

Act Number	Session	Bill Number	Total Number of Committee Pages	Total Number of House Pages	Total Number of Senate Pages
PA 71-108		6324	2	2	1
<u>Committee Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Transportation 181-182</i> 				<u>House Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1206-1207 	<u>Senate Pages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1178

H-110

**CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE**

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 3
974-1450**

Tuesday, April 6, 1971

22.

roc

MR. CARROZZELLA: (81st)

Mr. Speaker, as I explained before, in the present law the Probate Court Administrator is required to visit each of the 125 Probate Courts every year. This is a tremendously onerous task and the purpose of the bill is to require that he make such visits only during each even year, that is even numbered year rather than going every year he will go every other year. There is no real need for him to go every year. He can pick up the information he needs to coordinate the activities of the Probate Courts every other year. It's a good bill. I would hope that it would pass.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill as amended. Rep. Bingham of the 157th.

MR. BINGHAM: (157th)

Mr. Speaker, we concur with the remarks of the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee. It's a good bill and it should pass.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks. If not, the question is on acceptance and passage as amended by House Amendment Schedule "A". All those in favor indicate by saying AYE. Those opposed. The bill is PASSED.

THE CLERK:

Cal. 221, House Bill 6324, AN ACT CONCERNING THE USE OF DEALERS AND REPAIRERS PLATES.

Tuesday, April 6, 1971

23.

THE SPEAKER:

Representative Ryan from the 84th.

MR. RYAN: (84th)

Mr. Speaker, I move acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance and passage. Will you remark.

MR. RYAN: (84th)

Mr. Speaker, House Bill 6324 amends Section 1459 and 1460 of the General Statutes. It is in relation to the loaning of commercial registration plates or commercially registered vehicles. The bill is simply a clarification of the present statutes and was requested by the State Department of Motor Vehicles. I move the acceptance of the favorable report and passage of the bill.

THE SPEAKER:

Further remarks on the bill. If not, the question is on acceptance and passage. All those in favor indicate by saying AYE. Opposed. The bill is PASSED.

THE CLERK:'

Cal. 223, Substitute for House Bill 5239. AN ACT CONCERNING THE TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS AND DRUG-DEPENDENT PERSONS.

THE SPEAKER:

Representative Ajello.

roc

**S-78
CONNECTICUT
GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

SENATE

**PROCEEDINGS
1971**

**VOL. 14
PART 3
957-1456**

April 22, 1971

Page 14

in a very respectful mode of address.

THE CHAIR:

Will you remark further? If not, all those in favor signify by saying "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill has been passed.

THE CLERK:

CAF. NO. 240. File No. 177. Favorable report of the joint committee on Transportation. House Bill 1177. An Act Concerning the Use of Drivers and Repairers' plates.

SENATOR ALFANO:

Mr. President, I move the acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This bill extends for misure of the plates to persons other than operators of loan vehicles. It applies an insurance covering driver employee who would then have custody of loan vehicles. I move passage.

THE CHAIR:

Question is on passage, will you remark further? If not, all those in favor indicate by saying, "aye". Opposed, "nay". The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

CAF. NO. 255. File No. 298. Favorable report of the joint committee on Insurance and Real Estate. Substitute Senate Bill 149. An Act Concerning Arbitration of Disputes Concerning the Value of Real Property.

SENATOR ALFANO:

Mr. President, I move the acceptance of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This bill permits two or more people who are unable to agree on a real estate value to submit the matter to the State Real Estate Commission for arbitration. The decision of the Commission is

**JOINT
STANDING
COMMITTEE
HEARINGS**

TRANSPORTATION

**PART 1
1-337**

**1971
Index**

vehicle or allow any such motor vehicle to be operated, to insert in there, to operate on the highways in the State and this will clarify this bill. We've had experience in the past of bills that are intended for highway use being interpreted by the courts to apply to our race tracks. In fact, my track and several others were shut down a few years ago because of misinterpretation in the words, and I would just ask the Committee's consideration on this particular point. Thank you.

Question -(Inaudible)

Jim Haynes: Yes, I just want to make certain that the wording of the bill was such that there is absolutely no confusion in anyone's mind as to where it applies. Thank you very much.

