

Legislative History for Connecticut Act

HB 2479	PA 216	1967
House 2100-2101		(2)
Senate 1227		(1)
Judiciary 274		(1)

**LAW/LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE
DO NOT REMOVE FROM LIBRARY**

total 4p

Transcripts from the Joint Standing Committee Public Hearing(s) and/or Senate
and House of Representatives Proceedings

Connecticut State Library
Compiled 2012

PA67-216

Joint Standing Committee hearings, Judiciary. 1967: pt.1

Proceedings / Connecticut General Assembly, House. 1967: v.12:pt.5

Proceedings / Connecticut General Assembly, Senate. 1967 v.12:pt.3

WEDNESDAY

JUDICIARY

MARCH 8, 1967

Rep. Boyd: Well, I get a license from the fire marshall when I do this and nobody's objected to it so far. It doesn't seem to me that that's a very serious crime.

Rep. Crombie: If you had a permit from your fire marshall that permit would not be granted unless conditions were ideal for it and it undoubtedly would be a safe fire.

Rep. Boyd: Well, I'd still be imprisoned under your bill for a minimum of six months or to three years.

Chr. Carrozzella: I'll now open the hearing on speed and traffic signal laws and I'll call first Mr. Adint.

William Adint: My name is William Adint. I represent the Connecticut Safety Commission and I'd like to speak on a number of bills pertaining to speed. The first one ~~#4368~~ ⁴³⁸⁰ special speed limit for commercial motor vehicles we will speak in opposition to this as we feel that restricting the speed of commercial vehicles will create more problems than do any good. Yes. H. B. No. 4380 (Rep. Morano of the 151st district) AN ACT CONCERNING SPEED LIMITS FOR COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLES H. B. No. 3450 (Rep. Taintor) AN ACT CONCERNING MAXIMUM SPEED LIMITS FOR TRUCKS OR MOTOR BUSES We are opposed to this one. We strongly recommend your consideration for H. B. No. 4006 (Rep. Crombie) AN ACT CONCERNING DRIVING IN EXCESS OF POSTED SPEED LIMIT which is driving in excess of posted speed limit and this is to make the posted speed limit the maximum speed limit. There's been a great deal of misunderstanding particularly with the courts and it is our feeling that in order to curb the fatalities on the highway that we should have the posted speed limit as the maximum speed limit. On S. B. No. 513 (Sen. Buckley) AN ACT CONCERNING EVIDENTIAL VALUE OF POSTED SPEED LIMITS. This is to return to the prima facie evidence of speed and I believe this would defeat the purpose of our present speed law particularly the absolute speed laws. Thank you very much.

Chr. Carrozzella: Thank you. Mr. Silversmith.

Paul Silversmith: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, my name is Paul Silversmith. I represent the State Highway Department. I'd like to speak on several of these bills relating to speed. S. B. No. 68 (Senator Minetto) AN ACT CONCERNING SLOW SPEEDS OF MOTOR VEHICLES H. B. No. 2479 and 3699 of the 65 session also proposed minimum speed limits. Speed limits both maximum and minimum should be determined by a thorough study of conditions by experienced engineers. The highway Department is in favor of a statute establishing minimum speed limits based on engineering study and has prepared a substitute bill which I will leave with the committee for consideration. S. B. No. 513 (Sen. Buckley) AN ACT CON-

Monday, May 15, 1967

18.

EDWARD S. GUDELSKI, 110th DISTRICT:

Mr. Speaker, this bill provides a method of amendment to the pension of the retirement system of the city of Waterbury. Any changes of the pension in the city of Waterbury have to be approved by a board of aldermen on a 2/3 vote. It also includes restrictions to insure that the changes to provide more liberal pensions will not exceed the resources of the pension fund. It provides that a special advisory committee make its recommendation to the board of aldermen and also that the actuarial soundness of any proposed amendment be approved and certified by an actuarial firm which has been hired to make the study and to make the recommendation to the board of aldermen. Of course, after these recommendations, and not until these recommendations are presented to the board of aldermen can the board of aldermen act and approve on the basis of a 2/3 vote. Mr. Speaker, this is a good bill, I urge its adoption.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will your remark further on the bill?

NICHOLAS A. LENGE, 13th DISTRICT:

Mr. Speaker, like many many other bills that have been here before us having to do with pension, this bill could be handled and perhaps better handled back home. I think enough said.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark further? If not, question is upon acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill as amended by House Amendment Schedule A. All those in favor will say Aye. All those opposed. The bill is passed.

Monday, May 15, 1967

20.

mbs

would further mean that a parent could give a valid release where the amount in settlement does not exceed \$5,000. This would thus eliminate the necessity of instigating an often complex guardianship procedure in court in these instances. It would further eliminate many unnecessary probate court expenses. It would mean that the funds would not be tied up in many cases for a considerable number of years insofar as litigation is concerned it would facilitate settlement. It would probably result in a more equitable disposition of cases. Madame Speaker, I move the adoption of this bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Will you remark further? If not, the question is on acceptance of the joint standing committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. All in favor say Aye, opposed Nay. The bill is passed.

THE CLERK:

Page 4 of the calendar. Calendar 455. House Bill No. 2748. An Act concerning Disposition of Cases Pending Before a Judge Who is Retired for a Disability, Dies or Resigns. Favorable report of the committee on Judiciary and Governmental Functions. File 523.

JAMES T. HEALEY, 87th DISTRICT:

Madame Speaker, I move acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill.

MR. SPEAKER:

Question is on acceptance of the joint committee's favorable report and passage of the bill, will you remark?

Page 34

May 18, 1967

and passage of the bill, indicate by saying, "aye". Opposed? Bill is passed.

CLERK:

Cal. No. 683 File No. 525 Favorable report of the joint committee on Judiciary and Governmental Functions. House Bill No. 2479. An Act Concerning Guardianship of the Estate of a Minor.

SENATOR JACKSON:

Mr. President, I move acceptance of the Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This bill merely increases from 3,000 to 5,000 dollars the maximum amount of property, which may be received by the parent or the guardian, without the necessity of being appointed guardian of the estate of such minor in the probate court. It sort of reflects inflationary trend and also it desires to simplify the administration of small guardianship accounts. This is a good bill and it ought to pass.

THE CHAIR:

All those in favor of the committee's favorable report and passage of the bill, indicate by saying, "aye". Opposed? The bill is passed.

CLERK:

Cal. No. 684. File No. 523 Favorable report of the Joint Committee on Judiciary and Governmental Functions. House Bill No. 2748. An Act Concerning Disposition of Cases Pending Before a Judge Who Is Retired for a Disability, Dies or Resigns.

SENATOR JACKSON:

Mr. President, I move for acceptance of the Joint Committee's favorable report and passage of the bill. This clears up a gap in our present statutes. The present statute only provides for the contingency where the Judge does not able because of the lapse of his term from carrying through with a court