The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 1270, House Bill No. 9254, An Act Creating a Department of Environmental Protection, file 1420.

PETER GILLIES, 75th District:

Mr. Speaker, I move that that matter be passed retaining its place on the calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

Without objection, so ordered.

THE CLERK:

On page 19, at the top of the page, Calendar 1275, Substitute for House Bill No. 6495, An Act Incorporating Connecticut Vision Service, Incorporated, file 1430.

AGNES SIMONS, 139th District:

Mr. Speaker, thank you sir. I move for the acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

AGNES SIMONS, 139th District:

Mr. Speaker, this is a bill which is a special act which

S-82
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS
1971

VOL. 14
PART 7
2874-3413

June 7 1971

20.

Cal. 1191 File 1374 Substitute for H.B. 7041 An Act Concerning the Use and Operation of Snowmobiles.

Cal. 1193, File 1387, Substitute for H.B. 7483 An Act Concerning the Husband And Wife Retirement Income Option Under the Probate Court Retirement System.

Cal. 1194, File 1378 Substitute for H.B. 8330 An Act Concerning the Expansion of the Wequetequock Fire District in the Town of Stonington.

Cal. 1195, File 1293 Substitute for H.B. 8541 An Act Providing a Bonus for Veterans Who Were Eligible But Did Not Receive a Bonus for Service in World War II or the Korean War.

Page 10, Cal. 1206 File 1389 H.B. 7484 An Act Concerning Disability Retirement for a Judge of Probate.

Cal. 1207, File 1383 Substitute H.B. 7490 An Act Concerning the Definition of Average Final Compensation for a Judge of Probate

Page 11, Cal. 1209, File 1236, Substitute for H.B. 5574 An Act Concerning the Benefits Paid to the Spouse of a Teacher Who Dies Before Retirement.

Page 12, Cal. 1219, File 1187 H.B. 8712 An Act Concerning the Establishment of a Drug Dependency Unit at Veterans' Home and Hospital.

Cal. 1222, File 1422 Substitute for H.B. 8343 An Act Concerning Mandatory Refusal of Liquor Permits.

Cal. 1223, File 983, Substitute for H.B. 8359 An Act Concerning the Creation of a Commission on Compensation for Elected State Officials.

Page 13, Cal. 1225, File 1435 Substitute for H.B. 8798 An Act Concerning Public Hearings on Proposed Layout of State Highways.

Cal. 1229, S.B. 1830 An Act Concerning Participation of Hospitals in the Health And Educational Facilities Authority Act.

Page 14, H.B. 5491, File 916 An Act Granting Payment of the World War II Veterans' Bonus to Edward david Wellins.

Cal. 1233, File 777 H.B. 5672 An Act Granting Payment of the Korean War Veterans' Bonus to John P. Belansky, Jr.