

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-160		881	1	1	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>General Law 511</i> 				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1858(Consent)</i> 	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1184-1185</i>

H-111

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 4
1451-1967**

1958

Thursday, April 29, 1971 14.

correctional institutions, File No. 290; on Page 3, Calendar No. 558, S.B. No. 0881, an Act concerning notice of a vessel lien, File No. 343; Calendar No. 561, S.B. No. 1011, an Act concerning the keeping of prescription records by pharmacies, File No. 292; Calendar No. 564, Substitute for S.B. No. 1513, an Act concerning testimonial affairs in honor of candidates, File No. 372; Calendar No. 566, S.B. No. 1642, an Act authorizing the State Park and Forest Commission to exchange certain land. Mr. Speaker, referring you, sir, to Page 2, I would ask that Calendar No. 551, S.B. No. 0598, an Act concerning the entering of unauthorized items or persons into correctional institutions, File No. 210, be removed from the Consent Calendar.

MR. SPEAKER:

So ordered.

RONALD A. SARASIN:

An I would ask the adoption of the other Bills.

MR. SPEAKER:

You've heard the motion. Is there objection on the part of any individual member to any of the enumerated items being considered on Consent? Hearing none, the motion is for acceptance and passage on consent of the enumerated items. All those in favor will indicate by saying "aye". All those opposed. The Bills are passed.

RONALD A. SARASIN:

Mr. Speaker, I move the following items be placed on the Consent Calendar, pursuant to Rule 48. On Page 10, the middle of

**S-78
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 3
957-1456**

April 22, 1971

Page 20

struction and it prohibits construction of schoolhouses more than one story unless of non-combustible construction throughout. Which is the exact language eliminated from this bill. I move adoption.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 290. File No. 346. Favorable report of the joint committee on Corporations, Welfare and Humane Institutions. Substitute Senate Bill 741.

An Act Concerning Membership on Citizens' Advisory Committee on Welfare.

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This bill merely adds a member of the Connecticut Association of Local Administrators of General Assistance, to the Citizens' Advisory Council. It's a good bill and I urge adoption.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The ayes have it; the bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 291 File No. 343. Favorable report of the joint committee on General Law. Senate Bill 881. An Act Concerning Notice of a Vessel Lien.

SENATOR STRADA:

Mr. President, I move the acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This bill amends Section 45-55a to extend the time that a lienor has to give notice of sale to the owner of a vessel from the existing 92 hours to 7 days after delivery of the vessel.

April 22, 1971

Page 21

... of the bill must be no less than 60 days than existing law. This bill does not adversely affect the right of the owner.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 296. File No. 248. Favorable report of the joint committee on Corrections, Welfare and Juvenile Institutions. Substitute Senate Bill 296, An Act Concerning Welfare Reimbursement.

SENATOR CIARLONE:

Mr. President, I move the acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. In cases of cause of action, a beneficiary the State shall have a lien against proceeds less legal fees, medical expenses, etc. I urge passage.

THE

Question is on passage, will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 302. File No. 261. Favorable report of the joint committee on Rules. Substitute Senate Bill 302, An Act Concerning Transmission of the Budget Document to the General Assembly.

SENATOR BURKE:

Mr. President, I move the acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This bill, here, is in the order to have the Governor deliver his budget on the first session day of the

First Monday in February. Substitute Senate Bill 302, An Act Concerning Transmission of the Budget Document to the General Assembly.

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**GENERAL
LAW**

**PART 2
297-629**

1971

15
RSW
TUESDAY

GENERAL LAW

521
MARCH 16, 1971
10:30 A.M.

procedure is very similar to that of the filing of a mechanic's lien. However, there is no provision for termination of the lien and H.B. 878 provides for the termination or removal of a notice of vessel lien similarly to releases of other security instruments. Now I would like to ask that an additional sentence be inserted, a second sentence, as follows: The fees for this certificate and the procedure and forms to be used for the same, shall be prescribed by the Secretary of the State;.....so that we can administratively establish a form for termination certificate. I'll give the proposed amendment to the Clerk. On S. B. 881, we've made a change in section 49-55a, or we have suggested a change which would permit a Lienor in possession, that is a repairer, who has possession of the vessel, we have given them an extension of time to seven days from 72 hours in order to give them adequate time to notify the owner of the vessel as well as any other lienors of the fact that he has possession of the vessel. It would seem to me that 72 hours is not an adequate time for him to give that notice, and we would ask that that change be made in the 49-55a. Now, also, I should point out to the Committee, I know that Senator Ives has commented on this bill, I spoke to him after he left the hearing. S. B. 1155, this is Senator Ives' bill and it is a rather radical change in the corrupt practices act of our state. Now, we are in the process of redrafting the campaign financing law, and we're trying to close as many loopholes as possible in the law. We certainly do not want corporations to come in the back door by designating themselves as political committees. The cornerstone of campaign financing both on the state and federal level is that corporations shall not directly or indirectly finance a political campaign. However, this prohibition does work a hardship and we encountered it. There was a district political committee, a senatorial district committee in the last election, who incorporated. I think it was the only one in the state, and they had to dissolve themselves in order to participate without violation of the corrupt practices act. Now it would seem to me that the language of this bill should be highly restrictive, it should be limited only to duly authorized town committees, existing and authorized under the rules of the particular party. I can see, I don't know whether any town committees presently are incorporated. I can understand that a town committee might see the need to incorporate in order to limit its liability, but I do not feel that this privilege should be extended to any association or committee other than a duly organized town, or perhaps district, committee. I would be opposed to extending any exemption to an incorporated political committee because I think that would be an invitation to corporations getting back into the political arena in the area of campaign financing, which is one of the major evils which our campaign