

<b>Act Number</b>	<b>Session</b>	<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Total Number of Committee Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of House Pages</b>	<b>Total Number of Senate Pages</b>
PA 71-174		6545	2	8	1
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Environment</i> 281</li> <li>• <i>Environment</i> 310</li> </ul>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1666(Consent)</li> <li>• 5108-5114</li> </ul>	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1451</li> </ul>

**H-111**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 4  
1451-1967**

they can be read in without members waiting around.

djh

THE CLERK:

Business on the Calendar for Monday, April 26, 1971. On page 1 of the Calendar, the Consent Calendar.

MR. SARASIN (95th):

Mr. Speaker, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move acceptance of the Joint Committees' favorable reports and passage of the bills on today's Consent Calendar which are: Calendar No. 451, H.B. No. 6173, An Act Concerning Funds for Contingent Losses for Savings and Loan Associations, File No. 377; Calendar No. 452, H.B. No. 6176, An Act Concerning Veteran Administration Mortgages by Savings and Loan Associations, File No. 376; Calendar No. 455, H.B. No. 6545, An Act Concerning the Importation of Fish, Birds and Quadrupeds, File No. 375; Calendar No. 462, H.B. No. 7639, An Act Permitting Associations of Unit Owners to Appeal From Decisions of Local Boards of Tax Review, File No. 379. I move the adoption of these bills.

THE SPEAKER:

The motion of the gentleman from the 95th. Is there any individual member who objects to passage of these bills on the Consent Calendar? If not, the question is on acceptance of the Joint Committees' Favorable Reports and passage of the bills. All those in favor indicate by saying aye. Those opposed? The bills are PASSED.

MR. SARASIN (95th):

Mr. Speaker, I move the following bills be placed on the Consent Calendar: Calendar No. 248, S.B. No. 1131, An Act Concerning Discretionary Refusal of Permits by Liquor Control Commission; File No. 111; Calendar No. 251, S.B. No. 1134, An Act Concerning Employment of Unsuitable Persons On

**H-118**

**CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 11  
4831-5162**

Saturday, June 5, 1971

158

ad

Substitute for House Bill 7545 - An Act Concerning Regulation of Travel Agents and Travel Agencies.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Spiegel.

MR. SPIEGEL:

This matter earlier today was moved and placed on the consent calendar. I would like now to move reconsideration and have that removed from the consent calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

The objection is noted, the item will be removed from the consent calendar.

MR. SPIEGEL:

I now move suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Is there objection to suspension of the rules. Hearing none, the rules are suspended.

MR. SPIEGEL:

I move adoption and passage of the bill. The Clerk has an amendment, House Amendment Schedule A, which I will be glad to summarize.

MR. SPEAKER:

Questions on acceptance and passage. The Clerk will call Amendment A.

CLERK:

House Amendment Schedule A. Offered by MR. Spiegel of the

126th.

MR. SPEAKER:

Gentleman from the 126th.

MR. SPIEGEL:

This is a short amendment, however the effect of it is to eliminate what is presently in the bill as a \$10,000 aggregate limitation to liability on the part of travel agents and claims against the guarantee fund which limitation in the aggregate is being removed by this amendment and the reason for that, for example, would be, if a travel agent had booked a group of 40 persons for a trip to Europe, I am sure you would all agree that a \$10,000 limitation would not be adequate to reimburse those 40 people. So by eliminating that limitation, any claimant will be able to have their claim honored up to a \$1,000 per person regardless of the number of persons involved in the group. I submit it is a good amendment and it makes a good bill better. I urge its passage.

MR. SPEAKER:

Questions on adoption of Amendment Schedule A. Will you remark further. If not, all those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed. Amendment A is adopted. Representative Spiegel.

MR. SPIEGEL:

I urge adoption and passage of the bill as amended by House Amendment Schedule A.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark further.

MR. SPIEGEL:

This is a lengthy bill, if I could summarize it for you. It in effect licenses and regulates travel agents. The major purpose in my introducing the bill was for the purpose of insuring to the public, to the consumer, adequate guarantees, in case a specific travel agent went out of business for one reason or another. It sets up regulation, it sets up licensing, it sets up standards, it sets up a guarantee fund. I believe it is a good bill. I urge its passage.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Truex.

MRS. TRUEX:

I can add nothing more to the explanation which Mr. Spiegel has very ably given, but I would like to add my support to the bill. I think it is one which is very necessary to protect many people who go through the services of travel agents in order to see the world. I think it is a fine bill and I urge its passage.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Neiditz.

MR. NEIDITZ:

I rise to oppose the bill. If you look at file 1611, Section 2, three members shall be travel agents with at least 7 years practical experience in the business. This is what we have been doing year after year. We are over regulating, we are over licensing, we're building in a guild system into this state. You talk about supporting the consumer, this is not to support

Saturday, June 5, 1971 161

the consumer, this is to keep people out of the business. I urge its rejection.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Oliver.

