

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-187		726	1	1	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Corrections, Welfare, Humane Institutions</i> 278</li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2052</li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1242-1243</li> </ul>

**H-112**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 5  
1968-2502**

Tuesday, May 4, 1971

9

Calendar No. 0620, H.B. No. 6617, An Act Concerning Ownership of Savings Bank Investment Company Shares by the Savings Bank Life Insurance Company, File No. 569; Calendar No. 0621, H.B. No. 7196, An Act Concerning Enlarging the Number of Banks Eligible for Approval by the Bank Commissioner as Reserve Agents for State Banks and Trust Companies, File No. 572; Calendar No. 0629, S.B. No. 0726, An Act Concerning Medical Treatment Provided by Towns, File No. 435; turning to page 2, Calendar No. 0634, Substitute for S.B. No. 0603, An Act Concerning Establishing a Means to Erase Records of Girls Committed For Being in Manifest Danger of Falling into Habits of Vice, File No. 424.

If there's no objection to these matters being passed as consent matters, I move you, sir, that we accept the Joint Committees favorable reports and pass these bills.

THE SPEAKER:

Is there objection to passage of any of the items moved by the gentleman from the 92nd for passage on the Consent Calendar? If not, the question is on acceptance of the Joint Committees Favorable Reports and passage of the bills. All those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed? The bills are PASSED.

MR. MAHANEY (92nd):

Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Joint Rule No. 48, I would like to move to place the following matters on the Consent Calendar: I direct the House's attention to page 6 of today's Calendar, on page 6, the second item from the top of the page, Calendar No. 0606, Substitute for H.B. No. 6813, An Act Amending the Charter of Pomperaug Valley Water District, File No. 598.

THE SPEAKER:

If the gentleman from the 92nd would hold, the Clerk indicates he's

**S-78  
CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 3  
957-1456**

April 27, 1971 14.

can be recommended. Its a good bill. Theres no reason why a particular girl should be penalized the rest of her life.

Its a good bill. I urge its adoption.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Page 4 please, Cal. No. 320, File 435 Favorable report joint standing committee on Corrections, Welfare and Humane Institutions. on S.B. 726 An Act Concerning Medical Treatment Provided by Towns.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Ciarlone.

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, I urge adoption of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, this is another bill that merely clears up the language. This bill makes it mandatory that the hospital should make available to the town under general assistance that the particular person is eligible for benefits. Its a good bill. It should be adopted.

April 27, 1971

15.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Cal. No. 321, File No. 437 A favorable report joint standing committee on Corrections, Welfare and Humane Institutions. S.B. 739 An Act Concerning Burial by Towns.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Ciarlone.

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, I move adoption of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, this is another house cleaning bill. This merely takes out of the existing statutes the language other towns and. In the past I guess towns would reimburse each other for benefits on burials. But since this is no longer done. Its covered by the state under general assistance. Its merely clearing up the language. Its a good bill. I urge adoption.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further. If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE.

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**CORRECTIONS,  
WELFARE  
AND  
HUMANE  
INSTITUTIONS**

**1-342**

**1971  
Index**

THURSDAY

CORRECTIONS, WELFARE AND  
HUMANE INSTITUTIONS

APRIL 1, 1971

a Birth Certificate, that they will write a note stating the child's date of birth but that we are not entitled to this. When this child grows up, he's going to need this but you know, you can't have it. They're not being fair to you. As far as medical records go, when you get a child, you don't know if it had shots or not. Nothing comes with the child. When they bring the child to you, they bring it with bag and baggage which ninety percent of the time, it isn't even worth keeping. So you put it out and you have to start from scratch. You have to go get a doctor to take care of these children, which, we've testified before, is hard to even get this kind of care for these children. And you can't tell them if they've had records, you don't know what diseases they've had, so you don't know how to really treat these children. It would be important to have everything accompany a child.

Rep. Chagnon: Thank you. Mr. Dunn.

Mr. Dunn: My name is Daniel J. Dunn. I am the Director of Welfare of the City of New Haven and I appear here as a Member of the Legislative Committee of the Connecticut Association of Welfare Administrators, General Assistance, and I speak on behalf of that Association in favor of Senate Bill 726. 727. 728. 736 and 739. I have some prepared statement that I will give to your secretary on some of these Bills, but I would like to talk specifically to Bill 736, which has to do with the removal of the ceiling regarding the incentive earnings program as it affects general assistance. This Committee had Public Hearing on a similar Bill pertaining to the public assistance program administered by the State Welfare Department and at that time, I did submit a written statement favoring that Bill. I also favor this Bill that removes the ceiling. It is not a ceiling on incentive earnings. It's a ceiling on earnings that prevents an individual who may be in need of getting further assistance from a Welfare Department. I don't believe that this has been stated prior today. I know that there was a statement made here that a previous speaker was in favor of this Bill, but that he stated that it was academic and I gathered by that he meant that wasn't incentive earnings at the general assistance level. There is incentive earnings at the general assistance level. In New Haven we have it. They have it in several other municipalities of the State. But there is a question as to whether or not this may be a misnomer. At the present time, the incentive earnings as is being practiced in the general assistance area anyway, becomes a bonus to people who have been on assistance and have had earned income. It perhaps is due to the labor market of the day that jobs are not available for low income people and therefore, a great number of people are not benefitting from what would be an incentive earnings program, because they can't get into earned income. Nevertheless, I think that this Bill should have this ceiling removed, should remain on the statute books of the State of Connecticut and I do believe that there is perhaps further study necessary to make sure that