Anyone else to comment on 6077?

Eugene Wagner: I am the Regional Representative to the Automobile Manufacturers Association. Our Association represents all of the major domestic car manufacturers and several of the large truck manufacturers. We are not opposed to the intent of the bill, but we feel that the language contained within the bill is not specific enough for the purpose for which it is intended. We, as an industry are in support of the legislation as it now exists in California and because of the short notice we were given about this hearing, I was unable to introduce the legislation for California into the record. However, within a week's time I would like to introduce the legislation that is enacted in California into the record.

Are there any questions? Anyone else to speak on Bill 6077? Anyone opposed to Bill 6077? Let's move on to Bill 6079. Anyone care to speak in favor of Bill 6079? Anyone opposed to Bill 6079?

Barbara Kenny: Hartford, representing the Parent Teachers Association of Conn. an organization of some 100,000 paid members. We would support HB 6079. It's a little difficult to be specific about a statement of purpose, but I would like to tell this Committee that over the last year and a half we have gotten an increasing number of calls in units throughout the state who are dissatisfied with the school bus safety as it exists in their own area. They have started and conducted school bus safety studies. The feedback we get they are not happy with the regulations and based on the statutes at the present time. I was hoping to have some specific suggestions for improvements to pass on to this Committee, but unfortunately, as you know we are not a professional group and sometimes we individually and collectively feel free to complain but sometimes overlook our obligation to contribute to solving the problems, but we feel that perhaps if there were a comprehensive code drawn up that we all might rest a little easier and there would be better safety. Thank you.

Anyone else to comment on 6079? The next bill is 6315 an act concerning registration requirements for leased motor vehicles. I understand that there are only seven more bills on the subject and we can reschedule it. However, if there is anyone who wants to comment, we'd be glad to listen to you. We will reschedule 6315. The next is HB 6324, an act concerning special dealers' plates for commercial vehicles. Anyone in favor of this bill?

Richard Meek: I am Executive Vice President of the Connecticut Automotive Trades Association. I'd like to put our association on record in favor of this bill. I represent the automobile dealers of Connecticut. I believe this is a department bill. We support it. If you read the bill, the laws that presently stand literally, a dealer could not loan a car to anybody but the licensed owner of the vehicle and we're talking about substitute cars when they're in for repairs or something like that. And this bill would certainly - would simply make it possible to loan the vehicle to a representative of the licensed owner of the vehicle. I could think of an obvious example would be where a individual had his wife bring his car in for repairs and he loaned her a car while his car was in for repairs. This would make it lawful to do so. As it stands now technically we probably should not loan anybody but the licensed registered owner of the vehicle, and of course there would be many cases where employees of corporations would bring vehicles over and have replacement vehicles. Thank you.

Anyone else in favor of 6324. Anyone opposed to the bill?

The next bill is 6326 - an act concerning waiver of motor vehicle fees for veterans and service personnel. Anyone in favor?

Barbara Kenny: Hartford, representing the Parent Teacher Association of Connecticut. The PTA strongly supports HB 6417. We believe that the State should put the safety of children before the practical and other considerations. If the State continues to allow vehicles that are not registered school buses to be used as school buses, then the children being transported in such vehicles have the same rights to be protected by all known safety precautions and devices as the children being transported in registered and regular school buses. And our concern for the safety of children, we respectfully urge and request a favorable report on this bill. Thank you.

Anyone else in favor of the bill?

Lt. Michael Griffin: Traffic Division of the State Police Dept. The State Police Department is in favor of this legislation HB 6417 concerning equipment and markings on vehicles used as school buses, requiring that these vehicles used as school buses, but not registered as such shall when used as school buses meet the requirements as providing equipment and signal devices and speed limits similar to those required of registered school buses. Primarily because we are concerned with the safety of passengers being carried on these vehicles.

Question (Inaudible)

Lt. Griffin: No sir, as I understand it it just says lighting equipment and signal devices and speed limits, which provides signs and of course the 50 miles per hour speed limit.

Question: (Inaudible)

Lt. Griffin: Does it specify it here Sir?

Question: (Inaudible)