

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-58		6225	2	1	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Rules 8</i></li> <li>• <i>Rules 13</i></li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>1101(Consent)</i></li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>812-813</i></li> </ul>

**H-110**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 3  
974-1450**

Thursday, March 25, 1971 7.

EFH

RONALD A. SARASIN:

Mr. Speaker, I move for suspension of the rules for consideration of the Consent Calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

Question is on suspension for consideration, immediate consideration of the items single-starred in the Consent Calendar. Is there objection. Hearing none, the rules are suspended.

RONALD A. SARASIN;

Mr. Speaker, I move the following items on the Consent Calendar or the action of the Joint Committees be adopted: Calendar No. 162, substitute for H.B. No. 5588, an Act concerning State owned or leased buildings or structures within historic districts, File No. 133. Calendar No. 168, substitute for H.B. No. 6225, an Act concerning distribution of revised statutes, supplements and special acts, File No. 129. Calendar No. 172, H.B. No. 6028, an Act concerning authority to rearrest a paroled convict, File No. 134. I move the adoption of these Bills.

MR. SPEAKER:

Before putting the question, is there objection to any of these matters be considered under Rule 48 - 17. Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion will indicate by saying "aye". Opposed. Bills are passed.

RONALD A. SARASIN:

Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 48, I move the following items be placed on the Consent Calendar-on the tope of Page 3, Calendar No. 163, H.B. NO. 5675, an Act concerning the sale of

**S-77**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS**

**1971**

**VOL. 14**

**PART 2**

**474-956**

April 5, 1971

Page 13

from the 11th.

THE CHAIR:

You may proceed.

SENATOR DOWD:

Mr. President, I would inquiry of the gentleman, whether there are personnel involved and whether this bill takes care of the transfer of any personnel who might be involved in these duties from DCA to the Development Commission?

SENATOR LIEBERMAN:

Mr. President, thank you, it's a good question. Our understanding in considering the bill, that it would not be necessary to make any transfers of personnel on either side. That is, there was adequate personnel at the Development Commission to administer the program and there were sufficient duties that the Department of Community Affairs for those that had been involved. Frankly, it's been a relatively dormant program at the DCA.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 157. File No. 129. Favorable report of the joint standing committee on Rules. Substitute for House Bill No. 6225. An Act Concerning Distribution of Revised Statutes, Supplements and Special Acts.

SENATOR BURKE:

Mr. President, I move the passage of the committee's joint favorable report and passage of the bill.

April 5, 1971

Page 14

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR BURKE:

Mr. President, members of the circle, this is to give the resident State Police Officer in the Municipality in which there is no resident police force a copy of the revised statutes and all of the supplements of the Special Acts. I move passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor say, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 170. File No. 120. Favorable report of the joint standing committee on Insurance and Real Estate. House Bill No. 6263. An Act Concerning Proof of Financial Responsibility on Violation of Certain Motor Vehicle Statutes, as amended by House Amendment Schedule A.

SENATOR DINIELLI:

Mr. President, I move for acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR DINIELLI:

Will the Clerk read the amendment please?

THE CLERK:

HOUSE AMENDMENT SCHEDULE A. In line 18, after the word, Insurance, delete the word, as long as it, and insert the word, during the time said Insurance Company. In line 19, after the word, person, and before the semi-colon,

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**RULES**

**1971  
Index**

THURSDAY

MARCH 18, 1971

Representative Raymond J. Dzialo, presiding

Chairman Dzialo: Good afternoon gentlemen. I would like to call the Rules Committee hearing to order, and ask that any legislators here who care to address themselves to any of these bills, they may do so at this time. I would ask that the legislators be short in their testimony so that we can hear the public that is here to address themselves to matters before us today.

Representative Holdridge; 63rd District: Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, I have bill 6225 and the statement of purpose is to provide free of charge one set of revised statutes and supplements to a resident police officer. It isn't just for each officer, they should go for his office. This is a bill I was asked to put in last session but it was too late to file bills and I spoke to Commissioner Mulcahy and he is very much in favor of it and Lucille Dow downstairs feels this is the best bill we ever had. And the idea is most town halls do have a set of statutes but they're probably up in the Town Clerk's office and their offices close early and the resident State Police are open twenty-four hours a day. This is the bill and I just talked to someone and they figure that it would be probably a cost around \$5,000.00 for the resident State Police. So that would be one set of State Statutes in each resident State Police office. I think it is a good bill and I hope you do too. Thank you very kindly.

Chairman Dzialo: We'll start with House Bill 7449. Is anybody here to address himself to House Bill 7449? House Bill 7598? House Bill 7826?

Philip Smith: I think Mr. Chairman the change that I will very basically suggest through bill 7826 could be accomplished through an amendment to the Joint Rules rather than through a bill and that very simply Mr. Chairman would require your adoption of the new rules in allowing the co-sponsors to add their names by filing their names with the Clerk. I would suggest the Clerk be required to publish periodically a list of sponsors who have been added to bills and to have that record printed in a journal so that members of the press and the public, as well as, legislators will have some notice of those legislators who had their names added to legislation.

Chairman Dzialo: Where are you from?



THURSDAY

MARCH 18, 1971

many people don't know anything about any of these activities, tours of the Capitol, procedure for obtaining keys, parking system, location of dictating equipment, how you use them, method of preparing and signing bills and so forth. Now, I realize that in some areas the two sides of the House do assist their own people but I think all the things that I'm talking about are non-partisan, they're things that could be, I think, very helpful to all of the new people coming in.

Senator Hammer: You're absolutely right. We've talked about this and, in fact, I've made out a list of things that I could think of and handed them in. You know you shouldn't have to ask for a law for this. It's really an outrage that we don't obtain these things.

Representative Matthews: Well, in my final statement, Senator, I have merely commented that maybe the suggestion of how this could be done could be set up, I don't know, but I wished to present my thoughts to you and I appreciate your time, very much. Thank you.

Chairman Dzialo: Thank you very much Representative Matthews. Anybody else to be heard on House Bill 7911? If not, we will proceed with House Bill 8048. House Bill 5730. House Bill 6225. House Bill 6593. House 6592.

Joseph Goldberg, Legislature Commissioner: I appear here in opposition to House Bill 6592. In essence, what this bill does in terms of our statutory creation of a thirty-five hour week we presently have, this bill attempts to take legislative employees outside of the overtime provision, and I might say that I think its rather unique that the General Assembly should be seeking to take its own employees out from under the provisions of the regular employment service in the State of Connecticut. I think basically the legislative employees should be treated in exactly the same manner as employees of the executive department or any other branch of state government and it's hard for me to understand their reasoning behind an effort to segregate the legislative employees from the rest of the employees in the State service. Now, I might add I'm speaking basically for our department where we have had the job this session of putting out I guess at this point, approximately 6,000 bills are