

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-191		1754	0	5	4
<u>Committee Pages:</u>				<u>House Pages:</u>	<u>Senate Pages:</u>
				• 2089- 2093	• 1246- 1249

H-112

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 5
1968-2502**

Tuesday, May 4, 1971

46

MR. IWANICKI (79th):

djh

Mr. Speaker, this bill is important to our state's effort to prevent and remove all oil slicks on state waters. The bill requires that all oil companies and oil carriers to deposit with the state, Secretary of State, \$25,000 bond or evidence of financial responsibility so in case of any oil or petroleum product pollution in our state waters which may be caused by oil companies or carrier. The bill forbids any party from operating any vessel for the purpose of discarding, carrying or receiving any petroleum product in state waters unless that party had deposited a necessary bond. Furthermore, no bond will be released until the Water Resource Commission determines that party has not been the cause of any oil pollution. Any party failing to meet the regulation of this bill will be fined no more than \$5,000. This is a good bill and I'd like the passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed? The bill is PASSED.

THE CLERK:

Calendar No. 631, Substitute for S.B. No. 1754, An Act Concerning Discharging Treated Substances Into Certain Streams or Rivers.

THE SPEAKER:

The Chair recognizes the Chairman of the Committee on the Environment, Rep. Ciampi from the 89th.

MR. CIAMPI (89th):

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Will you remark?

**S-78
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 3
957-1456**

April 27, 1971 18.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 342, File No. 462. Favorable report of the joint standing committee on the Environment. Substitute S.B. 1754
An Act Concerning Discharging Treated Substances Into Certain Streams or Rivers.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill .

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR PAC:

This bill would require that any discharges into any class A. streams have pure state treatment. Its specifically names the Salmon River as one such stream. Now theres a question some part of it have been calssified as cass A and others parts of it have been class B. Years ago there was a mail on this river. And currently its no longer in operation. So in effect this stream is really class A all the way today. Except of course the Water Resources Commission hasn't come around to re-classify this stream. This is I think a good step in cleaning up our waters and keeping them clean.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Mondani.

April 27, 1971 19.

SENATOR MONDANI:

Mr. President, I too endorse this bill. Worked toward it. It goes a long way to protect the waters of the state. Any class A water. And it won't allow them to be downgraded. We passed in 1967 Clean Water Act and carrying out to the fullest ideals of that act. Particularly the Salmon River which happens to flow in my own community. And in the district. Its a beautiful area. And with this measure we are going to keep it a class A river for all the people to use. Hikers, fishermen, people who want to go on boats. It will be just one beautiful area that we will retain. And passage of this bill will guarantee this. And I would like to commend Senator Pac, Senator Gunther, members of the Environment Committee who saw fit to bring this out.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage will you remark further? Senator Mondani I saw in the Hartford Courant that you boat on this river. And I hope that after this Session you'll see fit to invite the presiding officer down for a picnic on a nice clean river.

Senator Odegard.

SENATOR ODEGARD:

Mr. President, I would simply also like to go on record in favor of passage of this bill.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Gunther.

April 27, 1971 20.

SENATOR GUNTHER:

You might wonder Mr. President. They named the Salmon River, it can again be the Salmon River because recently the Fish and Game Department planted some Atlantic salmon there. Now within the next year or so they will be coming back. Its really a shame that we do have to have this bill. But I think that in 1967 when we passed the Clean Water Act there were certain latitudes that were allowed in that bill. I think this tightens up those latitudes. And requires any Class A stream in the State of Connecticut to have treatment. So that it is a Class A effluent going into it. I think its an excellent bill. I its an excellent bill. I think it should pass.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further?
Senator Cashman.

SENATOR CASHMAN:

Mr. President, I too would like to speak in favor of this bill. And I very strongly urge you Mr. President to get down to the Salmon River. It is probably without question one of the most beautiful areas in the State of Connecticut. And I am especially pleased that this bill has come out favorably.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further. If not all those in favor of passage of the bill signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The ayes have. The bill is

April 27, 1971 21.

passed.

THE CLERK:

Page 8, please, bottom of the page. Bottom of the page Cal. 364 File 308 Favorable report joint standing committee on Government Administration Policy House Joint Resolution No. 99. Resolution Accepting the Recommendation of The Commission on Claims on the Claim of Henry K. Scott Administrator of the estate of Catherine Scott.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Caldwell.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Mr. President, I move for the acceptance of the Committee's Favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Mr. President, this House Joint Resolution just like several others that follow are the results of the deliberations of the Commission on Claims. Its my feeling that on this and each of the others the Commission fairly listened to all the evidence and came to the conclusion. And in this particular instance they were rejected a claim of \$50,000. I urge the adoption of the Resolution.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The Resolution is adopted.