

| Act Number | Session | Bill Number | Total Number of Committee Pages | Total Number of House Pages | Total Number of Senate Pages |
|---|----------------|------------------------|--|--|---|
| PA 71-531 | | 6436 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Transportation 435</i> | | | | <u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4088 | <u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2819 |

H-116

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 9
3878-4343**

Friday, May 28, 1971 89.

be the intention, in fact anybody so operating a motor vehicle would be in violation of the statute, and I don't see any other way around it. For that reason I would not be able to support this Bill.

PETER W. GILLIES:

Mr. Speaker, I believe that that was the import of the gentleman's Amendment, which, presumably, the Clerk should have had. I would, therefore, request this matter be passed retaining its place.

MR. SPEAKER:

I suggest that in view of the question that that is the proper course to take. Is there objection to pass retaining? Hearing none, so ordered.

THE CLERK:

A one-star item, 1196, Substitute for H.B. No. 6436, an Act concerning motor vehicle operator license renewal, from Transportation.

EDWARD TACINELLI:

Mr. Speaker, I move for suspension of the Rules, so this item may be considered.

MR. SPEAKER:

Is there objection to suspension of the rules? Hearing no objection, the rules are suspended.

EDWARD TACINELLI:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the Bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

**S-81
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 6
2436-2873**

June 3, 1971

Page 92

HOUSE BILL: 8441. File No. 1221; Substitute House Bill 9075. File No. 1228' Substitute House Bill 5027. File No. 1235; Substitute House Bill 5559; File No. 1527; House Bill 5991, File No. 1296. ;

SENATOR HOULEY:

Mr. President, with the consent of the Senate Majority Leader may we pass and retain Cal. 1044, File 1540?

SENATOR CALDWELL:

In agreement. I withdraw the motion to that effect.

THE CHAIR:

So ordered. It is understood.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

CAL. NO. 1045. File 1537; Sub. Senate Bill 1317; page 14, Cal No. 1060 File 1530; Substitute Senate Bill 480; page 17, Cal. 1084, File No. 1235. Substitute House Bill 5027; page 18, Cal. 1086, File No. 1257; Substitute House Bill 5559; Cal. No. 1087. File No. 1296; House Bill 5991; Cal. No. 1088; File No. 1298, House Bill 5993; Cal. No. 1089, File No. 1291; House Bill 6097.; Cal. No. 1090, File No. 1322; Substitute House Bill 6321 Cal. No. 1091, File No. 1304, House Bill 6432; page 19, Cal. No. 1092, File No. 1287; Substitute House Bill 6433; Cal. No. 1093, File No. 1317, Substitute House Bill 6436; Cal. No. 1094, File No. 1286, House Bill 6437; Cal. No. 1095; File No. 1318, House Bill 6438; Cal. No. 1096, File No. 1285, Substitute House Bill 6439; Cal. No. 1097. File No. 1324, House Bill 6441; Cal. No. 1098, File No. 1239, Substitute House Bill 6443; page 20, Cal. No. 1103; File No. 1283; Substitute House Bill 8286; page 21, Cal. No. 1108; File No. 1549, Substitute Senate Bill 1067; page 29, Cal. No. 111 Senate Bill 383; page 30, Cal. 179, Senate Bill 384; page 34, Cal. 605. File 868 House Bill 8764

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

TRANSPORTATION

**PART 2
338-674**

1971

52
mr

TRANSPORTATION

MARCH 26, 1971

Mr. Carroll(continued): automobile, with the Inspectors going to location where the vehicle is assembled. This bill would increase the fee for such an inspection to \$25, which the M. V. Dept. considers more realistic, than the current \$2 fee, I would like to emphasize that our inspectors have to travel to wherever the car is built, and it is not infrequent, that they are required to go back a second and sometimes a third time. So there is no doubt that the state is losing money on this inspection. And probably \$25 would cover the cost, it would be close.

Chairman O'Dea: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. Anyone else in favor. Any opposition?

Mr. Donald Pittslui: Mr. Chairman; I am President of the Connecticut Motorcycle Asst., First off, with what Mr. Carroll just said, I agree. But I would like to go on further. We wish to go on record as opposed to H. B. 6432. At the present time the policy of the Dept. of Motor Vehicles has been that if any modification has been done to a motor vehicle, I should say motorcycle; it is classed as a composite. I feel that this is an extremely broad interpretation of the definition of a composite motor vehicle. A motorcycle still bears the characteristics of a specific manufacturer and is still registered as a Harley Davidson, etc. Under this broad interpretation, a pickup truck, which has a camper installed on it, could be classed as a composite. Due to this broad interpretation of the definition of a composite, we feel that a \$25 fee would cause a financial burden on too many people. If the Connecticut state adopts an annual inspection law; this present policy could cause some people to pay an annual inspection fee of \$25. A much clearer definition of a composite vehicle is needed if there is to be an increase in the fee for inspection. Thank you.

Chairman O'Dea: Anyone else opposed? The hearing is closed on 6432. The next bill is 6435 (Rep. O'Dea) AN ACT CONCERNING DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION OF VEHICLE. Anyone in favor?

Mr. Edward Carroll: This bill is designed to curb the practice of removing a vehicle identification number from a junked vehicle and then stealing a similar vehicle and replacing the I. D. number on the stolen car with that of the junked vehicle. Thank you.

Chairman O'Dea: Thank you. Anyone else in favor? Anyone opposed? The hearing is closed.
The next bill is H. B. 6436 (Rep. O'Dea) AN ACT CONCERNING MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATOR LICENSE RENEWALS, Anyone in favor?

Mr. Edward Carroll: This bill would clarify the present law by making it clear, that once a person has obtained a classified operators license - he must pass an examination to move on to a higher classification of license. Thank you.

Chairman O'Dea: Thank you. Anyone else to comment on the bill? The hearing is closed on this bill.