

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-109		7005	0	3	2
<u>Committee Pages:</u>				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1204- 1206 	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1138- 1139

H-110

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 3
974-1450**

Tuesday, April 6, 1971

20.

roc

THE CLERK:

Cal. 213, House Bill No. 7005. AN ACT CONCERNING VISITS TO THE PROBATE COURT BY AN AUTHORIZED DESIGNEE OF THE PROBATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR.

THE SPEAKER:

Rep. Carrozzella of the 81st.

MR. CARROZZELLA: (81st)

Mr. Speaker, I move for acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage. Will you remark.

MR. CARROZZELLA: (81st)

Mr. Speaker, the Clerk has an amendment.

THE CLERK:

House Amendment Schedule "A" offered by Mr. Carrozzella of the 81st. In line 8, bracket the word "be" and insert thereafter "have been". In line 9, after the word "state" insert "for at least five years".

MR. CARROZZELLA: (81st)

Mr. Speaker, I move for adoption of House Amendment Schedule "A".

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of House Amendment Schedule "A". Will you remark.

Tuesday, April 6, 1971

21.

MR. CARROZZELLA: (81st)

Mr. Speaker, the present law requires that the Probate Court Administrator visit each Probate Court during each year or he can authorize his designee. The purpose of the amendment is to provide that the authorized designee shall have been admitted to the practice of law for at least five years so that he would have the experience to determine what are the needs of the various Probate Courts as he makes these visits. It's a good amendment and I trust that it will be adopted.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the amendment. Representative Collins.

MR. COLLINS: (165th)

Mr. Speaker, we concur with the remarks of the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee. It is a good amendment and we will support it.

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on adoption of House Amendment Schedule "A". All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Amendment is ADOPTED. We will proceed with the bill since the amendment is ruled technical and we can proceed on acceptance and passage as amended.

Rep. Carrozzella.

roc

Tuesday, April 6, 1971

22.

roc

MR. CARROZZELLA: (81st)

Mr. Speaker, as I explained before, in the present law the Probate Court Administrator is required to visit each of the 125 Probate Courts every year. This is a tremendously onerous task and the purpose of the bill is to require that he make such visits only during each even year, that is even numbered year rather than going every year he will go every other year. There is no real need for him to go every year. He can pick up the information he needs to coordinate the activities of the Probate Courts every other year. It's a good bill. I would hope that it would pass.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill as amended. Rep. Bingham of the 157th.

MR. BINGHAM: (157th)

Mr. Speaker, we concur with the remarks of the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee. It's a good bill and it should pass.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks. If not, the question is on acceptance and passage as amended by House Amendment Schedule "A". All those in favor indicate by saying AYE. Those opposed. The bill is PASSED.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 221, House Bill 6324, AN ACT CONCERNING THE USE OF DEALERS AND REPAIRERS PLATES.

**S-78
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 3
957-1456**

April 21, 1971

Page 11

SENATOR IVES:

I move the adoption of the amendment. Mr. President, the original bill allows the establishment of panels rather than requiring the entire board to sit. The amendment simply spells that there should not be less than three on the panels that it takes the majority of the panels to make a decision. And thirdly, that nothing in the act will prevent the entire board from sitting. I move adoption of the amendment.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the amendment. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor of passage of the amendment, signify by "aye". Opposed, "nay". The ayes have it. The amendment is carried, and rules technical.

SENATOR IVES:

Mr. President, I move the adoption of the bill, as amended. I covered it in my discussion on the amendment.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage of the bill, as amended by Senate Amendment Schedule A. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 243. File No. 170. Favorable report of the committee on Judiciary House Bill 7005. An Act Concerning Visits to the Probate Court by an Authorized Designee of the Probate Court Administrator.

SENATOR CALDWELL:

Mr. President, I move for the acceptance of the committee's favorable

April 21, 1971

Page 12

report and passage of the bill. This bill merely changes the order, the authority by the Probate Administrator from Annually to once each even numbered year of the Probate Department's records. I urge adoption of the bill.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage. Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor of passage signify by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The ayes have it. The bill is carried.

THE CLERK:

CAL. NO. 251. Favorable report of the joint committee on Judiciary. House Joint Resolution No. 156. Resolution confirming the Nomination of Alva P. Loisel of Willimantic to be an Associate Judge of the Supreme Court and a Judge of the Superior Court.

SENATOR DUPONT:

I move acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the resolution. Mr. President and members of the circle, Judge Alva P. Loisel, is a resident of the Willimantic-Windham area. He's a graduate of the University of Connecticut and the University of Connecticut's Law School. He's compiled an outstanding record with many years of service on both the Court of Common Pleas and the Superior Court. I could stand here most of the afternoon, perhaps, extolling his virtues. He certainly, in all of his legal proceedings and in his personal life has always been a fair, dignified and impartial individual and an excellent juror.

In being elevated to the Supreme Court of Connecticut, he's following in a tradition of another outstanding jurist from Windham who recently retired, John Hamilton King. And I'm certain, he will continue in that tradition. I urge passage of the resolution.