

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-10		6152	2	3	1
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>State & Urban Development 25-26</i> 				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>509-511</i> 	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>498</i>

H-109

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 2
449-973**

Tuesday, March 2, 1971

13.

THE CLERK:

MBS

Calendar No. 26. H. B. No. 6000. An Act Validating Notice of Mary E. Barnett to the City of Norwich. File No. 11.

WILLIAM C. LEARY, 43rd District:

Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of the joint favorable report and passage of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill, will you remark?

WILLIAM C. LEARY, 43rd District:

Yes, Mr. Speaker, again its a validating act, this time against the town of Norwich. There were substantial injuries suffered by the plaintiff, Mary Barnett, the notice was considerably late, it was approximately one year late but it was the unanimous feeling of the General Law Committee that in view of the injuries and in view of the lack of knowledge on the part of the plaintiff that the bill should be passed. It was a unanimous opinion of the General Law Committee. I urge adoption of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Are there further remarks on the bill? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye, those opposed. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Calendar 27. Substitute for House Bill No. 6152. An Act Concerning the Responsibilities of the Chairman of the Connecti-

Tuesday, March 2, 1971

14.

cut Development Commission. File No. 13.

MBS

VICTOR TUDAN, 42nd District:

Mr. Speaker, the Clerk has an amendment.

THE CLERK:

House Amendment Schedule "A". In Section 1, line 8 delete "or his designated representative," In Section 1, line 13, after the word "plan," insert "or their designated representative,"

VICTOR TUDAN, 42nd District:

Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the amendment.

MR. SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of Amendment Schedule "A", will you remark?

VICTOR TUDAN, 42nd District:

Mr. Speaker, this is very simply that we had a problem in state development with our chairman of the Development Commission and who at that time did find it difficult to attend meetings but, by the same token, in looking over the bill we also have the situation where the Commissioner of Finance and Control, the Public Works Commissioner, in addition to the Development Commission Chairman, Chairman of the State Building Program Commission, as well as the Speaker of the House and Senate Pro Tempore, so that we did decide that we would include all of these folks in this, as opposed to saying "his" the amendment says "their designated representative," I move the adoption of the amendment.

MR. SPEAKER:

Tuesday, March 2, 1971

15.

Motion is on adoption of Schedule "A", will you remark further?

MBS

ROBERT S. ORCUTT, 100th District:

Mr. Speaker, we support the amendment, it is a good amendment. We urge its passage.

MR. SPEAKER:

Are there further remarks on the amendment? If not...are there further remarks on this amendment? If not, all those in favor of its adoption indicate by saying aye, those opposed? The amendment is adopted. The Chair will rule it technical. The question now is on acceptance and passage as amended by Amendment Schedule "A". Will you remark further? Further remarks on the bill as amended? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying aye, those opposed? The bill, as amended, is passed.

THE CLERK:

That concludes the business on the calendar. The Clerk has House Joint Resolution. Resolution Commending John J. Tynan

MR. SPEAKER:

Mr. Clerk, I believe the Majority Leader, Rep. Ajello, has an item that he'd like to move at this time to place at the foot of the calendar.

CARL R. AJELLO, 118th District:

Mr. Speaker, thank you, calling your attention to page 3 of the calendar, calendar 43, file number not assigned yet,

S-77

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

PROCEEDINGS

1971

VOL. 14

PART 2

474-956

March 15, 1971

12.

House Bill 6152. An Concerning The Responsibilities of the Chairman of The Connecticut Development Commission.

THE CHAIR:

Senator Lieberman.

SENATOR LIEBERMAN:

Mr. President, I move for acceptance of the Joint Committee's Favorable Report and passage of the Bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark?

SENATOR LIEBERMAN:

Mr. President this is a technical amendment to the Statutes in regard to membership on the Connecticut Capitol Center Commission. The Connecticut State Development Commission is most anxious to participate in the deliberations of this body. But under the existing Statutes, its membership is limited to the Chairman of the Development Commission, who is a citizen, a layman, not an employee of the State. And has had some trouble at regularly attending the meetings of the Commission. The bill would allow the Chairman of the Commission to designate a representative who would be the Executive Director of the Commission. And so I move the passage of this bill.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark further?

