

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-3		5271	3	2	1
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Environment</i> 5-6</li> <li>• <i>Environment</i> 10</li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 385-386</li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 413</li> </ul>

**H-108**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 1  
1-448**

Thursday, February 18, 1971

13.

bill will allow veterinarians, who are not licensed and not accredited, to do the blood testing. It's a bad bill but it ought to pass.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO: (118th)

I don't quite know what to say at this point. One would hope that before we have no more farmers left in the Halls of the House, those of us who have been coming here these many terms and hearing about brucellosis every year would have an explanation of what it is, one of these terms.

DEPUTY SPEAKER.

Will you remark further. If not, the question is on passage for Substitute House Bill No. 5767. All those in favor will indicate by saying AYE. All those opposed. THE BILL IS PASSED.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 12. Substitute for House Bill No. 5271. AN ACT CONCERNING THE COMMON NAME FOR STANDARD QUALITY MILK. Favorable Report of the Committee on The Environment. File No. 5.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The lady from the 17th.

MRS. YACAVONE: (17th)

Mr. Speaker, I move the acceptance of the Committee's favorable report and passage of this bill.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage of the bill. Will you remark.

roc

Thursday, February 18, 1971

14.

MRS. YACAVONE: (17th)

This is also a technical change to improve the distribution of milk to the consumer. This change was also requested by the Department of Agriculture. I urge the passage of the bill.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Will you remark further. If not, the question is on adoption, correction passage. All those in favor will indicate by saying AYE. All those opposed. THE BILL IS PASSED.

THE CLERK:

The Clerk has other Favorable Reports. Favorable Report of the House Committee on Executive Nominations. House Resolution <sup># 31</sup> Confirming the Nomination of Jack A. Fusari of New Britain to be Labor Commissioner.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO: (118th)

I move suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of the resolution.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on suspension for immediate consideration of the resolution. Is there objection. Hearing none, the gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO: (118th)

Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of the resolution.

DEPUTY SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of the resolution. Will you remark.

roc

S-76  
CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS  
1971

VOL.14  
PART 1  
1-473

March 3, 1971

47.

of Joint Standing Committee on the Environment on Substitute House Bill No. 5271 An Act Concerning The Common Name For Standard Quality Milk.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

I move acceptance of the Joint Committees Favorable Report and passage of the bill in concurrence with the House.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR PAC:

This bill merely drops the word approve from the standard quality milk and the Class B. milk. It does not change the substance. We will still have three grades of milk. Just plain milk. Grade A milk. And Certified milk. I urge passage of this bill.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor of the passage of the bill, signify their intents by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it the bill is carried.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 20, File No. 3. Favorable Report of the Joint Standing Committee on the Environment on Substitute House Bill No. 5766 An Act Concerning Tuberculin Tests of Neat Cattle.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC :

I move acceptance of the Joint Committees Favorable Report and

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**ENVIRONMENT**

**PART 1  
1-338**

**1971  
Index**

FRIDAY

FEBRUARY 5, 1971  
9:30 A.M.

5

- Sen. Pac Any questions? No further questions. Thank You. Any one else speaking in favor of H.B. 5216? Any one speaking in opposition? In that case we'll move onto H.B. 5217. This is an act making appropriations for the Department of Animal Diseases at the University of Connecticut for research in, I beg your pardon, that's H.B. 5217 on poultry. We'll go back to H.B. 5216. I guess these are the same bills. Alright. Anyone wishing to speak on either of the two bills?
- Jul. Fleischmann I speak on H.B. 5217. It is about chronic respiratory disease. This is a research program at the University of Connecticut, and will finally help in the final analysis be possible to be used on the human body.
- Sen. Pac Any questions? Thank you very much Mr. Fleischmann. The next H.B. 5219. Anyone speaking in favor of this bill? This is the one on egg grading plants. Anyone speaking in opposition of it? We'll move onto the next bill. H.B. 5271, a common name for standard quality milk.
- Dr. Parry I'm Chief of Dairy Division of the Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, I'm speaking for both H.B. 5271 and H.B. 5272 because their about the identical, their two different statues doing the same thing. I'm speaking in behalf of Mr. Gill who is unable to be here this morning. These two bills are put in by the C.O. Milk Dealers Association for the purpose of uniformity with our neighboring states on a common name of the milk and we are in favor of this, it does simplify our labels for products inter-state. H.B. 5271 has another change in it that's just an error being corrected, consolidates two words, low-fat into one word, which the common definition today, I mean the common word today is lowfat as one word. That is all I have.
- Sen Pac Any questions?
- Rep. Ciampi What your going to do on the carton is just change the name to make it just milk.
- Sen. Pac That is correct.
- Rep. Ciampi Then your saying, five New England states and upper New York have conformed to this. Do you think this is a good idea? Why make the change?
- Dr. Parry We have at the present time--milk is packaged in Hartford and soda in Massachusetts, it has to have a Connecticut label and Massachusetts label or milk packaged in Massachusetts or southern Connecticut has to have a Massachusetts label and a Connecticut label and this makes for a lot of confusion. And I pray the consumer will let us say the word milk.

