

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-57	vetoed	5588	4	1	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>State &amp; Urban Development 1-4</i></li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>1011(consent)</i></li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>809-810</i></li> </ul>

**H-110**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 3  
974-1450**

Thursday, March 25, 1971 7.

EFH

RONALD A. SARASIN:

Mr. Speaker, I move for suspension of the rules for consideration of the Consent Calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

Question is on suspension for consideration, immediate consideration of the items single-starred in the Consent Calendar. Is there objection. Hearing none, the rules are suspended.

RONALD A. SARASIN;

Mr. Speaker, I move the following items on the Consent Calendar or the action of the Joint Committees be adopted: Calendar No. 162, substitute for H.B. No. 5588, an Act concerning State owned or leased buildings or structures within historic districts, File No. 133. Calendar No. 168, substitute for H.B. No. 6225, an Act concerning distribution of revised statutes, supplements and special acts, File No. 129. Calendar No. 172, H.B. No. 6028, an Act concerning authority to rearrest a paroled convict, File No. 134. I move the adoption of these Bills.

MR. SPEAKER:

Before putting the question, is there objection to any of these matters be considered under Rule 48 - 17. Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion will indicate by saying "aye". Opposed. Bills are passed.

RONALD A. SARASIN:

Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 48, I move the following items be placed on the Consent Calendar-on the tope of Page 3, Calendar No. 163, H.B. NO. 5675, an Act concerning the sale of

**S-77**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS**

**1971**

**VOL. 14**

**PART 2**

**474-956**

April 5, 1971

Page 10

the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Mr. President, upon nomination by a superintendent of schools, the school board presently has a month to accept or reject the teacher and this increases the time to 35 days. I urge passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor of the bill signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "no". The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 152. File No. 133. Favorable report of the joint standing committee on State and Urban Development. Substitute for House Bill No. 5588. An Act Concerning State Owned or Leased Buildings or Structures Within Historic Districts.

SENATOR LIEBERMAN:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR LIEBERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, this bill amends existing legislation to make it clear that the State of Connecticut as well as, anyone else who wishes to build in an historic district, must comply with the regulations governing the historic district. That is to say, the State must submit building plans

April 5, 1971

Page 11

and architectural plans to the Historic District Commission for a certificate of appropriateness. I think it is an important piece of legislation. It simply clarifies that duty on behalf of the State. I move passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark? If not, all those in favor of passage of the bill signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

~~THE~~ CHAIR:

Mr. Coles, will you or one of the other messengers, each time we come back into session, please go down to the press room and so notify them. Because they don't hear the announcement down there and today, unbeknown to us, we were two or three bills along before they realized it. So we will make it a practice hereafter, that we have a messenger go down and notify you personally. Thank you.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 153. File No. 96. Favorable report of the joint standing committee on Finance. House Bill No. 5826. An Act Concerning the Taxation of Antique Automobiles.

SENATOR COTILLO:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CUTILLO:

Mr. President, this would give a maximum of 100 dollars to any assessments on an antique automobile. We found two committee hearings that often times

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**STATE  
AND  
URBAN  
DEVELOPMENT**

**PART 1  
1-275**

**1971  
Index**

STATE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 10, 1971

Representative Tudan, presiding

Members present: Senators Lieberman, Gunther  
Representatives Tudan, Gaffney, Beck, Bigos,  
Gudelski, Taneszio, Mahoney, Clarke, Orcutt,  
King, Edwards, Kablik

Chairman Tudan: Senator Lieberman and the House Chairman's Committee on said Community Development. Today, as you know, is our first hearing and at 1:30 we, as designated that the Legislatures will have this opportunity to testify and at 2 o'clock the hearing will be opened to the public. Now, on, you folks have a Bulletin, on page eleven, today's Bulletin, there are two Bills, 5774 and 5918 will not be heard today. Now, 5774 will be incorporated with other legislation today into the Department of Community Affairs and Representative Clarke. We did have some difficulties with the Bulletin and due to the fact this is the first week of hearings and they have, from our area, requested an extension of time so they can notify the people in the area to appear. So, 5918 will be heard at least two weeks from now, or thereafter. Certainly not next week. Now, for the Legislative end of the meeting, we'll be here to testify pertaining to any of the Bills scheduled to be made, feel free to do so. Now, I understand you don't have to take the microphone right to yourself, a pretty sensitive "mike" piece, but anyone who cares to speak, come to the table. Now we're not, this, we're not going to follow any particular sequence, so that Representative Treux, any Bill that she'd care to, goes with the schedule of the hearing, feel free to speak up.

Representative Treux: Thank you, sir. Senator Lieberman, Representative Tudan, I am Ruth Treux, Representative from the 23rd District and I am here in support of HB 5588 submitted by Representative Kablik and myself, entitled AN ACT CONCERNING STATE OWNED OR LEASED BUILDINGS OR STRUCTURES WITHIN HISTORIC DISTRICTS. This Bill provides that the regulation of exterior architectural features on buildings owned or leased by State which are within Historic Districts shall be regulated by regulations which determine Historic Districts. The Bill is a result of a problem which arose in Weathersfield last summer, in connection with the Motor Vehicle Department which is located in the heart of the Historic District in Weathersfield, on Weathersfield Cove.

