

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-37		5886	3	3	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public Health & Safety 60-62 				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 766-768 	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 714-715

H-109

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 2
449-973**

Vinnie in Southington. Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of the resolution and immediate transmittal to the Senate.

MR. SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the resolution. Representative Ajello.

MR. AJELLO:

All of us on this side of the aisle join in support of this resolution. We certainly wish Vin well in his career.

MR. SPEAKER:

Further remarks. If not, all those in favor indicate by saying "Aye". Those opposed. Resolution is adopted.

Is there objection to suspension and transmittal to the Senate. Hearing none, the rules are suspended and the resolution is transmitted to the Senate.

The Clerk will return to Calendar business.

CLERK:

Page 3. Calendar 82, substitute for House Bill No. 5886 - An Act Concerning the Performance of Certain Hairdressing and Cosmetology Functions by Licensed Manicurists.

MR. COHEN:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Cohen.

MR. COHEN:

I move acceptance of the Committee's Joint favorable report and passage of this bill.

Tuesday, March 16, 1971

66

ad

MR. SPEAKER:

Questions on acceptance and passage. Will you remark.

MR. COHEN:

I believe there should be an amendment.

MR. SPEAKER:

Clerk has House Amendment Schedule "A". Will you read it.

CLERK:

House Amendment Schedule "A". In line 19 before the word "upon" delete the word "only".

MR. COHEN:

Mr. Speaker, this is just a minor correction and makes the bill a little bit better. This bill merely delineates and spells out some of the duties of the manicurists. As you know, manicurists have to take 500 hours of study before they can be professionals and have to be certified by the Department of Health. This is a good bill and I hope it passes.

MR. SPEAKER:

Initial question is on adoption of the amendment. Will you remark further on Amendment Schedule "A". If not, all those in favor indicate by saying "Aye". Those opposed. The amendment is adopted. We'll rule it technical. We can proceed with the bill as amended.

MR. COHEN:

It's a good bill and I move its passage.

MR. SPEAKER:

Tuesday, March 16, 1971

67
ad

Further remarks on the bill as amended. If not, all those in favor of acceptance and passage as amended by House Amendment Schedule "A" indicate by saying "Aye". Those opposed. The bill is passed.

CLERK:

Calendar 83 - House Bill 7010 - An Act Concerning Equally Employment Contract and Compliance.

MR. AJELLO:

Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Ajello.

MR. AJELLO:

I move Calendar 83, House Bill 7010, file 72, be passed, retaining its place on the Calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

Is there objection. Hearing none, so ordered.

CLERK:

Calendar 85, substitute for House Bill 5605 - An Act Concerning the Waterford-East Lyme Shellfish Commission.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Rose of the 69th.

MR. ROSE:

Mr. Speaker, I move that this act be favorably accepted and passed by this House.

MR. SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage. Will you remark.

S-77

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS

1971

VOL. 14

PART 2

474-956

March 30, 1971

42

SENATOR PAC:

This bill would reduce the membership of the Waterford-East Lyme Shellfish Commission from ten to eight. Currently the membership consists of 3 Selectman from each town and 2 electors. It would reduce the Selectman to one each from each town and 3 electors. A total of eight. It seems like the Selectmen are over burdened with other duties and don't have the time to devote and give justice to the job. I suppose it will give them a little time to do a little shell fishing.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further?
IF not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Page 4 please, second item from the top. Cal No. 116, File No. 68.
Favorable Report Joint Standing Committee on Public Health and Safety on
Substitutite H.B. 5886 An kAct Concerning the Performance of Certain Hairdressing
and Cosmetology Functions by Licensed Manicurists, as amended by House
Amendment Sech. A.

THE CHAIR:

S_enator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's Favorable Report and passage of the bill, as Amended by House Amendment Sch. A.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

March 30, 1971

43

SENATOR PAC:

The Amendment merely takes out on line 19, the word only. And at this point, what this bill will do is increase the service of a manicurists who currently are limited to these duties she can give facials. Lift your eyebrows, give you a shampoo etc. And its more economically practical for the operators at this point it seems they can't afford to carry an individual just to these limited duties.

So I think its a good bill and deserves passage.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the bill as amended. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it the bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 119, File No. 79 Favorable Report Joint Standing Committee on the Environment. Substitutite H.B. 6549, An Act Concerning The Suspension of Licenses, Permits and Registrations by the State Board of Fisheries and Game., as amended by House Amendment Sch. A.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee 's Favorable Report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR PAC:

Now the Amendment removes all the wording on line 17 beginning in

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**PUBLIC
HEALTH
AND
SAFETY**

**PART 1
1-491**

**1971
Index**

PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY

THURSDAY

FEBRUARY 18, 1971

Atty. Shaughnessy: O.K., sir. We will not do our best to exhaust that patience. I would like to just have you see how many of the hairdressers are here in support of these bills because they have been here all morning and if I might just take a minute and ask them to raise their hands, I would do so. Cause they have spent a long morning here, and I'm sure you appreciate that. We lost about half our crowd during the day here.