- Rep. Ciampi            You want the word approved removed, just have milk?
- Dr. Parry              That's right.
- Rep. Ciampi            All the milk will be the same? Why the word approved? I think it gives the people more of a sense of security to see approved milk.
- Dr. Parry              We're doing it for the basis of getting uniformity with other states at their request, and we see no objection. Now you have low fat milk, and we also have non fat milk and we still have a grade A milk, and a certified milk. But the common one that's sold will be milk.
- Sen: Pac                I think what Rep. Ciampi is really trying to find out is whether this will change the grading of the milk.
- Dr. Parry              No.
- Sen. Pac                The milk will remain the same.
- Dr. Parry              Right; That is correct.
- Sen; Pac                Anyone else? Thank you.
- A.C. Fisher            I am General Manager of Consolidated Milk Producers Association of Newington, Connecticut. I really want to go on record in favor of both of these bills; H.B.5271 & H.B.5272. As a name of the common or usual milk product has evolved over a period of years that was formerly a grade B milk and it was changed to approved milk and actually this will give you probably more flexibility and labeling as Dr. Parry has pointed out. We think this is a constructive step.
- Sen. Pac                To repeat the question again, this will not change the milk itself in any way, it is the same milk that's dropping approved?
- A.C. Fisher            And it would be produced under the same standards as it has been in the past, sold under the same standards as it has been.
- Rep. Ciampi            Would grade B milk have the approved word on there too?
- A.C. Fisher            Well; it's my understanding that this was just removed.
- Rep. Ciampi            Off the A?
- A.C. Fisher            No. You said grade B. I thought there would be no grade B designation, official designation as such. Grade B actually became approved some 20 years ago I guess. Now we're saying let's remove any amount of facts taken.

During the fiscal year 1969-70 237,779 were extended from the dog fund to cover the costs of the services of the Canine Division if S.B. 132 is enacted into law these funds will have to be provided from the general fund for this fund. Thank you.

Sen. Pac

Any questions? Anyone else in favor of S.B. 132? Anyone opposed to 132? We'll move onto the last bill. Anyone in favor of that S.B. 244? Anyone who wished to speak on any bill come right up front, any bill, one at a time.

Jul. Fleischmann

219 Evert Road, South Windsor, I'm Executive Director of the Connecticut Poultry Association who considers of 240 members, who confuse about 60% of the table eggs in Connecticut. I am in favor of support of the H.B. 5219 in which we ask in appropriation of \$15,000 to the Department of Agriculture Natural Resources, to increase the standards for central egg grading plants and standards used by handlers. Years ago the farmers graded their own plant but now they ship mostly ungraded to central egg grading plants, and we want to have a spot check about the grading in the central grading plants. The farmer should be paid quickly when he wants appropriation to use for this purpose. The Department of Agriculture of Natural Resources will be in charge of execution of this project and will make the necessary regulations.

Sen. Pac

Any questions? Thank you. Any one else wishing to testify on any bills?

Harold Williams

I am President of Maple Shade Farm in Guilford. I am Co-Chairman of the Legislative Study Committee of the Connecticut Milk Dealers Association. I wish to testify in favor of Bills 5271 and 5272 which both pertain to the deletion of the word "approved" in the common name of milk and also changing low-fat, now two words to lowfat as one word. These changes will Connecticut's common name for these products into conformity with our surrounding markets, namely the other five New England states and upper New York state. This also would bring Connecticut into conformity with the recommendations of the National Labeling Committee Model Regulation which have been adapted by many of the states already, and with many more quite certain to follow. All milk sold in Connecticut now, including raw milk, is now Connecticut inspected, hence the word "approved" doesn't have any real literal meaning. I also feel that the lack of uniformity from one state to another only confuses many consumers and could in some cases cause a decrease in consumption of milk.