MR. OLIVER:

I am sure the House will indulge me in memory about 3 sessions ago, when I reported out a bill about Senate (inaudible). And the gentleman by the name of Spiegel got up and questioned about the grandfather clause in that bill. That bill, the grandfather clause did not go nearly as far as this bill he is forwarding. And as he on that day opposed the bill that I supported, then I today must oppose the bill he supports.

I think that basically this commission is composed with a 7 year requirement for doing business without adequate standards set to know who has been doing business and who hasn't. It clearly overruns the entire purpose of any public interest in regulating this business. I oppose the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Holdsworth.

MR. HOLDSWORTH:

I speak in support of the bill. I am looking for support for our young people. I think it is high time that travel agencies come under some regulations. Certainly, in this day and age of travel, a requirement that the people be guaranteed some means of support from our state so when they travel, they can at least get back home again.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Lavine.

MR. LAVINE:

I recall not very long ago when we were discussing the power siding bill, there was some objection from that side of the aisle because three of the members on the commission were ecologists and this was to deal with environmental matters, and there was a lot of give and take and we ended up with two members out of nine who were to be environmentalists or ecologists. I would like to know the logic please behind having three out of five members being travel agents.

MR. SPEAKER:

Representative Avcollie of the 94th.

MR. AVCOLLIE:

I have only heard one or two Republicans speak in favor of it and I can't believe that the Minority Leader will rise in favor of it. No tenants on the Housing Authority, no one in the liquor business on the Liquor Commission, but on the travel commission, three travel agents shall be members of this commission. I can't imagine MR. Collins voting for it and I won't vote for it.

MR. SPEAKER:

Gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO:

I think we are about to get a request in reference to this item.

Saturday, June 5, 1971 163

MR. PAPANDREA:

In view of the lateness of the hour and the possibility that an amendment (inaudible), I ask that the matter be passed retaining its place on the calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

So ordered.

For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

MR. LOWELL:

On the last matter I would suggest that this should be sent to the Committee on Appropriations since there is a \$25,000 appropriation on it.

MR. SPEAKER:

The item has already been passed retained, gentleman from the 78th care to examine it. Gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO:

I'm just anticipating the Clerk's next action.

MR. SPEAKER:

The gentleman from the 38th has raised a very basic question on the which which is retained, stating (inaudible) appropriation rather than face it on Monday should be faced today, if that is the case.

MR. COLLINS:

Before you indicate to the gentleman he would entertain a motion to refer to appropriations, I would certainly like to have time to check this out to see whether or not it does in fact -

MR. SPEAKER:

ad

Saturday, June 5, 1971

164

Be passed temporarily. Is there objection to that. Motion to retain is withdrawn without objection.

MR. COLLINS:

I would think that a motion to refer would be in order at any time even though the action has been passed retaining.

MR. SPEAKER:

So ordered. Gentleman from the 118th.

MR. AJELLO:

I was simply going to indicate for the convenience of the Clerk, that when the members approach his desk with various requests, we are going to develop a practice of asking all the members who have questions to take them to one of the respective leaders and that nobody except one of our leaders approach the Clerks desk.

It is my bill that I want him to take up next. It's on page 23, Calendar 1355, file 1451.

MR. SPEAKER:

That item was retained earlier this afternoon.

MR. AJELLO:

Yes. It is our intention now to take up this item and the following item so I would ask that the pass retaining motion be withdrawn.

MR. SPEAKER:

Is there objection. Hearing none, page 23, Calendar 1355, Calendar 1360, the motion to retain has been withdrawn without objection. Do you wish the Clerk to call these items for action.

ad

**S-78  
CONNECTICUT  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**SENATE**

**PROCEEDINGS  
1971**

**VOL. 14  
PART 3  
957-1456**

April 30, 1971. 22.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 429, File No. 375 Favorable report joint standing committee on the Environment H.B. 6545 An Act Concerning the Importation of the Fish, Birds and Quadrupeds.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Pac.

SENATOR PAC:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR PAC:

In 1969 we passed a bill excluding all zoos, museums and laboratories from the need to obtain a permit when they imported any of these animals. Fish, fowl and quadrupeds. This bill would require them to permit when they dispose of these animals. The dangers of course are obvious. There is danger present when you dispose of animals like wolves or skunks. So this is what it is designed to combat.

THE CHAIR:

The question is on passage of the bill. Will you remark further? If not all those in favor signify by saying aye. AYE. Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

The Clerk has two House Joint Resolutions.

**JOINT  
STANDING  
COMMITTEE  
HEARINGS**

**ENVIRONMENT**

**PART 1  
1-338**

**1971  
Index**

WEDNESDAY  
10:00 A.M.