Now I would like to speak briefly. We had thought to present a little further on the wig bill but apparently as that is not controversial we will not bore you with anything further on that. And I would like to speak just briefly on bill 5887, no, 5886. This bill is a bill out in to amend the statutes to allow manicurists to perform certain limited functions in a beauty parlor such as eyebrow etching, shampooing, etc. And this bill again I believe is non-controversial. Two years ago we were up here and the hairdressers and the school association were both on opposite sides of a bill. I've been informed that the school association also is in favor of this bill.

Basically what it allows is a manicurist to do additional things she cannot now do in a beauty salon such as some shampooing and such as eyebrow arching and so forth. There still is the requirement that the manicurist be trained and licensed. And I like to just explain the basic reason for this, that it comes down to I think hard economics. There are very few shops that can afford to hire a manicurist on a full time basis. This is therefore a dying profession. There are fewer licenses issued each year and there are fewer shops that can afford to hire a manicurist who can only manicure nails. This would allow people who perhaps don't have the economic resources to go into full time hairdressing, to spend the schooling that's necessary, to spend the fees that are necessary, to become a manicurist and to get gainful employment. It's something that we think would appeal to the undereducated, the minority groups who would have the opportunity to earn a living wage and I'm informed that many more shops could use somebody who could do things in addition to manicuring

Now I just have here a couple of figures that I thought might be interesting, and one of our gentlemen checked with the schools in the Hartford area and he found that one of these hairdressing schools had only one manicurist in training every other year. Again the second school had not had any recently, and the third had two last year, apparently none this year, and that ten years ago

THURSDAY

FEBRUARY 18, 1971

they had about ten students. The point is that there is no opportunity for these people to work under current conditions, and we're trying to remedy that. Now there is one young lady here who would like to speak on behalf of the association on this bill, and if I might have her speak at this point then we'd know if there was any opposition to either one of these bills.

Sen. Pac: Yes, as I said earlier, you'll be permitted to file any statements for the next couple of weeks and I'll arrange to have a spokesman of your choosing to speak at a future hearing. I hope that'll be satisfactory to our committee.

Atty. Shaughnessy: Thank you very much, sir, and might I before sitting down present you with some petitions we have in support of these bills? We have about 12,000 signatures which are divided equally between the mens bill and support for the other two bills, if I might file these at this time.

Edna Clark, 90 Mountain Road, Manchester, Conn: By way of qualification I own and operate Theresa Beauty Salon in Manchester and in Bolton. However right now I've completely had the wind taken out of my sails because I find that the written speech I have prepared is almost word for word what Mr. Shaughnessy has just given you. I have spent most of last night preparing this and it would actually be quite ridiculous for me to repeat this. As a matter of fact I almost feel like a schoolchild who has been caught cribbing in class. You know I have the same thing written on my cuff. I'm sure it's all purely coincidental, and more than likely great minds in the same channels. Just ditto me for everything Mr. Shaughnessy has said. Thank you very much.

Sen. Pac: Thank you very much for your consideration. You understand the position we legislators often find ourselves in.

Dr. James Hart, State Department of Health: I would like to speak in favor of 6056 on behalf of Commissioner Foote and the department. This bill would make a minor revision in the state law which was passed two years ago, section 53-41b, which would permit only a person licensed to practice medicine and surgery to pierce ears. The reason for this bill, or this law

PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY

THURSDAY

FEBRUARY 18, 1971

was that we had received many complaints over the years by physicians who stated that infections of the ears occurred in their practice, and in other states I understand more than simple infections, they've even had cases of tetanus, which is also lockjaw, and can cause death.

The reason for this bill this year is that it was found that the phrase - for remuneration - did impede the enforcement of this law, because it's quite difficult to decide at the local level whether a person is merely buying jewelry and getting a free piercing of the ears or otherwise. And furthermore, it will require that no one perform this operation which should only be done by a licensed physician and surgeon. And this bill of course was originally introduced and supported by the public health committee of the State Medical Society, and the state Health Department feels that in order that it can be enforced properly, that this particular act should pass.

Sen. Pac: Anyone else wishing to speak? The hearing of the Public Health and Safety Committee is closed. And - oh, I'll reopen it for a second, you may go on.

James Harrison, President, Conn. Society of Beauty Culture Schools inc., Director of the Modern School of Hair-dressing and Cosmetology, and also on the Board of Directors of the National School Association for the United States: I'd like to reaffirm Mr. Shaughnessy's bill, 5886, concerning manicurists. Now there is a definite need for additional services to be added in this particular realm for the simple reason that my lady has much trouble in trying to find a manicurist and also my friends the barbers have much trouble in trying to get these young ladies into the field. And also it does open another field for the minority groups to enter and be gainfully employed, so I think, in delivering your message to your committee, ask them to act very favorable on this. Thank you very much.

Sen. Pac: You're welcome. I think now we'll close this hearing.

Letter to the Committee on Public Health and Safety:

Because I will not be at the Capitol on February 18 I would appreciate your entering this letter in your records in support of HB 5890.