

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-469		7700	1	1	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Transportation 519</i></li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3560</li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2615-1616</li> </ul>

**H-115**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 8  
3377-3877**

Monday, May 24, 1971

5.

THE CLERK:

roc

BUSINESS ON THE CALENDAR for Monday, May 24, 1971.

Page 1 of the Calendar, the CONSENT CALENDAR.

THE SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 114th.

MR. PRETE: (114th)

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and the passage of the following items on the Consent Calendar:

Cal. 1046, H.B. 8030. AN ACT CONCERNING THE EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS IN DINING ROOMS. File 1148.

Cal. 1050, Sub. for H.B. 6331. AN ACT CONCERNING PROHIBITING THE USE OF DEVICES ON MOTOR VEHICLES BALL JOINTS AND TIE ROD ENDS WHICH CONCEAL OR COMPENSATE FOR EXCESSIVE WEAR. File 1152.

Cal. 1055, Sub. for H.B. 7700. AN ACT CONCERNING THE CONSTRUCTION OF LIMITED ACCESS HIGHWAYS WITHIN MUNICIPALITIES. File 1157.

Cal. 1066, Sub. for S.B. 0466. AN ACT CONCERNING INVESTMENTS BY SAVINGS BANKS IN THE FUNDED DEBT AND EQUITIES OF UTILITY COMPANIES. File 1000.

Cal. 1067, Sub. for S.B. 0569. AN ACT CONCERNING REPORTS OF MEDICAL DEDUCTIONS. File 965.

Cal. 1068, Sub. for S.B. 0602. AN ACT CONCERNING THE PLACEMENT OF CHILDREN BORN TO PERSONS DETAINED AT THE CONNECTICUT CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION, NIAN TIC. File 1016.

**S-81  
CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 6  
2436-2873**

May 28, 1971

23.

SENATOR MONDANI:

Mr. President, several days ago we passed a bill providing for bilingual programs in the public schools of the state. And this bill provides that the local board of education may seek to the state board, a waiver of that provisional certification requirement. For those teachers who may be necessary to carry out this program. The bill has safeguards in it. They require a college degree. It requires that the local board make a reasonable search to find the personnel. And it sets down requirements for the applicant where he must teach. How he must teach. If the degree granting institution was outside the United States, the Commission on Higher Education will make a report on whether or not it is truly a four year college beyond high school. Why is this needed? It is obvious when we look at some of the figures. Some of our larger cities without naming them, but one community has 17,000 Spanish speaking youngsters and fourteen teachers. Another community has 13,000 Spanish speaking students and no bi-lingual teacher. And this would permit the Board of Education the flexibility to hire these people. Once they are employed they must within the regular period of time get the credits that are required of any other teacher. So that this requirement isn't being waived. Its a good bill. It will provide the teachers for these programs and it does have the safeguards. I urge its adoption.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor of passage signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 969, File 1157, Favorable report of the joint standing committee on Transportation on Substitute H.B. 7700 An Act Concerning the Construction of Limited Access Highways Within Municipalities.

May 28, 1971

24.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Mondani.

SENATOR MONDANI:

Mr. President I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR MONDANI:

Mr. President, this requires that the Commissioner of Transportation consult with the Chief Executive Officer in a municipality when a limited access highway is being developed, to make sure the plans are coordinated in terms of the environment and the whole community in the planning and zoning. Its to develop better coordination and insure that this will be done. And I am sure that our public will like it. Its a good bill. I urge its adoption.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor of passage signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 970, file 1155 Favorable report of the joint standing committee on Public Health and Safety on H.B. 7274 An Act Concerning the Purchasing of Certain Services by the Alcohol and Drug Dependence Division of the State Department of Mental Health.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**TRANSPORTATION**

**PART 2  
338-674**

**1971**

WEDNESDAY

TRANSPORTATION

MARCH 31, 1971

of parks, recreation areas and the like. While the Department of Transportation is a strong advocate of the multiple and joint use concepts, it does not feel this particular bill is supportable. The department favors, as adequate, existing Multiple Use & Joint Development Legislation passed in 1969 (Section 13a-80a of the 1969 Supplement of the General Statutes.) The law provides for municipal controls and this coupled with municipal priority on excess state land and areas under and over highways gives strong implementation to making a highway compatible with the environs. At this time, the State is not in a position to commit funds for the purposes set out in the bill. Finally, the Department is submitting a favorable report on House Bill 5936 which will, if passed, provide for 50' strips for linear parks along highways passing through urban areas. So we ask you that this bill not be supported in favor of 5936 and the previous Legislation passed in 1969.

Rep. O'Dea:: Any other opposition? The hearing is closed on 5936. House Bill 7700 AN ACT CONCERNING THE CONSTRUCTION OF LIMITED ACCESS HIGHWAYS WITHIN MUNICIPALITIES. Anyone in favor?

Mr. Vahur: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, my name is Lembrith Vahur, speaking for the State Department of Transportation, on Bill 7700. The Department is in agreement with the general purpose of this bill but wishes to point out that it is the present policy of the Department of Transportation to coordinate, at all formative development stages of a project, with the chief executive officer of each town. It is also standard practice for the Department, as well as the chief executive officer to involve the local planning commission, conservation commission, historical commission, and other agencies, concerned with all aspects of the environment. Therefore this bill will not change any existing procedures.

Rep. O'Dea: Thank you Mr. Vahur. Anyone else in favor? Anyone opposed? The hearing is closed on House Bill 7700. House bill 7701 AN ACT CONCERNING THE INSTALLATION OF SIDEWALKS WHEN ROADS ARE WIDENED IN POPULATED AREAS. Anyone in favor? Any opposition?

Mr. Vahur: MR. Chairman, members of committee, Lembrith Vahur, speaking for the Connecticut Department of Transportation on Bill 7701. The Department does not support the intent of this bill. Existing statutes (Section 13a-91) require the department to provide sidewalks on any bridges or approaches to any bridges on state highways if needed for public safety. The department also reconstructs or replaces any existing sidewalks disturbed by the state highway construction. Any other construction of sidewalks is and should remain the responsibility of the municipality. The bill as written does not define what constitutes a "populated area". All 169 towns may claim areas along state highways as "populated areas", and the bill would require the department to construct sidewalks on both sides of the highway without a consideration of the real need or the feasibility of construction, with a possible impact on adjoining properties if additional rights of way or slope rights were required for sidewalks. Also, since property owners generally have to pay for the added sidewalks, it seems unfair that all taxpayers should pay for sidewalks for those property owners along the state highways, and people residing on local roads pay for their sidewalks on their own.

Rep. O'Dea; Thankyou Mr. Vahur. Any other opposition? The hearing is closed on 7701. House Bill 7593 AN ACT PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF TOLL PLATES