If not all those in favor of the bill signify their intentions by saying aye. AYE. Opposed? The ayes have it. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

The middle of the page, please. Calendar No. 53, File No. 28.

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

**STATE
AND
URBAN
DEVELOPMENT**

**PART 1
1-275**

**1971
Index**

STATE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 10, 1971

there's greater use of radio-isotopes; we think there's a good potential here and we think that it would be better if we were particularly designated for that part of the function.

Senator Gunther: Charlie, you're only talking about commercial uses. They all do have ADC controls.

Mr. Hill: Right. And we want to leave it there, or with the Health Department or anyone else, but we're just talking about advertising and promotion.

Senator Lieberman: Would anyone else like to be heard on that Bill? 6151. If not, we'll consider the hearing closed on that matter. The next one is HB 6152, AN ACT CONCERNING THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE CONNECTICUT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. Mr. Feinberg...

Mr. Mark Feinberg: Mr. Mark Feinberg, State Development Commission. I'm pleased to report this costs absolutely no money. It's a purely mechanical matter. When outstanding citizens are able to donate their time as members of various public agencies, such as the Development Commission, and it's generally learned that they are outstanding and capable and committed, they frequently are hit with a lot of different responsibilities. This Bill would allow our Chairman to designate someone to represent him on the Capital Center Commission, when and if he couldn't be present. He or his designee, to represent the Development Commission on the Capital Center Commission. It's a matter of allowing busy executives enough time to pay some attention to their own work.

Chairman Tudan: A designated representative? Could that be you?

Mr. Feinberg: Yes, or the vice-chairman of the commission, or a member of the commission, as is the case in other inter-agency activities that our commission is involved in.

Representative Gulelski: I'm just wondering if the Chairman can't make it, will he designate a member of the commission? Now, all the others, we do have the Public Works Commissioner, (coughing-not audible). Does it pose a problem?

Mr. Feinberg: It does in terms of time commitment.

Senator Lieberman: We're not talking about using the title. We're talking about the citizen Chairman.

Mr. Feinberg: Right. There are other agencies on which the Develop-

STATE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 10, 1971

ment Commission Chairman is appointed as a member. However, he can delegate his authority to cast a vote for him, to a designee, and this happens... the Council on Agriculture, Natural Resources, and others. In the case of this particular one, he cannot at the present time. we're asking for that mechanical change.

Senator Lieberman: Thank you, Mr. Feinberg. Would anyone else like to be heard on that Bill? Pro or con? If not, I'll declare the hearing closed. We'll ask (not audible) this statement of purpose bill, and (not audible) personally on it. I do know that there are a number of bills that have come to the committee which are not listed by name here, but this was a general purpose hearing on industrial development and I see by some of your prepared ones here that you typed some statements on it and I urge you to give them because I think some of this is really critical and particularly vital to the economic problems of the State.

Mr. Mark Feinberg: Mark Feinberg, State Development Commission. HB 6067 is an effort to assist in the pollution clean-up.. the water pollution clean-up of our State insofar as it relates to the private sector, business and industry. The approximate dollar cost for water pollution abatement which private industry in this state will have to undertake at its expense under present circumstances, is in the area of 60 million dollars headed for 100 million. At the present time there are no Federal, State or local financing vehicles to assist companies in doing this. There's the possibility of some at the Federal level. In this Bill, we'd set up a structure whereby private lenders, primarily banks, would be willing to lend money to industry to pay for the cost of this abatement equipment. The Development Commission, through the creation of what we call an intermediary credit authority, would then buy the paper of those loans from the banks. We then would charge the Small Business Administration to receive guarantee of repayment of those loans. These loans are high-risk loans, because what would you do with a default in an industrial pollution clean-up situation? Who could you sell an abatement piece of used machinery to? So it's a high-risk situation. If this structure could be worked out, and we've written this Bill with the SBA people...we probably have the closest relationship in the country of any State with the Small Business Administration... so that the bank's minimum exposure here would be 10%. The State's exposure would be zero, because the State's participation would be fully guaranteed by the Small Business Administration... repayment in case of default. This cuts the risk in the case of the banks from 100% to 10%, and provides a vehicle whereby money can be provided from the private sector to help pay for