

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-53		5852	2	1	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Government Administration and Policy</i> 86-87</li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 832(<i>Consent</i>)</li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 790-791</li> </ul>

**H-109**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 2  
449-973**

Tuesday, March 23, 1971

5

with our rules, this item will be removed from the Consent Calendar and placed on the regular calendar and retained for consideration tomorrow. Are there further items. ad

MR. SARASIN:

Mr. Speaker, may I read the list of bills to be passed on today's Consent Calendar.

Calendar No. 128 - House Bill No. 5159 - An Act Concerning Municipal Appropriations for Military Organizations, Public Health Nursing Organizations and Hospitals, File No. 107.

Calendar No. 130, House Bill No. 5472 - An Act Concerning Voting on the Consolidation of Governments, File No. 108.

Calendar No. 131, House Bill No. 5852 - An Act Concerning Tax Collectors' Fees, File No. 106.

And on Page 2 -

Calendar No. 135, House Bill No. 6769 - An Act Concerning Annual Reports of Labor Organizations, File No. 121.

Calendar No. 138, Substitute for Senate Bill No. 0214 - An Act Concerning Contracts Under Seal, File No. 54.

Calendar No. 139, Substitute for Senate Bill No. 0343 - An Act Concerning Licensing and Supervision of Commission Sales Stables, File No. 49.

Calendar No. 176, House Joint Resolution No. 145 - I'm sorry, those are the items on the Consent Calendar, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

**S-77**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS**

**1971**

**VOL. 14**

**PART 2**

**474-956**

April 1, 1971

14.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the Joint Favorable Report of the Committee and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

This is an act that changes the existing statute when the towns get together at different. Different sub-divisions, different political sub-divisions get together in consort for different purposes. And part of the sub-divisions are made up of town meetings merely includes when the legislative body is the town meeting by two-thirds vote of those present and voting. It just includes the provision for town meetings.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark further? If not all those in favor of passage of the bill signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 146, File No. 106 Favorable report Joint Standing Committee on Government Administration and Policy H.B. 5852 An Act Concerning Tax Collectors' Fees.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Sullivan.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the Joint Favorable report and passage of the bill.

April 1, 1971

15.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

The bill amends the Statute to change furnishing of information in regards to a certificate for a continuation for a lien for tax. Changing it from a \$1 fee to a \$2 fee. And changing a certificate for a lien for any tax and furnishing the information required by certain statutes. Changing the \$2 fee to a \$3 fee. And changing a Certificate of Discharge recording of it from 50¢ to \$1. Its a money making bill.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor say aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Page 4 please. Top of the page. Cal. 147, File No. 100. Favorable report of the Joint Standing Committee on Education. H.B. 6455 An Act Concerning The Periodic Evaluation and Reporting of Programs Dealing with The Education of Disadvantaged Children.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Caldwell.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

I move the acceptance of the favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Mr. President, this bill. The State Board of Education is presently

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**GOVERNMENT  
ADMINISTRATION  
&  
POLICY**

**PART 1  
1-333**

**1971  
Index**

## GOVERNMENTAL ADMINISTRATION AND POLICY

WEDNESDAY

MARCH 3, 1971

the boundary and description from an act of 1859 setting off the boundaries. By careful perusal, Mr. Dell'Angela's notes, you will be able to find basis for our very strong feeling that this bill should be turned down. Thank you gentlemen.

Edward Anthony:

My name is Edward Anthony, I'm Executive Secretary to the City Manager of the city of Hartford and I listened patiently to the many statements that are made concerning the existing law and I feel we must read into the record at least a couple of sentences from the special act governing the city of Hartford. I'm going to quote "the line between the city and town of Hartford and the town of East Hartford" the eastern boundary of the city and the town of Hartford is the east bank of the Connecticut River at the ordinary stage of water therein between the north line of the town of Wethersfield and then it goes on to detail further up the river towards South Windsor.

Our law states and the people who are making statements here concerning an injustice concerning the portion of the river which belongs to Hartford, apparently they cannot read the English language because it's very plain. The eastern boundary of the city of Hartford is the east bank of the Connecticut River. That is current law. Thank you very much.

Att: Russell Pray:

For the benefit of the committee, I would like to very briefly set forth what I feel gives rise to the confusion here.

The previous bill which was discussed before this brought forth some testimony as to towns within a town. What we had in days far gone past, back in the 18th and 19th century is the city of Hartford discontinuous with the town of Hartford which city of Hartford was given exclusive jurisdiction on the Connecticut River bordering its boundary. Its boundary was at all times set forth as the western bank of the river. The exclusiveness referred to therein was between the city of Hartford as opposed to the town of Hartford.

The confusion which arises here is brought forth only as a result of an engineering description created by engineers and surveyors attempting to interrupt legal documents, statutes and charters and which error has been incorporated by mistake or intent up to this point in time. Thank you.

Chairman Neiditz:

Anyone else wish to be heard on this bill? Then I'll declare the hearing closed.

Stedman Stearns:

Tax Collector, town of Bloomfield, President of the Hartford County Groups, Vice President of the State Group of Tax Collectors and Legislative Chairman, I speak in favor of H.B. 5852 (Rep. Byrne of the 11th.) AN ACT CONCERNING TAX COLLECTORS' FEES.

## GOVERNMENTAL ADMINISTRATION AND POLICY

WEDNESDAY

MARCH 3, 1971

This is a bill which increases the fees of lien fees of the tax collectors which has been in effect since 1927 and the town clerks had foresighted neither the last session or the session before to increase their portion of it by some \$3.00, I think.

We feel that due to the complicated land records and so forth that we have to deal with, that have changed since 1927, that this is in line and we urge its passage.

H.B. 5856 (Rep. Byrne of the 11th.) AN ACT CONCERNING CHANGING THE TIME FOR LOCAL TAX COLLECTORS TO PAY OVER TAX COLLECTORS TO THE TOWN TREASURER. This is changing the date of the turning of the collections over from the 5th day to the 10th day which would coincide with the date of the reports to the town treasurer. This does not affect some towns but in many towns it does especially where a holiday comes in near the weekend. We as a state and state committee urge its passage.

Chairman Neiditz:

I declare the hearing closed on those bills and the hearing is closed.

At the request of  
Thomas Yasevsky:

S.B. 623 (Sen. Rimer of the 26th, Rep. Camp of the 163rd.) AN ACT TO PERMIT COMMISSIONER OF TRANSPORTATION TO CONVEY TO MUNICIPALITIES LAND OBTAINED BY EMINENT DOMAIN.

Purpose: To dispose of unneeded portions of land taken in condemnation by the state.

Comments of the Department: The Department of Transportation is operating under a policy which accomplishes the same purpose as S.B. 623. The policy gives a priority on excess lands to other state agencies and the municipality in which the land is located.

The authority for the sale or lease of land by the Commissioner of the Department of Transportation is set out in Sec. 13a-80. Such land may be sold, leased, conveyed or otherwise disposed of with the advice and consent of the Commissioner of Finance and Control.

The Bill as proposed would have proceeds from such sales be payable to the General Fund of the State. The monies expended for the purchase of the land in question came from the Highway Fund and it is the Department's position that any monies received from the sale of this property should be credited to the Highway Fund. The Department has no objections to this Bill other than the provision for the manner in which the monies received is payable.