## STATE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 10, 1971

As many of you are aware, Weathersfield has been very anxious to make the best use of the land around the old State Prison property and with the help of the State Development Commission, last year, the town was permitted to buy some of the acreage around the cove for recreation and open space purposes and last summer the Motor Vehicle Department thought it would be a good idea to put a truck testing strip for diesel tractors, trucks, next to the Motor Vehicle Department and needless to say, this caused a great deal of contention in the town because, it's right in the heart of the Historic District and there was a great deal of activity on the part of town officials, civic organizations, Historic District people and State officials, including the Governor, to work together, so that this truck testing strip would not be incorporated within the center of the Historic District, because it brought specters of diesel trailer trucks with exhaust going through the residential section of the town and emptying out some of their pollution in the Weathersfield cove. Of all things, it is not desirable, particularly in view of the recent Governor's Commission on Environmental Policy- the report of that. So, this Bill, the result of that, simply asks that buildings of the State be included within the Historic District Commission; be subject to the regulations of the Historic District Commission. And, Representative Kablik will speak direct, too, but we urge your serious consideration of their future protection, for the integrity of a Historic District in the future, so that there will not be buildings built or structures altered which would defeat the purpose of a Historic District. Thank you very much.

Representative Kablik: Representative Tudan, Mrs. Treux has...

Chairman Tudan: Would you identify yourself for the record?

Representative Kablik: Yes. Representative Kablik from the 22nd District. Mrs. Treux has given you the background of the events that led up to this Bill. I would merely like to add that the Historic District of Weathersfield has been a functioning entity for several years. It's one of the first under the Historic District Enabling Act that went in. It has been operating relatively well. There are commercial establishments in, also private office buildings within the Historic District and none of them have had, to my knowledge, and I have been involved to a certain degree, privately, have had difficulties in complying with Historic District Regulations. It does not mean, necessarily, that we.. all buildings have to have slate roofs or any such expensive fabric of their construction; merely that they fit in with Historic District and, like I say, this is not a limitation on use per se, but actually as the Bill states, the architectural features, and we don't feel that this

STATE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 10, 1971

would be in excess or even a moderate burden on the State as far as finances are concerned. There is always that question that's on my mind. And with my experience in the private sector, I don't feel it would be a burden, a financial burden, on the State. There would be, all I have to say at this point, "was there any questions?"

Chairman Tudan: Well, just what (two speakers at the same time.) testing use these motor vehicles?

Representative Kablik: N, no at this point, at this point there is no further activity. There's a little bit of "Quiescence" down there after the last bit of bad judgment that was almost at the sight and...

Chairman Tudan: Judgment? Where?

Representative Kablik: Well, in the terms of any, well there was a use, the result of structure involved, in terms of the Historic District. I, there's nothing planned at this point that would...

Chairman Tudan: Would you be anticipating something being done, by that?

Representative Kablik: Well we're not anticipating anything specific, at this point, we just feel that it would be a wise policy decision, but anything they did do, and this is not, as I say, regulate use, be in conformance with the Historic District as it appears.

Chairman Tudan: Now, you have to remember, that the piece of land that the state sold you folks, which came to this Committee the last time...

Representative Kablik: Yes.

Chairman Tudan: That, the one of the provisions, that the parcel, the property would, you know, would be retained by the State and used by the Motor Vehicle department, that was...

Representative Kablik: Fine.

Chairman Tudan: That was the intent, see, and this is the thing I'm concerned with. Just what are they doing there somebody can use the wrong way?

Representative Kablik: Well, I'll hasten to add, that the Historic

STATE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 10, 1971

District in Weathersfield is a fairly large one and we have a fair amount of office space that is being leased as well as the Motor Vehicle and so forth and it encompasses more than just..although it began at the cove..it encompasses much more than that particular area. We realize we have the Motor Vehicles with us, we are not asking by width, that they leave or that their use be curtailed.

Senator Lieberman: I understand that the State is extending an exemption.

Representative Kablik: Well, the State is not under this at all.

Senator Lieberman: even though now, it serves no building?

Representative Kablik: That's right. Status without limitations, whatsoever.

Chairman Tudan: Is there any questions of the members of the Committee? Fine. Thank you very much.

Representative Kablik: Thank you.

Chairman Tudan: Now, is there any other Legislator who'd care to speak on the aft, on any other, the Bills that are before us today? Alright, we'll adjourn until 2 o'clock.

Chairman Tudan: We will follow the order of the list in the Bulletin and hope you approach the table. Will you kindly identify yourself. Now, the first Bill we're going to hear will be Bill that Representative Truex spoke on: 5588. Anyone care to speak for? Alright, Mr.Hatch, why don't we put this chair...Fran, you want to sit over here and leave that chair up there for the folks, then take our microphone down the other end.

Mr.Eric Hatch: Senator Lieberman, Representative Tudan. I want to speak for Bill No. 588...5588. This is Eric Hatch of Litchfield, Chairman of the State Historical Commission. I believe this is a very good Bill and I do not see where it could create much difficulty for the State and it seems to me eminently suitable for the State to go along with regulations that it has had a hand in drawing up. I speak for the Bill.

Senator Lieberman: We have a limited number of copies of the Bills that are being heard today up here, so you're welcomed to take one.

Chairman Tudan: Anyone else to speak on Bill 5588? Anyone opposed? Alright, the hearing's closed. 5589. Anyone care to speak on behalf of that? Anyone opposed? Then we close on that one. As we mentioned earlier, the Bill just arrived, that