THE ENVIRONMENT

FEBRUARY 26, 1971

and make myself available for any questions which the Committee may have. Now simply for the record I'd like to tell you that we are in support of the following bills: H.B. 6475, H.B. 6545, H.B. 6546, H.B. 6548, H.B. 6549, H.B. 6627, H.B. 6628, H.B. 6703, H.B. 7535. We are opposed to H.B. 6243, H.B. 6705, and H.B. 6976. As an earlier speaker had mentioned with regard to H.B. 6630, we believe the intent of this measure is commendable it's a highly complex, complicated matter and we would recommend that this Committee consider forwarding it to the Commission of Inter-Governmental Cooperation for their consideration and possible negotiation with the states of New York and Rhode Island. Thank you.

Sen. Eddy, Mr. Bampton I want to ask you some questions now that I would have asked the Commissioner but I think it's fair to ask you. Is there a herd of deer stable now in our State ?

T. Bampton, It is and has been for a number of years, we placed the herd between 6,000 and 9,000 animals.

Sen. Eddy, Alright. Now approximately would you estimate to me how many deer are taken by jack-lighters ? Just guess.

T. Bampton, well, let me guess for the total illegal kill, it would considerably easier, I'd say the total illegal kill is probably in the range of 2,000 animals annually.

Sen. Eddy, And by what means is this primarily ?

T. Bampton, This is land-owners failing to report their own kills or doing it without our permit. This is the day-light hunting of deer which goes on consistently. This is the jack-lighting of deer which goes on at night.

Sen. Eddy, If we were to allow Sunday deer hunting, would you estimate how many more deer per year might be taken or shot ?

T. Bampton, Yes, it's a very easy thing to do nation-wide. I'll give it to you nation-wide as well as for the State of Connecticut, nation-wide the kill of deer, statistically now, raised between  $1\frac{1}{2}$  to 3 % of the total number of licenses issued, in this state this statistic is held true. The highest number of deer that we have ever killed was 48, the maximum number of licenses ever issued was in this year 2,900 and some odd licenses which resulted in a kill of 42 deer.

Sen. Eddy, Well then, tell me how many more you think would be taken if we did alot of Sunday hunting ?

T. Bampton, Oh, tne or twenty.

Sen. Eddy, Would you say that this would have no material effect on the changing of the herd numbers ?

T. Bampton, This is correct, it would have no significant effect on a herd what soever.

FRIDAY  
10:00A.M.

THE ENVIRONMENT

FEBRUARY 26, 1971

George Kennedy: I'm the Chairman of the Conservation Committee of the Connecticut Chapter of the Appalachian Mountain Club, and in connection with the Appalachian trail bill, I would like to make the following statement. Because the Appalachian Mountain Club, including the Connecticut Chapter, has always sought to encourage the establishment of natural and scenic areas for the use of those who like the out-of-doors both for hiking and for its beauty, the Conservation Committee of the Chapter wishes to make clear here its support of the bill entitled, AN ACT CONCERNING THE APPALACHIAN NATIONAL SCENIC TRAIL. It does this for the following reasons: 1. Because it is a part of the established policy of the Appalachian Mountain Club and its Connecticut Chapter. 2. Because the number of people who use and enjoy hiking trails is increasing in our time. 3. Because the pressures of modern life will continue to make such retreats of quiet and beauty an increasingly necessary part of our lives. 4. And lastly, because the Appalachian Trail is one the the two greatest hiking trails in the United States, and perhaps on the North American continent, stretching, as it does, through Mt. Katahdin in Maine. In view of this Conservation Committee, this bill represents the minimum needed to support and protect this great trail. I urge your support of the bill.

George Kane: I represent the Connecticut Wild-Life Federation. Briefly, we are favor of the bills H.B.6475, HB6545, H.B.6546, H.B.6548, H.B.6549, H.B.6627, H.B.6628, H.B.6703, and H.B.7535. We are against the following three: H.B.6243, H.B.6705, and H.B.6976. Thank you very much.

Mrs. Martin Wright: I'm of Centerville. I'm active in several civic and conservation groups. I'd like to express my opposition to H.B.6703, to allow hunting of deer with bow and arrow. My opposition is my letter printed in the Hartford Courant yesterday. I'd like to read it if I may. Sunday Hunting, to the Editor of the Courant. Should the bill H.B.6703 permit bow and arrow, deer hunting on Sunday, and now pending in the State Legislature become law, non-hunters with recreational interests, also under the judicial no-hunting on Sunday law, visit their state woodland and find such enjoyment as strolls, hikes, picnics, bird-watching, and photography denied them. The danger from being shot by arrows would make the areas not safe. It would seem that the already harassed and often wounded deer should have at least one day rested. Many people who consider the use of bow and arrow for killing deer a most cruel way of hunting, and urge that there be a close season on this so-called sport completely. The passage of H.B.6703 permitting bow and arrow deer hunting on Sunday would be an entering wedge for future legislation authorizing Sunday hunting with fire-arms. And as to the wounded deer in Connecticut by bow and arrow, I have read in a leading Connecticut paper of the deer wounded and left for 2 days before being found wounded by arrow. I urge that you give this bill an unfavorable report.

Sen. Pac: Thank you Mam. Anyone else wishing to be heard? Well, this meeting is ended. Thank you very much.